



Ultrafast glutamate sensors resolve high-frequency release at Schaffer collateral synapses

Nordine Helassa^{a,1,2}, Céline D. Dürst^{b,1}, Catherine Coates^a, Silke Kerruth^a, Urwa Arif^a, Christian Schulze^b, J. Simon Wiegert^{b,3}, Michael Geeves^c, Thomas G. Oertner^b, and Katalin Török^{a,4}

^aMolecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute, St George's, University of London, SW17 0RE London, United Kingdom; ^bInstitute for Synaptic Physiology, Center for Molecular Neurobiology Hamburg, 20251 Hamburg, Germany; and ^cSchool of Biosciences, University of Kent, CT2 7NZ Canterbury, United Kingdom

Edited by Susan G. Amara, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, and approved April 17, 2018 (received for review November 27, 2017)

Glutamatergic synapses display a rich repertoire of plasticity mechanisms on many different time scales, involving dynamic changes in the efficacy of transmitter release as well as changes in the number and function of postsynaptic glutamate receptors. The genetically encoded glutamate sensor iGluSnFR enables visualization of glutamate release from presynaptic terminals at frequencies up to ~10 Hz. However, to resolve glutamate dynamics during high-frequency bursts, faster indicators are required. Here, we report the development of fast (iGlu_f) and ultrafast (iGlu_u) variants with comparable brightness but increased K_d for glutamate (137 μ M and 600 μ M, respectively). Compared with iGluSnFR, iGlu_u has a sixfold faster dissociation rate in vitro and fivefold faster kinetics in synapses. Fitting a three-state model to kinetic data, we identify the large conformational change after glutamate binding as the rate-limiting step. In rat hippocampal slice culture stimulated at 100 Hz, we find that iGlu_u is sufficiently fast to resolve individual glutamate release events, revealing that glutamate is rapidly cleared from the synaptic cleft. Depression of iGlu_u responses during 100-Hz trains correlates with depression of postsynaptic EPSPs, indicating that depression during high-frequency stimulation is purely presynaptic in origin. At individual boutons, the recovery from depression could be predicted from the amount of glutamate released on the second pulse (paired pulse facilitation/depression), demonstrating differential frequency-dependent filtering of spike trains at Schaffer collateral boutons.

glutamate | synaptic transmission | hippocampus | two-photon imaging

The efficacy of synaptic transmission is not constant but changes dynamically during high-frequency activity. In terms of information processing, different forms of short-term plasticity act as specific frequency filters: Facilitating synapses are most effective during high-frequency bursts, while depressing synapses preferentially transmit isolated spikes preceded by silent periods (1). Mechanistically, a number of presynaptic and postsynaptic parameters change dynamically during high-frequency activity, e.g., the number of readily releasable vesicles, presynaptic Ca^{2+} dynamics, and the properties of postsynaptic receptors, which may be altered by Ca^{2+} -activated enzymes (2, 3).

Electrophysiological analysis of short-term plasticity, by monitoring postsynaptic responses, is complicated by the fact that neurons are often connected by more than one synapse. In addition, it is not straightforward to distinguish between presynaptic and postsynaptic plasticity mechanisms. Directly measuring glutamate concentrations inside the synaptic cleft during high-frequency activity would allow isolating the dynamics of the vesicle release machinery from potential changes in glutamate receptor properties (e.g., desensitization, phosphorylation, or lateral diffusion). Early fluorescent glutamate sensors, constructed by chemical labeling of the fused glutamate binding lobes of ionotropic glutamate receptor GluA2 (termed S1S2) (4–6) and later of the bacterial periplasmic glutamate/aspartate binding protein (GluBP) (7, 8), were not suitable for quantitative single-synapse experiments due to their low dynamic range. Genetically encoded FRET-based fluorescent glutamate sensors,

e.g., FLIPE, GluSnFR, and SuperGluSnFR (Fig. 1A), have relatively low FRET efficiency, since glutamate binding causes only a small conformational change in GluBP (9–11). A breakthrough in visualizing glutamate release in intact tissue was achieved with iGluSnFR, a single-fluorophore glutamate sensor (12). Following the concept developed for the GCaMP family of genetically encoded Ca^{2+} sensors (13), iGluSnFR was constructed from circularly permuted (cp) EGFP (14) inserted into the GluBP sequence, creating a large fragment iGlu_f (residues 1–253) at the N terminus and a small fragment iGlu_s (residues 254–279) at the C terminus (Fig. 1A). Upon glutamate binding, GluBP is reconstituted from its two fragments, pulling the cpEGFP β -barrel together, resulting in a ~fivefold fluorescence increase. iGluSnFR is targeted for extracellular expression, like previous genetically encoded glutamate sensors, by fusion with a PDGFR peptide segment (10, 12).

