

Article Type: main research article

**Title: MRI measurement of residual cervical length after radical trachelectomy for cervical cancer and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: a blinded imaging analysis.**

**Authors:** Rosa M Alvarez<sup>1,2</sup>, Ioannis Biliatis<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Rockall<sup>3</sup>, Eleni Papadakou<sup>1</sup>, S Aslam Sohaib<sup>3</sup>, Nandita M deSouza<sup>3</sup>, John Butler<sup>1</sup>, Marielle Nobbenhuis<sup>1</sup>, Desmond JP Barton<sup>1,4</sup>, John H Shepherd<sup>1</sup>, Thomas EJ Ind<sup>1,4</sup>

**Affiliations:**

1. Department of Gynaecological Oncology, The Royal Marsden Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK
2. Department of Gynaecology and Gynaecological Oncology, Santa Cristina University Hospital, Madrid, Spain
3. Department of Radiology, The Royal Marsden Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK
4. St George's University of London, London, UK

**Corresponding author:** Rosa Maria Alvarez, [rm.alvarez.lopez@gmail.com](mailto:rm.alvarez.lopez@gmail.com)

The Royal Marsden Hospital, 203 Fulham Rd, Chelsea, London SW3 6JJ, UK

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.15429

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

**Shortened running title:** MRI measurement of residual cervical length after radical trachelectomy.

## **Abstract**

**Objective:** To determine the association between the residual cervix measured on post-operative MRI after radical vaginal trachelectomy (RVT) and adverse obstetric outcomes.

**Design:** Observational study.

**Setting:** Referral Cancer centre.

**Population:** Women who conceived after RVT for cervical cancer at the Royal Marsden Hospital, London, between 1995 and 2015.

**Methods:** Post-operative MRI scans were analysed by three researchers. The agreement between researchers was assessed by Pearson's correlation coefficient and Bland-Altman plot. Patients were divided into two groups (<10mm and  $\geq$ 10mm residual cervix) for the analysis of adverse obstetric outcomes.

**Main outcome Measures:** Late miscarriage, premature delivery, premature rupture of membranes (PROM) and chorioamnionitis.

**Results:** Thirty-one MRI scans were available; 29 of these women had a pregnancy that progressed beyond the first trimester. There was a strong reproducibility of the measurement of residual cervix ( $p < 0.001$ ). Nineteen women (65.5%) had <10mm and 10 (34.5%) had  $\geq$ 10mm residual cervix. Among women with <10mm residual cervix seven (36.8%) experienced PROM and ten (66.7%) had a preterm birth; No women with  $\geq$ 10mm residual cervix had PROM and 2 (22.2%) had a preterm birth ( $p = 0.028$  and  $p = 0.035$ , respectively). Overall, there were nine (16.7%) first trimester

miscarriages, six (11.1%) late fetal losses, twelve (31.6%) preterm births and 36 (66.7%) live births. After a mean follow up of 78.1 months 36 patients were disease-free and one patient died.

**Conclusions:** MRI measurements of the residual cervix are reproducible between observers. The incidence of PROM and premature delivery is higher when the residual cervix after RVT is less than 10mm.

**Funding:** The authors have not received any funding to support this study.

**Keywords:** Cervical cancer, trachelectomy, fertility sparing treatment, pregnancy outcomes, obstetric complications.

**Tweetable abstract:** The risk of prematurity after RVT can be predicted from measurements of residual cervical length on post-operative MRI scan.

## Introduction

Cervical cancer is the fourth most common malignancy in women worldwide [1]. In the European Union 20.9% of women with the condition are diagnosed under the age of 40 while the average age of women who give birth been 30.1 years [2]. Consequently, a number of women are diagnosed in their reproductive age. Most of these are early stage tumours that can be treated with fertility-preserving procedures such as trachelectomy or even cone biopsy depending on the size of the tumour. The most studied approach used by most centres worldwide is the radical vaginal trachelectomy (RVT) that has proven efficient in terms of obstetric outcomes with a good oncological safety profile [3].

