Clinical Oncology 31 (2019) 815-823

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinical Oncology

journal homepage: www.clinicaloncologyonline.net

When Should we Irradiate the Primary in Metastatic Lung Cancer?

A.-M. Shiarli^{*}, F. McDonald^{*}1, D.R. Gomez^{†1}

* Radiotherapy Department, The Royal Marsden Hospital, Sutton, UK [†] Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, USA

Received 24 June 2019; received in revised form 28 June 2019; accepted 2 July 2019

Abstract

Metastatic lung cancer encompasses a heterogenous group of patients in terms of burdens of disease, ranging from patients with extensive metastases to those with a limited number of metastatic lesions (oligometastatic disease). Histopathological heterogeneity also exists within two broad categories, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer (SCLC), portraying different patterns and evolution of disease. Local consolidative therapy to the primary tumour and metastatic sites, including surgery and/or radical dose radiotherapy, is increasingly being used to improve survival outcomes, particularly in the context of oligometastatic disease, with or without the use of molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy. Recently, randomised studies in oligometastatic NSCLC have shown that local consolidative therapy may confer a survival advantage. This review explores whether treating just the primary tumour with radiotherapy may similarly produce improved clinical outcomes. Such a treatment strategy may carry less potential toxicity than treating multiple sites upfront. The biological rationale behind the potential benefits of treating just the primary in metastatic malignancy is discussed. The clinical evidence of such an approach across tumour sites, such as breast and prostate cancer, is also explored. Then the review focuses on treating the primary in NSCLC and SCLC with radiotherapy, by first exploring patterns of failure in metastatic NSCLC and second exploring evidence on survival outcomes from studies in metastatic NSCLC and SCLC. It is challenging to draw conclusions on the clinical benefit of treating the primary cancer in isolation from the evidence available. This highlights the need to collect data within the ongoing clinical trials on the clinical outcome and toxicity of radiotherapy delivery to primary thoracic disease specifically. This challenge also identifies the need to design future clinical trials to produce randomised evidence for such an approach.

© 2019 The Royal College of Radiologists. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Key words: Lung cancer; non-small cell lung cancer; oligometastatic disease; primary tumour; radiotherapy; small cell lung cancer

Statement of Search Strategies Used and Sources of Information

A systematic search of published articles was carried out using OVID Medline. Potential articles were identified using the key words 'lung neoplasms' or 'non-small cell lung cancer' or 'small cell lung cancer' or 'primary tumour' AND 'radiotherapy' or 'radiation therapy' or 'consolidation treatment' or 'stereotactic radiotherapy' AND 'oligometastasis/oligometastatic/oligometastases' AND 'synchronous' AND 'immunotherapy' or 'tyrosine kinase inhibitors'. The bibliographies of these articles were searched for any further relevant literature. Articles in English were reviewed.

Introduction

Most lung cancer patients still unfortunately present with metastatic disease and despite recent advances in molecular diagnostics and systemic anti-cancer therapies (SACT), outcomes for many patients lag behind those of patients with other common primary cancers [1]. The traditional role of radiotherapy in this metastatic population of patients has been for palliation of symptoms [2-4]. When considering palliative radiotherapy for the primary lung tumour site, this is most commonly indicated for the alleviation of local pain, control of bleeding or relief of symptoms caused by obstruction or compression. A systematic review of 13 trials by Fairchild et al. [5] showed that 'high dose palliation' may confer a survival advantage compared with lower doses. Higher dose ('radical') radiotherapy tends to be considered for potentially curative clinical scenarios in earlier stage lung cancer. However,



^{0936-6555/© 2019} The Royal College of Radiologists. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Overview





Author for correspondence: A.-M. Shiarli, Radiotherapy Department, The Royal Marsden Hospital, Downs Road, Sutton SM2 5PT, UK.

E-mail address: anna.shiarli@icr.ac.uk (A.-M. Shiarli).

¹ Authors contributed equally.

'radical' dose fractionation schedules are increasingly demonstrating a role in disease modification to improve outcomes in patients with metastatic lung cancer.

Spectrum of Disease Burden and the Biological State in Metastatic Lung Cancer

Metastatic lung cancer encompasses a heterogenous group of patients ranging from patients with extensive metastatic disease to those with limited metastatic disease at a small number of sites (oligometastatic disease). The most widely accepted 'definition' of the oligometastatic state is $\leq 3-5$ metastatic sites of disease [6]. With the evolution of SACT and patients now receiving extended periods of treatment, with targeted therapy or immunotherapy, further patterns of limited metastases are described, such as: (i) oligoprogression, whereby metastatic disease initially regresses with good response to SACT, and then a small number of disease sites subsequently progress; (ii) oligorecurrence, defined by metachronous recurrence at a limited number of metastatic sites; and (iii) oligoresidual disease, in which patients with metastatic disease experience a complete response other than a limited number of persistent lesions [7]. Biologically, it is hypothesised that in all of these circumstances these active sites may represent emerging resistant clones and local therapy to these may be a valuable therapeutic option.