iGluSnFR has high glutamate affinity and a large dynamic range but reacts relatively slowly to synaptic glutamate release with a reported decay half-time ($t_{1/2}$) of 92 ms (12). Imaging iGluSnFR in cultured hippocampal neurons during 10-Hz stimulation shows summation, which, without deconvolution, might indicate that glutamate accumulates during stimulation (15). Deconvolution of the

Significance

Excitatory synapses convert presynaptic action potentials into chemical signals that are sensed by postsynaptic glutamate receptors. To eavesdrop on synaptic transmission, genetically encoded fluorescent sensors for glutamate have been developed. However, even the best available sensors lag behind the very fast glutamate dynamics in the synaptic cleft. Here, we report the development of an ultrafast genetically encoded glutamate sensor, iGlu_u, which allowed us to image glutamate clearance and synaptic depression during 100-Hz spike trains. We found that only boutons showing paired-pulse facilitation were able to rapidly recover from depression. Thus, presynaptic boutons act as frequency-specific filters to transmit select features of the spike train to specific postsynaptic cells.

Author contributions: J.S.W., T.G.O., and K.T. designed research; N.H., C.D.D., C.C., U.A., and K.T. performed research; N.H., C.D.D., C.C., S.K., C.S., M.G., T.G.O., and K.T. analyzed data; and T.G.O. and K.T. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Data deposition: The plasmids for iGlu_f and iGlu_u have been deposited in the Addgene database, <https://www.addgene.org/75443/>.

¹N.H. and C.D.D. contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, L69 3BX Liverpool, United Kingdom.

³Present address: Research Group Synaptic Wiring and Information Processing, Center for Molecular Neurobiology Hamburg, 20251 Hamburg, Germany.

⁴To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: k.torok@sgul.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1720648115/-DCSupplemental.

Published online May 7, 2018.




Fig. 1. Genetically encoded glutamate indicators (GEGIs). (A) Domain structure and design of FRET- and single fluorophore-based GEGIs; key: GluBP (blue), cpEGFP (green), IgG kappa secretion tag (pink), hemagglutinin (HA) tag (purple), myc tag (gray), and a PDGFR transmembrane domain (brown); GluBP 1–253 and 254–279 fragments are in light and dark blue, respectively; $\Delta 8$ aa and $\Delta 5$ aa specify deletions at the N and C terminus of GluBP introduced in GluSnFR. (B) Design of selected iGluSnFR variants. Crystal structure of GluBP (PDB ID code 2VHA, adapted from ref. 8). Selected mutated residues around the glutamate site are shown as red and green backbone. Bound glutamate is represented in orange space filling display. (C) Equilibrium glutamate binding titrations at 20 °C for iGluSnFR (black circles), iGluSnFR E25D (iGlu_f) (red triangles), and iGluSnFR S72T (iGlu_u) (green squares) in vitro. (D) Glutamate titrations in situ at 37 °C. iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and iGlu_u were expressed in HEK293T cells and titrated with glutamate. Data derived from iGluSnFR ($n = 19$), iGlu_f ($n = 41$), and iGlu_u ($n = 33$). (E) Representative images of HEK293T cells before glutamate addition and at saturating (1, 3, and 10 mM, respectively) glutamate. (Scale bar: 10 μ m.) Glutamate dissociation kinetics of iGluSnFR (F), iGlu_f (G), and iGlu_u (H) determined by stopped-flow fluorimetry. Experimental data (dotted lines) are overlaid by curves fitted to single exponentials (solid lines). Fluorescence changes are normalized to F_{max} of 1. Imaging glutamate release from single presynaptic terminals. (I) Schematic representation of hippocampal slice with transfected and patch-clamped CA3 pyramidal cell. (J) Spiral scan intersecting site of vesicular fusion. (K) Zoomed-in image of single trial iGlu_u response. (L) Decay time (τ_{off}) measurements with bleach correction (dashed lines) for individual experiments by single exponential fit for iGluSnFR ($n = 13$ boutons, 500 Hz sampling rate) and variants iGlu_f ($n = 7$ boutons, 1 kHz sampling rate) and iGlu_u ($n = 7$ boutons, 1 kHz sampling rate).