In recent years there has been discussion about the risk of premature birth and other adverse obstetric outcomes in patients undergoing cervical tissue removal for treatment of invasive or pre-invasive cervical disease [3,4,5]. Despite different opinions in relation to measurements (dimensions, volume) all seem to agree that the larger the excision, the greater the risk for adverse obstetric results. Premature labour has been observed in 12-28% [6]. The shortening of the cervix plays an important role in the risk of premature delivery as shown in patients after cone biopsy [5,7]. However, the measurement on the specimen excised does not correctly reflect the remaining cervical stroma responsible for maintaining the pregnancy to term. This is because the initial length of the cervix from where the excised tissue is subtracted is different between patients and mainly varies with previous operations on the cervix or cervical trauma and previous pregnancies.

MRI is used pre-operatively to determine the dimensions of the tumour, in relation to healthy cervical stroma and indicate involvement of the parametrium [4,8,9,10]. However, it has not been used routinely post-trachelectomy to assess the risk of adverse obstetric outcome. In this study we sought to estimate the risk of adverse obstetric outcomes in patients following a RVT for stage 1B1 cervical cancer by measuring the length of the residual cervix on a 6-month postoperative MRI scan in order to aid future management and counselling of patients post-trachelectomy.

## Methods

Women who had a RVT for stage IB1 cervical cancer at the Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK between January 1995 and December 2015 were identified. Fourteen of the women included had obstetric outcomes reported as part of a previous publication [4]. From this initial population we excluded the patients that never became pregnant or tried for a pregnancy or lost to follow up. We included only women that conceived after a RVT and their pregnancy completed at least 12 weeks gestation. All included patients needed to have a 6-month postoperative MRI scan of the pelvis according to the protocol followed at the institution. The clinico-pathological characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

Adverse obstetric outcomes were classified as late miscarriage after 12 weeks gestation, premature delivery before 37 weeks of gestation, premature rupture of the membranes (PROM) and chorioamnionitis. An elective Caesarian-section at  $\geq 34$  weeks was not considered an adverse event, as this was a standard recommendation for a time in some institutions. Obstetric outcomes were recorded from the letters from postoperative appointments in the clinic for the first 10 years, as well as by contacting the patients' General Practitioners when they were lost to follow up or discharged before completing 10 years. These are summarised in Table 2.

The pregnancy rate was defined as the ratio of patients who had at least one pregnancy as a function of the total number of patients attempting pregnancy. The live birth rate was defined as the ratio of live-birth deliveries to the total number of pregnancies achieved. A premature delivery was defined as a delivery <37 weeks' gestation. These deliveries were classified into three categories of prematurity: 24–28 weeks, 29–33 weeks, and 34–36 weeks. The prematurity rate was defined as the ratio of patients who had premature delivery to the total number of pregnancies ending at the second and third trimester.

Method for the measurement of the residual cervical length:

Routine post-operative MRI images performed at 6 months follow-up were digitally stored and available for analysis. MRI images were analysed by three researchers experienced in Gynaecological Oncology imaging. Each individual researcher independently identified the best sagittal cervical image that captured the entire residual cervical canal and measured the residual cervical length. All three were blinded to the pre- and post-operative obstetric outcomes.

The isthmus of the uterus corresponds to the lower uterine segment and in non-pregnant women is about 5 mm length and is less muscular than the corpus. It forms the junction between the corpus and the cervix. The internal os is situated in the lower part of the isthmus (Fig. 1). The isthmus can be identified based on the external anatomy of the uterus, as there is a slight constriction with corresponding “waisting” at the cervico-uterine junction at the location of the internal os (Fig. 1). Moreover, on T2-weighted images, the inner layer of intermediate signal intensity in the cervix, which corresponds to the endocervical mucosa transitions to a more high signal-intensity endometrium. The appearance of the cervical stroma also differs from the myometrium being lower in signal intensity. This change in zonal anatomy between cervix and uterine body is recognizable. Although the internal os can be more difficult to identify accurately post-trachelectomy as the orientation of the longitudinal axis of the cervix is more variable and the anatomy is distorted (Fig. 1), use of anatomical shape and MRI features meant that it was identifiable after trachelectomy with adequate accuracy.

#### Statistical analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) and a Bland-Altman plot were used to evaluate the agreement between the researchers measurements of residual cervical length, and regression models were used to test if there were correlations between the mean difference and average.