The biological mechanisms leading to the development of metastatic disease described by Hellman and Weichselbaum [8,9] suggest that metastatic potential develops in a stepwise manner, such that there may be a phase, the oligometastatic state, with limited further metastatic potential, when the disease may be amenable to cure with radical treatment. Briefly, the 'seed and soil' hypothesis of metastatic disease postulates that tumour cells acquire metastatic potential by continuous genetic changes occurring initially within the primary tumour [8,10]. With tumour progression, this 'seeding efficiency' increases in proportion to the number of tumour cells and tumour vascularity [11]. However, although the 'seed and soil' mechanism is oftcited to justify oligometastases as a distinct biological state, several lines of data support a unique and dominant role for the primary tumour, even in the setting of metastases. For example, in certain tumour types, such as breast cancer, the primary tumour may itself release factors that create a dynamic and complex tumour microenvironment or 'soil' that helps metastases thrive [12–14]. Under this premise, there may be a role for focusing solely on the primary tumour in specific clinical scenarios.

In contrast to these supporting data, separate pre-clinical evidence suggests that the primary tumour may induce 'dormancy' in metastatic progression by the release of inhibitory factors and, hence, surgical removal of, or radical radiotherapy to, the primary tumour may cause a flare of the metastatic disease. Folkman [15] discussed angiogenesis as the basis of this effect, with angiostatin released from the primary tumour inhibiting the development of metastases. Other studies have discussed an alteration in the gene expression and acquisition of a more invasive disease phenotype following surgical removal of the primary tumour and that trauma within the organ following interference/manipulation of the primary may induce factors that enhance tumour growth [16,17]. Thus, pre-clinical data are conflicting with regards to the basis for a distinctive role for treatment of the primary site in metastatic disease.

Further complicating the issue is the increasing role of immunotherapy in the context of lung cancer. Radiation increases tumour antigen release by immunogenic cell death and facilitates antigen presentation and subsequent tumour T-cell infiltration. The abscopal effect, whereby tumour regression occurs at an unirradiated site following irradiation to a distant site of disease, has also been reported rarely in the pre-immunotherapy era [18]. Combining radiotherapy with immunotherapy may potentiate this effect and this has been shown at a pre-clinical level, in case reports and suggested by recent and ongoing randomised controlled studies, such as the PEMRO-RT trial [19,20]. If this mechanism can be optimally exploited through present or future checkpoint inhibitors, there may be a biological rationale for irradiating the primary tumour rather than metastatic sites when combined with immunotherapy, to target truncal neoantigen release from the primary tumour rather than branch neoantigen release from metastatic sites [21,22].

Clinical Evidence for Consolidation Treatment in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer in Oligometastatic Disease

Several studies have provided evidence over the past 15-20 years that in selected non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with oligometastatic disease, treating the metastatic sites of disease, such as resection of brain metastases [23–25], local treatment of adrenal metastases [23,26] or resection of pulmonary metastases [23], confers a survival advantage. For example, ablative stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) to solitary brain metastases in the RTOG 9508 study [27] was associated with a significantly improved survival benefit from 4.9 to 6.5 months in 333 patients with metastatic disease. Sixty-four per cent of the patients in this study had lung cancer. The results of such studies have led to a change in practice. Currently, patients with metastatic NSCLC with limited brain metastases that are suitable for SRS and radically treatable extracranial disease are offered SACT. SRS to brain metastases and consideration of radical treatment to their intrathoracic disease.

In the more recent era, the first randomised phase II trial to report on outcomes in NSCLC patients with synchronous oligometastatic disease (\leq 3 metastases) assessed 49 patients who did not progress after at least four cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy or \geq 3 months of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)- or anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-targeted therapy [28,29]. Patients were randomised to receive local consolidative therapy followed by maintenance therapy or observation versus maintenance

therapy or observation alone. Seventy-six per cent of the patients in the local consolidation therapy arm received chemoradiotherapy (CRT), hypofractionated radiotherapy or stereotactic radiotherapy (SBRT) rather than surgery for the primary thoracic disease. The trial was closed early due to the statistically significant median progression-free survival (PFS) advantage for the patients in the local consolidation arm of 14.2 months compared with 4.4 months. A recent update [29] showed a significantly longer median overall survival for patients receiving local consolidation therapy at 41.2 months compared with 17.0 months.