data suggests that glutamate is cleared between release events (15). Here, we introduce two fast iGluSnFR variants, iGlu_f (for “fast”) and iGlu_u (for “ultrafast”) for accurate tracking of synaptic glutamate dynamics during high-frequency transmission and identify the rate-limiting step leading to bright fluorescence upon glutamate binding. In organotypic slice cultures of rat hippocampus, iGlu_u directly reports discrete synaptic glutamate release events at 100 Hz. Combining high-speed two-photon imaging and electrophysiology, we show that short-term depression of Schaffer collateral AMPA responses is fully accounted for by the depression of glutamate release. Furthermore, we show a tight correlation between paired-pulse facilitation and rapid recovery from posttetanic depression at individual boutons, suggesting that differential use of presynaptic resources (readily releasable vesicles) determines the filtering properties of CA3 pyramidal cell boutons.

Results

Affinity Variants of iGluSnFR by Binding Site Mutations. We generated six iGluSnFR variants by mutating residues coordinating

glutamate or in the vicinity of the binding site (9). Two of the mutations lowered, and four increased, the K_d for glutamate. Variants in order of increasing dissociation constant (K_d) were E25A < E25R < iGluSnFR < E25D < S72T < R24K < T92A (from 19 μ M to 12 mM) with Hill coefficients of 1.3–2.6 (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1A and Table S1*).

We selected the two variants with the fastest response kinetics, iGluSnFR E25D (termed iGlu_f) and iGluSnFR S72T (termed iGlu_u) (Fig. 1 A and B) for detailed biophysical characterization as isolated proteins and as membrane-bound glutamate sensors on HEK293T cells and pyramidal neurons. Selectivity for glutamate was determined against aspartate, glutamine, D-serine, GABA, and glycine. iGlu_f and iGlu_u affinities for aspartate were similar to that for glutamate, as previously reported for iGluSnFR (12), but with two- to threefold lower fluorescence enhancement. The affinity for glutamine was in the millimolar range for all three probes (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1B and Table S3*). D-serine, GABA, and glycine evoked no detectable response. pK_a for the glutamate-bound form was ~ 6.5 for iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and

iGlu_u, whereas the apo-form showed little pH dependence, indicating a well-shielded chromophore (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1 C–E*). Brightness values for iGlu_f and iGlu_u were similar to that for iGluSnFR (*SI Appendix, Table S2*). In vitro measurements gave a K_d for glutamate of $33 \mu\text{M}$ for iGluSnFR, similar to that previously reported (12), while iGlu_f and iGlu_u had increased K_d values of $137 \mu\text{M}$ and $600 \mu\text{M}$, respectively (Fig. 1C and *SI Appendix, Table S1*). When expressed on the membrane of HEK293T cells, K_d values for glutamate were reduced to $3.1 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}$ for iGluSnFR, $26 \pm 2 \mu\text{M}$ for iGlu_f, and $53 \pm 4 \mu\text{M}$ for iGlu_u (measured at 37 °C, Fig. 1D and E). A similar reduction of the K_d in the cellular environment compared with that in solution was reported for iGluSnFR (12). The in situ fluorescence dynamic range ($(F_{+\text{Glu}} - F_{-\text{Glu}})/F_{-\text{Glu}}$ or $\Delta F/F_0$) was 1.0 ± 0.1 for both iGluSnFR and iGlu_f, but 1.7-fold larger for iGlu_u.