Accepted Article

Values are given as medians and percentages. Differences in patients' characteristics were analysed using Fisher's exact Test. The measurement used for the analysis of adverse obstetric outcomes was the mean of the three measurements. Patients were divided into two groups. Those with less than 10 mm residual cervical length and those with 10 mm residual cervical length or greater. Only the first pregnancy of each patient was considered for statistical analysis. Differences between groups were tested using the Fisher's exact Test. Events defined as adverse obstetric outcomes included late miscarriage after 12 weeks, premature delivery <37 weeks, premature rupture of membranes and chorioamnionitis. An elective C-section at  $\geq 34$  weeks was not included in the analysis of adverse obstetric outcomes. Patients having a first trimester miscarriage were excluded from the final analysis. A logistic regression analysis to assess the predictive value of the residual cervical length was not performed, as the number of patients was too low. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. We used the statistical software SPSS 17.0 for Mac for statistical analysis.

#### Core outcomes measures

The main outcome of the study was the measurement of the residual cervix after RVT for early cervical cancer on the post-operative MRI scan and the reproducibility of the method for the measurement between researchers. Other outcomes described in the study were the pregnancy results on these patients after RVT and the adverse obstetric outcomes, including late miscarriage, premature delivery, PROM and chorioamnionitis. The association between the residual cervical length measured and the adverse obstetric outcomes was analysed.

## Patient involvement

Patients were not involved in the design, development or interpretation of this study; patients facilitated data about their pregnancy in their follow up appointments.

## Funding

The authors have not received any funding to support this study.

## Results

A total of 140 RVT were performed over the period of study. All patients underwent a RVT and laparoscopic bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection with preservation of the ovaries as treatment for stage IB1 cervical cancer with a cervical cerclage inserted previous to the cervico-vaginal anastomosis. All patients were disease-free at the time of conception. The clinico-pathological characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1. Of the 64 patients who attempted a pregnancy, 37 (57.8%) were identified as having achieved a pregnancy. There were 54 pregnancies from the 37 women in total.

Impacting on subsequent fertility, thirteen of the 64 patients trying to conceive (20.3%) were found to have cervical stenosis. Of the women who achieved a pregnancy, eleven (29.7%) achieved a pregnancy with the help of assisted reproductive techniques. Nine of the 27 patients that were not able to conceive naturally also had unsuccessful IVF. The fertility results are reported in Table 2. There were nine (16.7%) first trimester miscarriages and six (11.1%) late fetal losses. There were twelve cases of preterm birth, with a prematurity rate of 31.6% and a live birth rate of 66.7%. The details of the pregnancy outcomes in each patient are described in Table 3.



Of the 37 pregnant patients, the 6-month follow-up images were missing in six cases. Therefore, 31 MRI scans were analysed. The Pearson correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) showed a strong positive linear relationship between researcher's observations ( $r^1=0.851$ ,  $r^2=0.906$ ,  $r^3=0.901$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) indicating the reasonableness of assuming a reproducibility of the method. The Bland-Altman plot analysis showed agreement between researchers. The estimated mean difference (MD) and standard deviation (SD) between the paired measurements and the Bland Altman limits of agreement and the linear regression coefficient (R) are detailed as follow: Researcher 1 and 2 comparison: MD=-0,09, SD=3,11, IC 95%=(5,99)-(-6,18), R=0,258; p=0,019; Researcher 1 and 3 comparison: MD=1,86, SD=2,79, IC 95%=(7,34)-(-3,62), R=0,794; p=0,030; Researcher 2 and 3 comparison: MD=1,51, SD=2,90, IC 95%=(7,18)-(-4,17), R=-0,288; p=0,020.

Of the 31 patients with post-operative MRI scans available, two had a first trimester miscarriage and were therefore excluded from the analysis resulting in 29 patients included in the final assessment of cervical length. Patients were divided into two groups with residual cervical length <10 mm (n=19) or  $\geq$ 10 mm (n=10). There were seven (36.8%) cases of PROM in the group with <10 mm residual cervix compared to no cases in the group of patients with  $\geq$ 10 mm residual cervix (7/19 (36.84%) vs. 0/10 (0%) respectively, p=0.032).