A subsequent randomised phase II trial showed similar favourable outcomes. Twenty-nine patients with synchronous oligometastatic disease (<5 metastases) and stable disease or a partial response after four to six cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy were randomised to receive SBRT to all metastatic disease sites and the primary disease (SBRT or hypofractionated radiotherapy) followed by maintenance chemotherapy or maintenance chemotherapy alone. Patients with EGFR or ALK mutations were excluded. The trial was closed early due to significant PFS benefit in the consolidative local therapy arm, with a median PFS of 9.7 months compared with 3.5 months [30]. Additionally, of those patients who developed progressive disease, no patient in the consolidation radiotherapy arm had progression within a previous radiotherapy field, as opposed to 70% of patients in the maintenance chemotherapy-only arm having progressive disease in an original site of disease.

In the metachronous setting, the phase II SABR-COMET trial recruited patients with any primary histology, metachronous oligometastatic disease and previously treated primary disease. The trial has shown an increased overall survival in patients who received stereotactic treatment to sites of metastases compared with SACT alone, again supporting the survival benefit of consolidation treatment in the context of oligometastatic disease [31].

An important feature of all of these studies is that both the primary tumour and the metastatic disease were treated comprehensively. However, radical treatment of the primary, and up to five sites of metastatic disease, may be associated with additional toxicity over SACT alone. For example, several SABR-related deaths were observed in the SABR-COMET study [31], providing evidence that the delivery of aggressive treatment is not a 'free ride' and certainly less extensive treatment is associated with a reduced incidence of adverse events. Furthermore, with novel targeted agents and immunotherapy being increasingly incorporated into the treatment paradigm, new toxicities at distant sites that can be increase in the setting of radiotherapy, such as gastrointestinal side toxicities and central nervous system toxicities, will probably emerge, will probably emerge. Thus, an important question going forward in the design and analysis of studies examining the role of surgery and radiation therapy in metastatic disease is: 'can treating just the primary tumour, which usually constitutes the largest burden of disease and is essentially the 'source' of metastatic potential, produce similar outcome benefits to treating all lesions?'

Evidence from Clinical Studies for Treatment of the Primary Tumour in Metastatic Disease

In metastatic breast cancer, a number of retrospective studies have reported survival benefit with the treatment of locoregional disease [32-34]. Meta-analyses have shown a survival benefit with the surgical removal of primary tumour [35,36]. One randomised controlled trial carried out in India did not support this survival benefit after randomising patients to locoregional or no locoregional treatment after a response to SACT. However, in the trial, 31% of the patients had HER-2-positive disease and 92% of those patients did not receive HER-2-targeted therapy due to financial constraints; therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution [37]. A multicentre randomised trial comparing locoregional treatment and systemic therapy with systemic therapy alone in metastatic breast cancer showed an increase in median survival at 40 months with the addition of locoregional treatment [38].

In metastatic prostate cancer, a number of retrospective studies have shown the survival benefit of treating the primary tumour with local treatment [39,40]. More recently it has been shown in a large randomised phase III trial that treating the primary cancer with radical radiotherapy in patients with metastatic prostate cancer significantly improved PFS from 21 to 26 months, and the effect was even more pronounced in patients with low metastatic tumour burden [41].

Two randomised controlled trials have investigated the effect of cytoreductive nephrectomy in renal cell carcinoma, in addition to interferon- α 2b. Both studies showed an increase in PFS and overall survival with nephrectomy [42,43]. More recent trials of systemic tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) have shown no survival benefit with the addition of nephrectomy in the CARMENA trial and with immediate or deferred nephrectomy in the EORTC SURTIME trial [44–46].

Evidence from Clinical Studies for Treatment of the Primary Tumour in Metastatic Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

There is no randomised evidence with respect to treating only the primary tumour in metastatic or oligometastatic NSCLC. One can therefore explore the potential benefits of treating solely the primary tumour, by looking at patterns of disease failure (POF) in NSCLC and by assessing the available retrospective evidence.

POF have been studied within a number of studies that have shown that disease progression in NSCLC favours known sites of disease [47–50]. This might suggest that local control at these sites, including the primary tumour, could be advantageous. For instance, for patients with metastatic EGFR-mutant NSCLC on first-line TKI, the group at Massachusetts General Hospital studied POF in 49 patients [48]. Twenty-three (47%) patients experienced progression only at previously known sites of disease. In 48% of these patients, progression occurred only at the primary site; for an additional 35% of these patients, progression occurred both at the primary and at other known metastatic sites. It was also noted that regional nodal failure only occurred in patients with previously known nodal disease. In addition, failure at original sites of disease occurred before the development of new metastases, with a median time to progression of 8.6 months for failure at original sites of disease only compared with 12.3 months in those with distant failure only. The primary tumour size was significantly associated with failure at the primary site. Interestingly, 10 patients (20%) would have been potentially eligible for consolidation SBRT to all residual disease at their maximal response to TKI. This study highlights that the combination of TKI and local therapy may be disease modifying for the mutation-positive patients, especially upon the development of resistance to TKIs.