Kinetic Measurements of iGluSnFR Variants in Vitro and in Situ. Based on their large K_d values, we expected iGlu_f and iGlu_u to have faster glutamate release kinetics than iGluSnFR. Fluorescence measurements in a stopped-flow instrument indeed revealed faster off rates for the new variants: using the nonfluorescent high-affinity GluBP 600n (10) in excess (0.67 mM) to trap released glutamate, k_{off} values of 110 s^{-1} ($\tau_{\text{off}} = 9 \text{ ms}$), 283 s^{-1} ($\tau_{\text{off}} = 4 \text{ ms}$), and 468 s^{-1} ($\tau_{\text{off}} = 2 \text{ ms}$) were obtained for iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and iGlu_u, respectively, at 20 °C (Fig. 1F–H and *SI Appendix, Table S4*). To compare in vitro response kinetics to physiological measurements, the temperature dependencies of the off rates of iGluSnFR and the fast variants were determined. Linear Arrhenius plots were obtained between 4 °C and 34 °C (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1 F and G*). For the fast variants, values exceeding the temporal precision of our stopped-flow device were linearly extrapolated. At 34 °C, decay rates were $233 \pm 3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for iGluSnFR ($\tau_{\text{off}} = 4.3 \text{ ms}$), $478 \pm 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for iGlu_f ($\tau_{\text{off}} = 2.1 \text{ ms}$), and $1,481 \pm 74 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for iGlu_u ($\tau_{\text{off}} = 0.68 \text{ ms}$). Thus, we were able to improve iGluSnFR kinetics by a factor of 6.3.

To image glutamate dynamics in the synaptic cleft, we expressed the newly generated iGluSnFR variants in CA3 pyramidal cells in organotypic slice culture of rat hippocampus. Fluorescence was monitored at single Schaffer collateral terminals in CA1 by spiral scanning (Fig. 1I and J) while action potentials (APs) were triggered by brief (2 ms) depolarizing current injections into the soma of the transfected CA3 neuron. A zoomed-in iGlu_u example trace sampled at 1 kHz, the resolution used in all quantitative experiments with this indicator, is shown (Fig. 1K). The iGluSnFR response started $4.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ ms}$ (mean \pm SD) after the peak of the somatic action potential, consistent with a short propagation delay between CA3 and CA1. Consistent with the stochastic nature of glutamate release, individual boutons showed different release probabilities (median $p_r = 0.56$, range 0.05–1.0). For kinetic analysis, boutons with high release probability and good separation between release failures and successes were selected (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). The measured fluorescence decay time constants (τ_{off}) were $13.8 \pm 3.8 \text{ ms}$ for iGluSnFR, $5.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ ms}$ for iGlu_f, and $2.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ ms}$ for iGlu_u (Fig. 1L and *SI Appendix, Fig. S3*). Thus, compared with iGluSnFR, synaptic responses detected by iGlu_u were revealed to be faster by a factor of 5.3. Interestingly, blocking glutamate uptake with DL-threo-beta-benzyloxyaspartate (DL-TBOA, 40 μM) did not slow down the decay of iGlu_u fluorescence (*SI Appendix, Fig. S4*), suggesting that after sparse activation of Schaffer collateral synapses, glutamate is rapidly cleared from the synaptic cleft by diffusion, not by active transport. The situation may be different in highly active neuropil (12, 16).

Synaptic Glutamate Dynamics During High-Frequency Stimulation.

With decay kinetics of 1–2 ms, iGlu_f and iGlu_u are promising tools for direct tracking of synaptic glutamate during high-frequency stimulation. The response of iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and iGlu_u to paired-pulse stimulation (Fig. 2 and *SI Appendix, Fig. S3*) and to trains of 10 APs at 50, 67, and 100 Hz (*SI Appendix, Fig. S5*) was tested. While the responses of iGluSnFR and iGlu_f




Fig. 2. Imaging glutamate release from single presynaptic terminals. Spiral line scans at 500 Hz were used to cover the entire surface of individual boutons, intersecting the release site multiple times. Averages of three to six responses of iGluSnFR (A and D), iGlu_f (B and E), and iGlu_u-expressing (C and F) boutons stimulated by two somatic APs at 48-ms (A–C) and 10-ms interstimulus intervals (D–F).

suggested build-up of glutamate during high-frequency stimulation, iGlu_u responses revealed that even at 100-Hz stimulation, glutamate was completely cleared from the synaptic cleft between APs (Fig. 2F and *SI Appendix, Fig. S5I*). Interestingly, the amplitudes of synaptic fluorescence signals ($\Delta F/F_0$) were similar for all three indicators, suggesting that the on rate, not the overall affinity, determined the number of glutamate-bound indicator molecules in the synaptic cleft.

Excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in CA1 become strongly depressed during high-frequency stimulation (17). We were interested whether EPSP depression during 100-Hz stimulation could be fully accounted for by depression of glutamate release from presynaptic boutons. In paired recordings from connected CA3-CA1 pyramidal cells, we triggered APs in the CA3 cell by brief current injections while monitoring postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in the CA1 cell. The protocol consisted of a short high-frequency burst (10 APs at 100 Hz) followed by a single AP 500 ms after the burst to probe recovery of synaptic function (18). We repeated the protocol up to 100 times at 0.1 Hz and averaged the recorded traces (Fig. 3A–C). As expected, connected CA3-CA1 pyramidal cell pairs showed strong depression during the high-frequency train. The response to the recovery test pulse (#11) was not significantly different from the first EPSP in the train, indicating full recovery of synaptic function. To investigate depression and recovery of glutamate release, we evaluated iGlu_u signals during identical stimulation (Fig. 3D and E). Due to the extremely fast kinetics of the indicator, deconvolution of the fluorescence time course was not necessary: We read the peak amplitudes during the 100-Hz train directly from the averaged fluorescence time course




Fig. 4. Kinetics of glutamate binding by iGluSnFR variants (20 °C). (A, C, and E) Glutamate association kinetics of iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and iGlu_u, respectively. Stopped-flow records of iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and iGlu_u reacting with the indicated concentrations of glutamate. Experimental data (dotted lines) are overlaid with curves fitted to single exponentials (solid lines). (B, D, and F) Plot of observed association rates, $k_{\text{obs(on)}}$ of iGluSnFR, iGlu_f, and iGlu_u as a function of glutamate concentration. (G) Cartoon diagram depicting the putative molecular transitions of iGluSnFR and its fast variants to the fluorescent state. Key: cpEGFP (green), GluBP 1–253 (iGlu_f) (light blue) and 254–279 (iGlu_u) (dark blue) fragments, glutamate (orange).

of $K_3k_{+4}/(1 + K_3)$ and an intercept of the y axis at k_{-2} (*SI Appendix, Kinetic Theory and Eq. 15*). Although at low [Glu] monoexponential fluorescence changes are expected, as [Glu] increases, the concentration of iGlu_c* cannot be assumed to be at steady state and slow isomerization will limit k_{obs} in a similar pattern to that for *SI Appendix, Scheme 1*. Thus, at high [Glu], even if iGlu_c* and Glu.iGlu_c* have equal relative fluorescence intensities, biphasic fluorescence changes would be expected for the association reactions. As all of the reactions studied here for the three variants had a single exponential appearance, we can

exclude *SI Appendix, Scheme 2* as a possible reaction pathway. In conclusion, *SI Appendix, Scheme 1* provides an excellent fit to our measurements (*SI Appendix, Table S5*), pointing to “Venus fly-trap” closure by glutamate binding as a required first step for the conformational change that increases iGluSnFR fluorescence.

Discussion

The development of iGluSnFR was a breakthrough in fluorescent glutamate sensors toward investigating neurotransmission in living organisms (20). Here, we describe how to overcome one of the key limitations of iGluSnFR, its slow response kinetics, and use the ultrafast variant iGlu_u to investigate synaptic transmission and frequency filtering at individual Schaffer collateral boutons. For all tested variants, synaptic off kinetics was slower by a factor of 2.5–3.8 compared with temperature-matched *in vitro* measurements on isolated protein. This is consistent with the much higher affinities of HEK293T cell-expressed glutamate sensors compared with soluble protein. These systematic differences, also noted in the original characterization of iGluSnFR (12), may be attributed to the tethering of the molecule to a membrane anchor, slowing down conformational changes compared with free-floating sensor molecules. Nevertheless, the relative differences in affinity and kinetics of the new versions compared with iGluSnFR were preserved *in vitro* and *in situ*. The on and off rates of iGlu_u are greater (two- and five- to sixfold, respectively) compared with iGluSnFR. Interestingly, iGlu_u was a faster reporter in the hippocampal slice than iGlu_f, although the latter has a faster limiting on rate. iGlu_u may be put at an advantage over iGlu_f by its concentration-independent response kinetics. It must be noted that the kinetics of iGluSnFR-type indicators are ultimately limited by the structural change that reconstitutes the fluorescent complex, similar to calcium-sensing GCaMPs. The constraints of the mechanism with regard to the onset of fluorescence suggest that it cannot be engineered to resolve submillisecond glutamate dynamics. To achieve microsecond response times, it might be necessary to develop hybrid glutamate indicators using synthetic dyes.