For the premature delivery analysis 24 patients with pregnancies over 22 weeks gestation were included. In the group of patients with <10 mm residual cervix, ten (66.7%) had a preterm birth compared to two (22.2%) in patients with  $\geq$ 10 mm residual cervix (10/15, 66.7%, versus 2/9, 22.2%, respectively, p=0.045). There were no differences for late miscarriage and chorioamnionitis between the two groups (Table 4).

A total of 36 live births and two fetal deaths occurred. The mean follow-up at the time of analysis was 78.1 months (SD 42.4 months, range 17-177 months). At this time 36

patients were alive and disease-free and one patient experienced a recurrence seven years after diagnosis and died of the disease 56 months after recurrence.

## **Discussion**

### **Main findings**

We have demonstrated that shortening of the cervix following RVT plays an important role in the risk of premature delivery. Although this has been shown in pregnant patients following cone biopsy [5], its relevance following trachelectomy has not been documented. Importantly, this means that the risk of preterm birth after RVT may be assessed before pregnancy, which has not previously been described.

### **Strengths and limitations**

There are few studies investigating the roll of the cervical length in patients after radical trachelectomy. In these studies the measurements of the residual cervix were done during pregnancy and performed by ultrasound scan [11,12]. The present study is the first reporting data on residual cervix before pregnancy. No other articles analysing postoperative MRI scans on trachelectomy patients have been reported in the literature until now.

For many patients included in the last part of the study period, the follow-up time was relatively short and therefore most of them had not tried for a pregnancy at the time of the analysis. Few years more would give more time for these patients to become pregnant and subsequently even more useful data.

Patients were included over a period of 20 years, and over that time the store of the imaging has changed and some of the old MRI imaging were not available for the

analysis. The number of patients was not enough for a multivariable analysis. More cases are needed in order to assess the predictive value of the residual cervical length prior to pregnancy.

### **Interpretation**

The role of residual cervical length in patients after LLETZ on ultrasound done in the second trimester of pregnancy has been described [11]. Kasuga et al [12] investigated the association between mid-trimester residual cervical length and gestational age at delivery after abdominal radical trachelectomy by ultrasound assessment of the residual cervix between 21 and 23 weeks' gestation. They concluded that women with a residual cervix shorter than 13 mm had a higher risk of birth before 34 weeks. Pils et al [13] further reported the results of a screening program for the prediction of preterm delivery in pregnancies after LLETZ, concluding that women with preterm birth had lower cervical lengths than those without. Our data not only shows that adverse obstetric outcomes can be predicted on measurements made 6 months after RVT, but also uses the more robust and repeatable imaging modality of MRI and demonstrates the reproducibility of the measurement of residual cervical length between observers.

RVT has been proven to be a good fertility-sparing treatment for patients with early stage cervical cancer with low recurrence rate [3]. Shepherd et al [4] reported a 5-year cumulative pregnancy rate of 52.8%. The pregnancy rate in the present study was 57.8%. The most important obstetric complication after RVT is preterm birth. In a recent meta-analysis performed by Zhabg et al [3] the miscarriage rate reported was 18.8%-29.6% and the preterm delivery rate 19.6%-34.2%. Data from our study are comparable. The 61.5% incidence of PROM reported by Ebisawa et al [14] was associated with cervical granulocyte elastase and bacterial vaginosis. We do not have

information about vaginal infection during pregnancy in our series. Whether routine check-ups of vaginal infection in first and second trimester in trachelectomy patients for treatment with appropriate antibiotics for the prevention of ascending infection is not clear, as other authors have reported that the treatment of bacterial vaginosis in asymptomatic pregnant patients does not decrease the incidence of preterm birth [15]. No cases of bleeding from the residual cervix in the third trimester or endometritis postpartum were reported in our series.

In addition to the risk of ascending infection, other factors could increase the risk of late miscarriage, PROM and preterm birth after trachelectomy. These include insufficient mechanical support and the loss of cervical mucus production [16,17]. In these patients, the value of preventive cerclage is an unresolved question. Some centres prefer to place a preventative cerclage during oncological surgery [18,19,20], as cervical cerclage may prevent dilatation of the residual cervix and the subsequent PROM and chorioamnionitis [20], whereas other centres prefer that placement to be done during pregnancy [21].