Prior to the widespread availability of third-generation TKIs, a study of 64 EGFR-mutant patients progressing on first-line TKI compared 39 patients continuing TKI beyond progression with 25 patients who switched to chemo-therapy [49]. Importantly, progression at the primary site of disease occurred in most of the patients at the time of further disease progression, 74% in the TKI group and 84% in the chemotherapy group.

Although studies examining consolidative therapy in the context of immunotherapy are continuing to emerge, in a retrospective study of 26 patients with NSCLC who progressed in PD-1 axis inhibitor due to acquired resistance, the 2-year overall survival from the time of acquired resistance was 70% for the whole cohort but 93% for those who received local therapy at sites of acquired resistance. Moreover, a common site of progression was existing thoracic lymph nodes [50], perhaps suggesting that consolidative treatment focusing on the primary tumour and lymph nodes would have been impactful in improving survival outcomes.

In contrast to these findings, Sheu *et al.* [51], in a retrospective study of 90 oligometastatic NSCLC patients, reported a POF, whereby 68% of all reported progressions were with a new metastatic lesion. Interestingly, however, almost half of those progressions (30% of all observed progressions) were intracranial, whereas the patient population investigated in this study included 59% of patients presenting with brain metastasis to start with, with 49% presenting with intracranial metastases only [51].

In the setting of local consolidative therapy, most studies distinctly assessing the efficacy of targeting the primary tumour have been retrospective in nature, thereby primarily being hypothesis generating. In a retrospective study of 186 synchronous oligometastatic NSCLC patients, those who had local treatment to the primary tumour (surgical resection or radical radiotherapy or SBRT) were compared with those who did not. The median survival of patients who received treatment to primary disease was longer (19 months) compared with that in patients who received no primary definitive treatment (16 months) [52]. Another study investigating the effect of thoracic treatment in 42 oligometastatic patients with solitary brain metastasis treated with SRS showed a longer median overall survival in patients who received thoracic treatment (26.4 months) defined as surgical. CRT or radiotherapy alone, compared with those who did not (13.1 months) [53]. Chidel et al. [54] also published a retrospective analysis of 33 NSCLC patients with solitary brain metastasis who received either palliative radiotherapy or no radiotherapy compared with radical radiotherapy or surgery to the primary tumour. On multivariate analysis, aggressive thoracic treatment was a predictor of survival, with a median overall survival of 20.1 months compared with 3.5 months with no aggressive thoracic treatment. The POF was predominantly at the primary tumour and the absence of aggressive thoracic treatment was a predictor of such failure [54]. In another retrospective study investigating oligometastatic patients with brain-only metastases, aggressive treatment to the primary tumour (surgery or radiotherapy/CRT) was an independent predictor for survival [55].

With regards to focusing on radiation therapy as the consolidative paradigm, a retrospective study [56] included 29 patients with oligometastatic NCSLC who had definitive treatment to the primary, with radical CRT or radiotherapy. In a matched cohort comparison with patients who received only chemotherapy, patients who received definitive radiotherapy/CRT treatment had a statistically significant increased median overall survival (22 months) compared with those who did not (9 months). Moreover, patients with thoracic treatment had a median time to local failure of 18 months compared with 6 months in those who had only chemotherapy.

Finally, a meta-analysis of seven retrospective studies on 668 patients with synchronous oligometastatic NSCLC, 34% of whom had treatment to the primary with radiotherapy, surgery or a combination, showed that thoracic treatment was associated with a significant reduction in the risk of death by 52% and significantly improved overall survival [57]. A recent meta-analysis of 21 studies of oligometastatic NSCLC patients selected specifically to investigate the outcomes of patients receiving radiotherapy/CRT to the primary tumour, with or without local consolidative treatment to metastatic disease, showed a median pooled overall survival of 20.4 months and a pooled median PFS of 12 months [58]. Within this analysis, four studies with available data on the comparison of radiotherapy treatment to the primary tumour versus no treatment were also analysed. This analysis showed significantly improved overall survival and PFS in favour of radiotherapy treatment to the primary tumour.

A number of ongoing studies are exploring consolidation treatment in metastatic NSCLC, including treatment to the primary tumour (Table 1).