Synaptic iGlu_u imaging revealed complete clearance of glutamate between release events even at 100-Hz stimulation frequency. The first attempts to estimate the time course of synaptic glutamate transients were based on the decay of NMDA receptor responses in primary cell culture: Kinetic analysis of the displacement of a competitive NMDA receptor antagonist suggested glutamate clearance with $\tau = 1.2$ ms (21). More recent studies using computational modeling and fluorescence anisotropy imaging in tissue suggest that it is closer to 100 μ s (22, 23). Thus, due to the intrinsic kinetic limits of the iGluSnFR mechanism, even iGlu_u cannot resolve the true dynamics of free glutamate in the synaptic cleft. Moreover, our spatiotemporal resolution is limited by scanning, although in most experiments, the spiral scan line intersected the release site two or more times and, thus, effectively increased temporal sampling of cleft glutamate by the same factor (e.g., from 1 to 2 kHz). In our analysis, we binned all measurements (pixels) of a scan line into a single time point (1 ms), potentially under-sampling the sharp peak of iGlu_u signals (*SI Appendix, Fig. S6*). For experiments where the peak amplitude of iGlu_u signals is of critical importance, temporal sampling could be improved by assigning a time value to every pixel of the spiral scan line before analysis (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2*). What we can say with confidence is that accumulation of glutamate in the synaptic cleft does not contribute to short-term plasticity at Schaffer collateral synapses.

Glutamate release showed strong depression during 100-Hz firing, in line with the expected depletion of release-ready vesicles. As we controlled the generation of every action potential by somatic current injections, we can exclude decreased afferent excitability as a source of depression in these experiments (17). AMPA receptor currents during 100-Hz firing did not show more rundown than iGlu_u responses, suggesting that AMPA receptor desensitization did not play a major role in the decrease of synaptic efficacy during the train. Paradoxically, AMPA

responses were fully recovered 500 ms after the train while the $iGlu_{in}$ response was still significantly depressed. The most parsimonious explanation is a long-lasting depression of glutamate release. There are alternative scenarios that could explain smaller $iGlu_{in}$ responses on the 11th pulse, e.g., indicator molecules retrieved into endosomal structures during endocytosis, or accumulation of indicator in a (hypothetical) desensitized state. In these scenarios, facilitating boutons, which experience more exocytosis and endocytosis and $iGlu_{in}$ activation during the train, would be expected to show smaller responses at the 11th pulse. However, we found a strong correlation in the opposite direction (Fig. 3*I*), making these scenarios less likely.

As response amplitudes ($40\text{--}120\% \Delta F/F_0$) were typically less than half of the maximum change in fluorescence we determined for the three indicators (SI Appendix, Table S3), we did not correct for the nonlinearity of the $iGlu_{in}$ FR variants (Fig. 1*C*). To determine the absolute (or “peak”) glutamate concentration in the synaptic cleft, however, indicator saturation and undersampling would have to be taken into account.

The full recovery of the AMPA response points to an expected increase in sensitivity of the postsynaptic compartment to glutamate. By association with different auxiliary proteins and other scaffold-related mechanisms, the density and open probability of postsynaptic glutamate receptors can quickly change (24, 25). In hippocampal slice cultures, posttetanic potentiation is well established and requires the activity of protein kinase C (26). Thus, it is possible that elevated Ca^{2+} levels in the spine during our high-frequency protocol enhanced AMPA receptor currents by a number of mechanisms, compensating for the reduced glutamate release 500 ms after the tetanus.

The surprisingly tight correlation between paired-pulse facilitation and rapid recovery from depression at individual boutons provides direct evidence that differential use of presynaptic resources determines the neural code between pyramidal cells (1, 18). Using Schaffer collateral synapses as an example, we show that $iGlu_{in}$ is a useful tool for a mechanistic analysis of high-frequency synaptic transmission, interrogating presynaptic function independently of postsynaptic transmitter receptors.

Methods

We provide a detailed description of the methods, data analysis, and kinetic modeling in SI Appendix.