RVT may also be associated with fertility problems [22,23]. In the present study, 27 patients were found to be infertile; eleven of them were able to conceive with IVF, nine patients underwent no successful IVF procedure. There were thirteen cases of cervical stenosis. Plante el at [24] reported fertility problems in 13.5% of the patients that tried to conceive, 40% due to cervical factor. It is uncertain how many of these cases had fertility problems before trachelectomy.

## **Conclusion**

This study reveals that the risk of prematurity after RVT can be predicted from MRI measurements of residual cervical length on a 6 month post-operative scan and that the measurements are reproducible. This is invaluable information for counselling

Accepted Article

patients that want to conceive after this operation. The incidence of PROM and premature delivery is higher when the residual cervix after a RVT is less than 10 mm. Surgeons therefore should try to preserve at least 1 cm of the upper cervix when it is possible to obtain at least 1 cm clearance of normal tissue surrounding the cervical carcinoma in order to allow better obstetric outcomes.

#### **Disclosure of interests**

None declared. Completed disclosure of interest forms are available to view online as supporting information.

#### **Contribution to authorship**

RM Alvarez performed the study design. TEJ Ind and JH Shepherd directly performed the surgical treatment. TEJ Ind, JH Shepherd, J Butler, M Nobbenhuis and DJP Barton were involved in the clinical management of the patients. RM Alvarez, I Biliatis and E Papadakou performed the data collection. RM Alvarez, I Biliatis and A Rockall performed the MRI readings. N deSouza and A Sohaib performed the imaging studies. RM Alvarez performed statistical analysis, the literature review and preparation of the initial manuscript. RM Alvarez, I Biliatis and TEJ Ind completed critical revision of the manuscript and support to all drafts. All authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript as submitted.

#### **Details of ethics approval**

The study was approved for ethics by the Service Evaluation and Clinical Audit Committee of the Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust (reference number SE538) on June 2016.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Department of Gynaecological Oncology at the Royal Marsden Hospital, doctors, specialist nurses and theatre team members involved in the care of our patients. The authors would also like to thank all General Practitioners who participated in order to help us with collection of patient's information.

## Funding

The authors have not received any funding to support this study.

## References

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, et al. Globocan 2012 v1.0, Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide. IARC CancerBase No.11.
2. Robustillo SA, Corsini V, Marcu M, Vasileva K, Marchett E. EU employment and social situation. *Quarterly Review* 2013. *Special Supplement on Demographic trends*. Publications Office of the European Union.
3. Zhang Q, Li W, Kanis MJ, Oi G, Li M, Yang X, et al. Oncologic and obstetrical outcomes with fertility-sparing treatment of cervical cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Jul;8(28):46580-46592.
4. Shepherd JH, Spencer C, Herod J, Ind TE. Radical vaginal trachelectomy as a fertility-sparing procedure in women with early-stage cervical cancer-cumulative pregnancy rate in a series of 123 women. *BJOG*. 2006 Jun;113(6):719-24.
5. Kyrgiou M, Athanasiou A, Paraskevaidi M, Mitra A, Kalliala I, Martin-Hirsch P, et al. Adverse obstetric outcomes after local treatment for cervical preinvasive and early invasive disease according to cone depth: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2016;354:i3633.