Table 1

Selected ongoing clinical trials in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) exploring radiotherapy to the primary tumour and metastatic disease

Study NCT and responsible party	Study name	Туре	Patient characteristics	Investigation arms	Primary end point
NCT03137771 NRG Oncology/ National Cancer Institute (NCI)	Maintenance Systemic Therapy Versus Local Consolidative Therapy (LCT) Plus Maintenance Systemic Therapy for Limited Metastatic Non- Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC): A Randomized Phase II/III Trial	Randomised phase II/III	NSCLC Synchronous or metachronous Oligometastatic (≤3 extracranial metastases)	Maintenance SACT versus LCT to all sites of disease and maintenance SACT	Phase II: PFS Phase III: OS
NCT02417662 University College, London Cancer Research UK	Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy for Oligometastatic Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. A Randomised Phase III Trial (SARON)	Randomised phase III	NSCLC Synchronous Oligometastatic (≤3 metastases) EGFR/ALK negative or unknown mutational status	Platinum-based chemotherapy versus radical radiotherapy to primary disease (conventional radiotherapy or SABR) and SABR and/or SRS to metastases and platinum-based chemotherapy	OS
NCT03119519 Southern Medical University, China	Local Non-salvage Radiotherapy for Synchronous Oligometastatic Non-small-cell Lung Cancer: A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled, Phase 2 Study	Randomised phase II	NSCLC Synchronous Oligometastatic (≤5 metastases)	SACT versus SACT and radiotherapy (three- dimensional conformal/IMRT) to primary thoracic disease or metastases	PFS
NCT02756793 Lawson Health Research Institute	Stereotactic Radiotherapy for Oligo-Progressive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (STOP-NSCLC): A Randomized Phase II Trial	Randomised phase II	NSCLC Oligometastatic (≤5 metastases) Oligoprogressive	Standard of care management including SACT or observation versus SBRT to all sites of oligoprogression and standard care treatment	PFS
NCT03256981 Institute of Cancer Research	Targeted Therapy With or Without Dose Intensified Radiotherapy for Oligo- progressive Disease in Oncogene-addicted Lung Tumours (HALT)	Randomised phase II	NSCLC Oligometastatic (≤3 extracranial metastases) Oligoprogressive With actionable mutation suitable for, and receiving TKI	TKI alone versus SBRT to sites of oligoprogression and TKI	PFS
NCT03410043 M.D. Anderson Cancer Center	Randomized Phase II Trial of Local Consolidation Therapy (LCT) After Osimertinib for Patients With EGFR Mutant Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)	Randomised phase II	Synchronous or metachronous With EGFR mutations (exon 19 deletion/L858R mutation/T790M)	Osimetinib versus LCT and osimetinib	PFS
NCT02893332 Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital	Tyrosine-kinase Inhibitor with or without SBRT in Newly Diagnosed Advanced Staged Lung Adenocarcinoma	Randomised phase III	NSCLC Synchronous or metachronous Oligometastatic (≤5 metastases) With EGFR mutation	First-line TKI versus SBRT to all sites of metastases and first- line TKI	PFS
NCT03275597 University of Wisconsin, Madison	Comprehensive Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT) to All Sites of Oligometastatic Non- small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Combined With Durvalumab (MEDI4736) and Tremelimumab Dual Immune Checkpoint Inhibition	Phase IB	NSCLC Synchronous Oligometastatic ≤6 extracranial sites* EGFR/ALK negative	Durvolumab and tremelimumab and SBRT to all sites of disease	Safety and tolerability
				(continu	ied on next p

Table 1 (co	ontinued)
-------------	------------

Study NCT and responsible party	Study name	Туре	Patient characteristics	Investigation arms	Primary end point
NCT03391869 MD Anderson Cancer Center	Randomized Phase III Trial of Local Consolidation Therapy (LCT) After Nivolumab and Ipilimumab for Immunotherapy-Naive Patients With Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (LONESTAR) -Strategic Alliance: BMS		NSCLC Poly- and oligometastatic EGFR/ALK-negative adenocarcinoma	Nivolimab and ipilimumab versus nivolumab and ipilimumab with LCT	OS (overall and within oligometastatic subgroup)

ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiotherapy; LCT, local consolidation treatment (includes radiotherapy, surgery or a combination); OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; SABR, stereotactic ablative radiotherapy; SACT, systemic anti-cancer treatment; SBRT, stereotactic body radiotherapy; SRS, stereotactic radiosurgery; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

* Each site may contain more than one metastatic lesion.

Evidence from Clinical Studies for Treatment of the Primary Tumour in Metastatic Small Cell Lung Cancer