- Markram H, Wang Y, Tsodyks M (1998) Differential signaling via the same axon of neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:5323–5328.
- Regehr WG (2012) Short-term presynaptic plasticity. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 4:a005702.
- Jenkins MA, Traynelis SF (2012) PKC phosphorylates GluA1-Ser831 to enhance AMPA receptor conductance. *Channels (Austin)* 6:60–64.
- Best SL, Török K (2005) Development of a fluorescent glutamate binding protein. *Biophys J* 88:46a.
- Chen GQ, Gouxau E (1997) Overexpression of a glutamate receptor (GluR2) ligand binding domain in *Escherichia coli*: Application of a novel protein folding screen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:13431–13436.
- Kuusinen A, Arvola M, Keinänen K (1995) Molecular dissection of the agonist binding site of an AMPA receptor. *EMBO J* 14:6327–6332.
- de Lorimier RM, et al. (2002) Construction of a fluorescent biosensor family. *Protein Sci* 11:2655–2675.
- Hu Y, et al. (2008) Crystal structure of a glutamate/aspartate binding protein complexed with a glutamate molecule: Structural basis of ligand specificity at atomic resolution. *J Mol Biol* 382:99–111.
- Hires SA, Zhu Y, Tsien RY (2008) Optical measurement of synaptic glutamate spillover and reuptake by linker optimized glutamate-sensitive fluorescent reporters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:4411–4416.
- Okumoto S, et al. (2005) Detection of glutamate release from neurons by genetically encoded surface-displayed FRET nanosensors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:8740–8745.
- Tsien RY (2005) Building and breeding molecules to spy on cells and tumors. *FEBS Lett* 579:927–932.
- Marvin JS, et al. (2013) An optimized fluorescent probe for visualizing glutamate neurotransmission. *Nat Methods* 10:162–170.
- Nakai J, Ohkura M, Imoto K (2001) A high signal-to-noise Ca^{2+} probe composed of a single green fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotechnol* 19:137–141.
- Baird GS, Zacharias DA, Tsien RY (1999) Circular permutation and receptor insertion within green fluorescent proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:11241–11246.
- Taschenberger H, Woehler A, Neher E (2016) Superpriming of synaptic vesicles as a common basis for intersynapse variability and modulation of synaptic strength. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E4548–E4557.
- Zheng K, Rusakov DA (2015) Efficient integration of synaptic events by NMDA receptors in three-dimensional neuropil. *Biophys J* 108:2457–2464.
- Kim E, Owen B, Holmes WR, Grover LM (2012) Decreased afferent excitability contributes to synaptic depression during high-frequency stimulation in hippocampal area CA1. *J Neurophysiol* 108:1965–1976.
- Tsodyks MV, Markram H (1997) The neural code between neocortical pyramidal neurons depends on neurotransmitter release probability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:719–723.
- Crowley JJ, Carter AG, Regehr WG (2007) Fast vesicle replenishment and rapid recovery from desensitization at a single synaptic release site. *J Neurosci* 27:5448–5460.
- Xie Y, et al. (2016) Resolution of high-frequency mesoscale intracortical maps using the genetically encoded glutamate sensor $iGlu_{in}$ FR. *J Neurosci* 36:1261–1272.
- Clements JD, Lester RA, Tong G, Jahr CE, Westbrook GL (1992) The time course of glutamate in the synaptic cleft. *Science* 258:1498–1501.
- Scimemi A, Beato M (2009) Determining the neurotransmitter concentration profile at active synapses. *Mol Neurobiol* 40:289–306.
- Zheng K, et al. (2017) Nanoscale diffusion in the synaptic cleft and beyond measured with time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy imaging. *Sci Rep* 7:42022.
- Compans B, Choquet D, Hossy E (2016) Review on the role of AMPA receptor nano-organization and dynamic in the properties of synaptic transmission. *Neurophotonics* 3:041811.
- Carbone AL, Plested AJ (2016) Superactivation of AMPA receptors by auxiliary proteins. *Nat Commun* 7:10178.
- Brager DH, Cai X, Thompson SM (2003) Activity-dependent activation of presynaptic protein kinase C mediates post-tetanic potentiation. *Nat Neurosci* 6:551–552.
- Walklate J, Geeves MA (2015) Temperature manifold for a stopped-flow machine to allow measurements from -10 to $+40^\circ\text{C}$. *Anal Biochem* 476:11–16.
- Gee CE, Ohmert I, Wiegert JS, Oertner TG (2017) Preparation of slice cultures from rodent hippocampus. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2017.pdb.prot094888.
- Wiegert JS, Gee CE, Oertner TG (2017) Single-cell electroporation of neurons. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2017.pdb.top089714.