6. Schneider A, Erdemoglu E, Chiantera V, Reed N, Morice P, Rodolakis A, et al. Clinical recommendation radical trachelectomy for fertility preservation in patients with early-stage cervical cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012;22(4) 659–66.
7. Bruinsma FJ, Quinn MA. The risk of preterm birth following treatment for precancerous changes in the cervix: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG*. 2011;118(9) 1031–41.
8. Downey K, Attygalle AD, Morgan VA, Giles SL, MacDonald A, Davis M, et al. Comparison of optimised endovaginal vs external array coil T2-weighted and diffusion-weighted imaging techniques for detecting suspected early stage (IA/IB1) uterine cervical cancer. *Eur Radiol*. 2016 Apr;26(4):941-50.
9. Downey K, Shepherd JH, Attygalle AD, Hazell S, Morgan VA, Giles SL, et al. Preoperative imaging in patients undergoing trachelectomy for cervical cancer: validation of a combined T2- and diffusion-weighted endovaginal MRI technique at 3.0 T. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2014 May;133(2):326-32.
10. Fischerova D, Cibula D, Stenhova H, Vondrichova H, Calda P, Zikan M, et al. Transrectal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging in staging of early cervical cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2008 Jul;18(4) 766–72.
11. Poon LC, Savvas M, Zamblera D, Skyfta E, Nicolaidis KH. Large loop excision of transformation zone and cervical length in the prediction of spontaneous preterm delivery. *BJOG*. 2012;119:692–8.
12. Kasuga Y, Miyakoshi K, Nishio H, Akiba Y, Otani T, Fukutake M, et al. Mid-trimester residual cervical length and the risk of preterm birth in pregnancies after abdominal radical trachelectomy: a retrospective analysis. *BJOG*. 2017 Oct;124(11):1729-1735.

13. Pils S, Eppel W, Seemann R, Natter C, Ott J. Sequential cervical length screening in pregnancies after LLETZ conisation: a retrospective analysis. *BJOG*. 2014;121:457–463.
14. Ebisawa K, Takano M, Fukuda M, Fujiwara K, Hada T, Ota Y, et al. Obstetric outcomes of patients undergoing total laparoscopic radical trachelectomy for early stage cervical cancer. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2013;131:83–6.
15. Carey JC, Klebanoff MA, Hauth JC, Hillier SL, Thom EA, Ernest JM, et al. Metronidazole to prevent preterm delivery in pregnant women with asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:534–40.
16. Bentivegna E, Gouy S, Maulard A, Chargari C, Leary A, Morice P. Oncological outcomes after fertility-sparing surgery for cervical cancer: a systematic review. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016;17:e240–53.
17. Jolley JA, Wing DA. Pregnancy management after cervical surgery. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;20:528–33.
18. Abu-Rustum NR, Neubauer N, Sonoda Y, Park KJ, Gemignani M, Alektiar KM, et al. Surgical and pathologic outcomes of fertility-sparing radical abdominal trachelectomy for FIGO stage IB1 cervical cancer. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2008;111(2) 261–4.
19. Nishio H, Fujii T, Kameyama K, Susumu N, Nakamura M, Iwata T, et al. Abdominal radical trachelectomy as a fertility-sparing procedure in women with early-stage cervical cancer in a series of 61 women. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2009;115(1) 51–5.
20. Kim M, Ishioka SI, Endo T, Baba T, Akashi Y, Morishita M, et al. Importance of uterine cervical cerclage to maintain a successful pregnancy for patients who undergo vaginal radical trachelectomy. *Int J Clin Oncol*. 2014;19:906–11.



21. Pareja FR, Ramirez PT, Borrero FM, Angel CG. Abdominal radical trachelectomy for invasive cervical cancer: a case series and literature review. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2008;111(3) 555–60.
22. Bentivegna E, Maulard A, Pautier P, Chargari C, Gouy S, Morice P. Fertility results and pregnancy outcomes after conservative treatment of cervical cancer: a systematic review of the literature. *Fertil Steril*. 2016 Oct;106(5):1195-1211.e5.
23. Speiser D, Mangler M, Kohler C, Hasenbein K, Hertel H, Chiantera V, et al. Fertility outcome after radical vaginal trachelectomy: a prospective study of 212 patients. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2011;21:1635–9.
24. Plante M, Gregoire J, Renaud MC, Roy M. The vaginal radical trachelectomy: an update of a series of 125 cases and 106 pregnancies. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2011;121:290–7.

### Figure legends

Figure 1. A: Pre-trachelectomy MRI scan. The internal os can be identified as the transition point between the mucosa of the endocervical canal and the endometrial cavity. B, C and D: Post trachelectomy MRI scans.

### Table legends

Table 1. Clinico-pathological characteristics.

Table 2. Details of fertility results.

Table 3. Description of pregnant patients and pregnancy outcomes.