Although no randomised prospective evidence exists for the treatment of solely locoregional disease in NSCLC, some extrapolation can be made in the context of small cell lung cancer (SCLC). In a randomised controlled study investigating the effects of prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) only, following systemic treatment, in extensive stage SCLC disease showed that about 90% of patients developed early intrathoracic disease progression [59]. In a recent phase III randomised study, 498 patients with extensive stage SCLC were randomised to receive consolidative thoracic radiation and PCI versus PCI alone, following four to six cycles of chemotherapy. It was found that consolidation thoracic radiotherapy (30 Gy in 10 fractions) to the primary disease and locoregional nodes conferred an overall survival improvement at 2 years from 3% to 13%. In addition, isolated intrathoracic progression was significantly less in the patients who received thoracic irradiation (19.8%) compared with those who did not (46%), suggesting better local control, despite a 'high palliative' dose rather than a 'radical' dose [60]. In an earlier, single-site study, consolidation CRT to thoracic disease with 54 Gy in 36 fractions and daily carboplatin/etoposide chemotherapy was investigated in extensive stage SCLC patients. The patients were randomised provided they achieved a complete response to extrathoracic disease and a partial or complete response to intrathoracic disease, following systemic chemotherapy. Randomisation was between an arm receiving CRT with systemic chemotherapy and PCI and an arm receiving systemic chemotherapy and PCI only. Patients receiving thoracic treatment had a significantly improved overall survival, from 28% to 38% at 2 years [61]. Thus, in SCLC radiation therapy to the primary site seems to confer a small but significant survival advantage in the setting of metastases, even when consolidative treatment to metastatic disease is not incorporated.

Conclusions and Future Directions

In NSCLC, recent data have suggested that local consolidation treatment to all sites of disease, including the primary tumour, may improve survival outcomes. In contrast, no randomised evidence supports treating the primary tumour in isolation in this clinical context. However, there is biological and clinical evidence to suggest that treating the primary tumour in metastatic disease, across tumour types, may modify the evolution of disease and clinical outcomes. In addition, in SCLC, delivery of thoracic radiotherapy was associated with improved outcomes in the preimmunotherapy era. Furthermore, toxicity of radiation therapy or surgery can be associated with high-grade and even fatal adverse events, such that a toxicity-efficacy trade-off exists when selecting appropriate patients for comprehensive treatment. All of these lines of evidence support a potential paradigm of select treatment of the primary tumour in select patients with the goal of longterm disease control.

Categorisation of appropriate patients will probably be the greatest challenge in clearly isolating the role of primary site treatment. Doing so may involve a number of factors, including clinical and radiographic determinants, as well as biomarkers from both the primary and metastatic sites, in individual patients, that may facilitate the prioritisation of treatment lesions. Correlates such as these will also provide insight into the continued biological role of the primary tumour in the development and treatment of metastatic disease, which could have influential consequences in other scientific arenas as well. Going forward, prospective studies examining the role of local consolidative therapy should specifically consider the effect of treating the primary disease. This analysis may be particularly important in the group of patients experiencing long periods of stable disease on targeted therapies or immunotherapy, similar to the concept proven in metastatic prostate cancer [41]. Indeed, even if it is identified that only a small subset of patients can be reliably spared treatment to metastatic sites due to

similar outcomes, given the incidence of metastatic lung cancer and the increasing role of radiation therapy and surgery in this setting, the clinical and financial implications of these findings could be substantial.

Conflict of interest

F. McDonald reports trial grant funding from CRUK as chief investigator of the HALT and SARON trials.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;68:394–424. https://doi.org/10. 3322/caac.21492.
- [2] Bleehen NM, Girling DJ, Machin D, Stephens RJ. A Medical Research Council (MRC) randomised trial of palliative radiotherapy with two fractions or a single fraction in patients with inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and poor performance status. *Br J Cancer* 1992;65:934–941. https://doi. org/10.1038/bjc.1992.196.
- [3] Bleehen NM, Girling DJ, Fayers PM, Aber VR, Stephens RJ. Inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a Medical Research Council randomised trial of palliative radiotherapy with two fractions or ten fractions. Br J Cancer 1991;63: 265–270. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1991.62.
- [4] Macbeth FR, Bolger JJ, Hopwood P, Bleehen NM, Cartmell J, Girling DJ, et al. Randomized trial of palliative two-fraction versus more intensive 13-fraction radiotherapy for patients with inoperable non-small cell lung cancer and good performance status. *Clin Oncol* 1996;8:167–175. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0936-6555(96)80041-0.
- [5] Fairchild A, Harris K, Barnes E, Wong R, Lutz S, Bezjak A, et al. Palliative thoracic radiotherapy for lung cancer: a systematic review. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:4001–4011. https://doi.org/10. 1200/JCO.2007.15.3312.
- [6] Ashworth AB, Senan S, Palma DA, Riquet M, Ahn YC, Ricardi U, et al. An individual patient data metaanalysis of outcomes and prognostic factors after treatment of oligometastatic nonsmall-cell lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer 2014;15:346–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cllc.2014.04.003.
- [7] Campo M, Al-Halabi H, Khandekar M, Shaw AT, Sequist LV, Willers H. Integration of stereotactic body radiation therapy with tyrosine kinase inhibitors in stage IV oncogene-driven lung cancer. *Oncologist* 2016;21:964–973. https://doi.org/10. 1634/theoncologist.2015-0508.
- [8] Hellman S, Weichselbaum RR. Oligometastases. J Clin Oncol 1995;13:8–10. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1995.13.1.8.
- [9] Weichselbaum RR, Hellman S. Oligometastases revisited. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2011;8:378–382. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrclinonc.2011.44.
- [10] Paget S. The distribution of secondary growths in cancer of the breast. 1889. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 1989;8:98–101.
- [11] Tubiana M, Koscielny S. Natural history of human breast cancer: recent data and clinical implications. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1991;18:125–140.
- [12] Karnoub AE, Dash AB, Vo AP, Sullivan A, Brooks MW, Bell GW, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells within tumour stroma promote breast cancer metastasis. Nature 2007;449:557–563. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature06188.