Table 4. Association between the residual cervical length and subsequent obstetrical complications and preterm birth.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Table 1. Clinico-pathological characteristics

|                                    |                |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Total number of women included     | 37             |
| Age at diagnosis, mean (range)     | 30.2 (24-43)   |
| Histology                          |                |
| • Adenocarcinoma                   | 15 (40.5%)     |
| • Squamous carcinoma               | 21 (56.8%)     |
| • Adenosquamous                    | 1 (2.7%)       |
| Grade of differentiation           |                |
| • Well                             | 10 (27%)       |
| • Moderate                         | 13 (35.1%)     |
| • Severe                           | 12 (32.4%)     |
| • Unspecified                      | 2 (5.4%)       |
| LVSI                               |                |
| • Present                          | 10 (27%)       |
| • Absent                           | 27 (73%)       |
| Preoperative LLETZ                 |                |
| • Yes                              | 36             |
| • No                               | 1              |
| Hospital stay (days), mean (range) | 6.53 (0-13)    |
| Follow up (months), mean (range)   | 78.08 (17-177) |

Table 2. Details of fertility results.

|  | N         |
|--|-----------|
| Total trachelectomy patients                 | 140       |
| Patients attempting pregnancy                |           |
| Yes  | 64        |
| No   | 74        |
| Unknown                                      | 2         |
| Pregnant patients                            | 37        |
| Natural pregnancy                            | 26        |
| IVF  | 11        |
| Infertile patients                           | 27        |
| Complications impacting subsequent fertility |           |
| Cervical stenosis                            | 13        |
| Hematometra                                  | 2         |
| Cerclage problems                            | 1         |
| Secondary amenorrhoea                        | 1         |
| Total number of pregnancies                  | 54        |
| Fetal loss 1T                                | 9         |
| Fetal loss 2T                                | 5         |
| Fetal loss 3T                                | 1         |
| Termination of pregnancy                     | 2         |
| Ectopic pregnancy                            | 0         |
| Preterm delivery                             | 12        |
| Between 22 and 28 weeks                      | 3         |
| Between 29 and 33 weeks                      | 5         |
| Between 34 and 36 weeks                      | 4*        |
| Pregnancy rate                               | 57.8%**   |
| Live birth rate                              | 66.7%***  |
| Prematurity rate                             | 31.6%**** |
| Total fetal death                            | 2         |
| Total live birth                             | 36        |

\*Cases with elective Caesarean section at  $\geq 34$  weeks were not considered premature birth.

\*\*Ratio of patients who had at least one pregnancy and the total number of patients attempting pregnancy.

\*\*\*Ratio of live-birth deliveries to the total number of pregnancies achieved.

\*\*\*\*Ratio of premature delivery to the total number of births (n=38).

Table 3. Description of pregnancy outcomes.

|    | Age | Conception | Pregnancies after RVT (n) | Type of delivery  | WG 1st preg | WG 2nd preg | Complications/comments  |
|----|-----|------------|---------------------------|---|-------------|-------------|---|
| 1  | 35  | natural    | 6                         | Elective CS   | 37          | 37          | CS at 37 WG in 3 pregnancies.<br>Three 1T miscarriages later                                      |
| 2  | 43  | natural    | 1                         | -   | 1T          |             | 1T miscarriage  |
| 3  | 31  | natural    | 2                         | Elective CS   | 35          | 37          | -   |
| 4  | 27  | IVF        | 2                         | 1 <sup>st</sup> Emergency CS<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Elective CS | 34          | 38          | PROM at 34 WG   |
| 5  | 36  | natural    | 1                         | Elective CS   | 37          |             | -   |
| 6  | 34  | natural    | 1                         | Elective CS   | 36          |             | -   |
| 7  | 27  | IVF        | 3                         | 1 <sup>st</sup> elective CS<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Emergency CS | 39          | 33          | Preterm birth in 2 <sup>nd</sup> preg. Termination due to trisomy 21 in 3 <sup>rd</sup> at 21 WG. |
| 8  | 38  | IVF        | 1                         | -   | 35          |             | PROM, chorioamnionitis, fetal death   |
| 9  | 30  | natural    | 1                         | Emergency CS  | 34          |             | Preterm birth   |
| 10 | 35  | natural    | 1                         | -   | 1T          |             | 7 WG miscarriage  |
| 11 | 32  | natural    | 2                         | 1 <sup>st</sup> Emergency CS<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Elective CS | 34          | 38          | PROM at 33 WG, CS at 34 WG  |
| 12 | 30  | natural    | 2                         | Elective CS   | 37          | 37          | -   |
| 13 | 29  | natural    | 3                         | Elective CS   | 37          |             | Two 1T miscarriage after baby   |
| 14 | 31  | natural    | 2                         | 1 <sup>st</sup> Emergency CS<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Elective CS | 33          | 34          | PROM in 1 <sup>st</sup> preg  |
| 15 | 29  | natural    | 1                         | Elective CS   | 37          |             | -   |
| 16 | 31  | natural    | 1                         | Emergency CS  | 19          |             | PROM at 17 WG, chorioamnionitis and cord prolapse at 18 WG, late miscarriage one week later       |
| 17 | 26  | IVF        | 1                         | Vaginal delivery  | 24          |             | Preterm birth, fetal death  |
| 18 | 29  | IVF        | 1                         | Elective CS   | 35          |             | -   |
| 19 | 34  | natural    | 3                         | Elective CS   | 37+1        | 1T          | 11 WG miscarriage in 2 <sup>nd</sup> , termination due to malformation in 3 <sup>rd</sup>         |
| 20 | 30  | IVF        | 1                         | Emergency CS  | 27          |             | Preterm birth   |
| 21 | 35  | IVF        | 1                         |   | 1T          |             | 1T miscarriage  |
| 22 | 26  | natural    | 1                         |   | 12          |             | Late miscarriage  |
| 23 | 27  | natural    | 1                         | Vaginal delivery  | 27          |             | PROM. Preterm birth   |
| 24 | 37  | IVF        | 2                         | Elective CS   | 38          | 37          | -   |

|    |    |         |   |              |      |  |                          |
|----|----|---------|---|--------------|------|--|--------------------------|
| 25 | 28 | IVF     | 1 |              | 21   |  | Late miscarriage         |
| 26 | 27 | natural | 1 | Elective CS  | 38   |  | -                        |
| 27 | 26 | natural | 1 |              | 16   |  | Late miscarriage         |
| 28 | 26 | natural | 1 | Emergency CS | 33   |  | Preterm birth            |
| 29 | 24 | IVF     | 1 | NVD twins    | 36   |  | -                        |
| 30 | 30 | natural | 1 | Elective CS  | 36   |  | -                        |
| 31 | 30 | IVF     | 1 | Emergency CS | 32+4 |  | Fetal distress           |
| 32 | 25 | natural | 1 | Elective CS  | 34   |  | -                        |
| 33 | 33 | natural | 1 | Elective CS  | 38   |  | -                        |
| 34 | 25 | natural | 1 | Elective CS  | 39   |  | -                        |
| 35 | 28 | natural | 1 | Emergency CS | 32   |  | PROM at 26 WG, got 32 WG |
| 36 | 25 | natural | 1 | Elective CS  | 35   |  | -                        |
| 37 | 28 | natural | 1 |              | 14   |  | Late miscarriage         |

Preg: Pregnancy

CS: Caesarean section

PROM: Premature rupture of membranes

WG: weeks' gestation

1T: first trimester

IVF: In vitro fertilization

Table 4. Association between the residual cervical length and subsequent obstetrical complications and preterm birth.

|                            | <10 mm length<br>(n=19) | ≥10 mm length<br>(n=10) | p                  |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Late miscarriage           | 4/19 (21.05%)           | 1/10 (10%)              | 0.424 <sup>a</sup> |
| PROM                       | 7/19 (36.84%)           | 0/10                    | 0.032 <sup>a</sup> |
| Premature delivery*        | 10/15 (66.7%)           | 2/9 (22.2%)             | 0.045 <sup>a</sup> |
| Chorioamnionitis           | 2/19 (10.53%)           | 0/10                    | 0.421 <sup>a</sup> |
| Any pregnancy complication | 14/19 (73.68%)          | 3/10 (30%)              | 0.030 <sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Fisher's exact Test

For this analysis patients with 1T miscarriage have been excluded (included n=29).

\*For the premature delivery analysis patients with pregnancies over 22 WG have been included only (included n=24).