- [13] Chaffer CL, Weinberg RA. A perspective on cancer cell metastasis. *Science* 2011;331:1559–1564. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1203543.
- [14] Comen E, Norton L, Massagué J. Clinical implications of cancer self-seeding. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2011;8:369–377. https://doi. org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2011.64.
- [15] Folkman J. New perspectives in clinical oncology from angiogenesis research. Eur J Cancer A 1996;32:2534–2539. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(96)00423-6.
- [16] Al-Sahaf O, Wang JH, Browne TJ, Cotter TG, Redmond HP. Surgical injury enhances the expression of genes that mediate breast cancer metastasis to the lung. *Ann Surg* 2010;252: 1037–1043. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181efc635.
- [17] Fisher B, Fisher ER. Experimental studies of factors influencing hepatic metastases: XI. Effect of hepatic trauma in hypophysectomized animals. *Exp Biol Med* 2013;109:62–64. https:// doi.org/10.3181/00379727-109-27104.
- [18] Formenti SC, Demaria S. Systemic effects of local radiotherapy. Lancet Oncol 2009;10:718–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1470-2045(09)70082-8.
- [19] Xing D, Siva S, Hanna GG. The abscopal effect of stereotactic radiotherapy and immunotherapy: Fool's Gold or El Dorado? *Clin Oncol* 2019;31:432–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon. 2019.04.006.
- [20] Theelen W, Peulen H, Lalezari F, de Vries J, De Langen J, Aerts J, et al. Randomized phase II study of pembrolizumab after stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) versus pembrolizumab alone in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: the PEMBRO-RT study. J Clin Oncol 2018;36:9023. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.9023.
- [21] Jamal-hanjani M, Quezada SA, Larkin J, Swanton C. Europe PMC Funders Group. Translational implications of tumor heterogeneity. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015;21:1258–1266. https:// doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1429.
- [22] Rosenthal R, Cadieux EL, Salgado R, Al Bakir M, Moore DA, Hiley CT, et al. Neoantigen-directed immune escape in lung cancer evolution. *Nature* 2019;567:479–485. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-019-1032-7.
- [23] Pfannschmidt J, Dienemann H. Surgical treatment of oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2010;69: 251–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2010.05.003.
- [24] Wroński M, Arbit E, Burt M, Galicich JH. Survival after surgical treatment of brain metastases from lung cancer: a follow-up study of 231 patients treated between 1976 and 1991. *J Neurosurg* 1995;83:605–616. https://doi.org/10.3171/jns. 1995.83.4.0605.
- [25] Villarreal-Garza C, de la Mata D, Zavala DG, Macedo-Perez EO, Arrieta O. Aggressive treatment of primary tumor in patients with non–small-cell lung cancer and exclusively brain metastases. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2013;14:6–13. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cllc.2012.05.002.
- [26] Raz DJ, Lanuti M, Gaissert HC, Wright CD, Mathisen DJ, Wain JC. Outcomes of patients with isolated adrenal metastasis from non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;92:1788–1793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur. 2011.05.116.
- [27] Andrews DW, Scott CB, Sperduto PW, Flanders AE, Gaspar LE, Schell MC, *et al.* Whole brain radiation therapy with or without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with one to three brain metastases: phase III results of the RTOG 9508 randomised trial. *Lancet* 2004;363:1665–1672. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16250-8.
- [28] Gomez DR, Blumenschein GR, Lee JJ, Hernandez M, Ye R, Camidge DR, *et al.* Local consolidative therapy versus maintenance therapy or observation for patients with

oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer without progression after first-line systemic therapy: a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2016;17: 1672–1682. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30532-0.

- [29] Gomez DR, Tang C, Zhang J, Blumenschein GR, Hernandez M, Lee JJ, et al. Local consolidative therapy vs. maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a multiinstitutional, phase II, randomized study. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37:1558–1565. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.00201.
- [30] Iyengar P, Wardak Z, Gerber DE, Tumati V, Ahn C, Hughes RS, et al. Consolidative radiotherapy for limited metastatic nonsmall-cell lung cancer: a phase 2 randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol 2018;4:e173501. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3501.
- [31] Palma DA, Olson RA, Harrow S, Gaede S, Louie AV, Haasbeek C, et al. Stereotactic Ablative Radiation Therapy for the Comprehensive Treatment of Oligometastatic Tumors (SABR-COMET): results of a randomized trial. Int J Radiat Oncol 2018; 102:S3–S4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.06.105.
- [32] Rashid OM, Takabe K. Does removal of the primary tumor in metastatic breast cancer improve survival? J Women's Health 2013;23:184–188. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2013.4517.
- [33] Gnerlich J, Jeffe DB, Deshpande AD, Beers C, Zander C, Margenthaler JA. Surgical removal of the primary tumor increases overall survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer: analysis of the 1988–2003 SEER data. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2007;14:2187–2194. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-007-9438-0.
- [34] Khan SA, Stewart AK, Morrow M. Does aggressive local therapy improve survival in metastatic breast cancer? *Surgery* 2002;132:620–627. https://doi.org/10.1067/msy.2002. 127544.
- [35] Harris E, Barry M, Kell MR. Meta-analysis to determine if surgical resection of the primary tumour in the setting of stage IV breast cancer impacts on survival. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013;20:2828–2834. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-013-2998-2.
- [36] Petrelli F, Barni S. Surgery of primary tumors in stage IV breast cancer: an updated meta-analysis of published studies with meta-regression. *Med Oncol* 2012;29:3282–3290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-012-0310-0.
- [37] Badwe R, Hawaldar R, Nair N, Kaushik R, Parmar V, Siddique S, et al. Locoregional treatment versus no treatment of the primary tumour in metastatic breast cancer: an open-label randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 2015;16: 1380–1388. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00135-7.
- [38] Soran A, Ozmen V, Ozbas S, Karanlik H, Muslumanoglu M, Igci A, *et al.* Randomized trial comparing resection of primary tumor with no surgery in stage IV breast cancer at presentation: protocol MF07-01. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2018;25:3141–3149. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-018-6494-6.
- [39] Metcalfe MJ, Smaldone MC, Lin DW, Aparicio AM, Chapin BF. Role of radical prostatectomy in metastatic prostate cancer: a review. Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig 2017;35:125–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2017.01.001.
- [40] Moschini M, Soria F, Briganti A, Shariat SF. The impact of local treatment of the primary tumor site in node positive and metastatic prostate cancer patients. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 2017;20:7–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/pcan.2016.52.
- [41] Parker CC, James ND, Brawley CD, Clarke NW, Hoyle AP, Ali A, *et al.* Radiotherapy to the primary tumour for newly diagnosed, metastatic prostate cancer (STAMPEDE): a randomised

controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2018;392:2353-2366. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32486-3.

- [42] Flanigan RC, Salmon SE, Blumenstein BA, Bearman SI, Roy V, McGrath PC, et al. Nephrectomy followed by interferon alfa-2b compared with interferon alfa-2b alone for metastatic renal-cell cancer. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1655–1659. https:// doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa003013.
- [43] Mickisch GHJ, Garin A, Van Poppel H, De Prijck L, Sylvester R. Radical nephrectomy plus interferon-alfa-based immunotherapy compared with interferon alfa alone in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001;358: 966–970. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(01)06103-7.
- [44] Aslam MZ, Matthews PN. Cytoreductive nephrectomy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a review of the historical literature and its role in the era of targeted molecular therapy. *ISRN Urol* 2014;2014:717295. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/ 717295.
- [45] Méjean A, Ravaud A, Thezenas S, Colas S, Beauval J-B, Bensalah K, *et al.* Sunitinib alone or after nephrectomy in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379: 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1803675.
- [46] Bex A, Mulders P, Jewett M, Wagstaff J, van Thienen JV, Blank CU, et al. Comparison of immediate vs deferred cytoreductive nephrectomy in patients with synchronous metastatic renal cell carcinoma receiving sunitinib. JAMA Oncol 2019;5:164. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.5543.
- [47] Rusthoven KE, Hammerman SF, Kavanagh BD, Birtwhistle MJ, Stares M, Camidge DR. Is there a role for consolidative stereotactic body radiation therapy following first-line systemic therapy for metastatic lung cancer? A patterns-of-failure analysis. Acta Oncol 2009;48:578–583. https://doi.org/10. 1080/02841860802662722.
- [48] Al-Halabi H, Sayegh K, Digamurthy SR, Niemierko A, Piotrowska Z, Willers H, et al. Pattern of failure analysis in metastatic EGFR-mutant lung cancer treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors to identify candidates for consolidation stereotactic body radiation therapy. J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:1601–1607. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO. 000000000000648.
- [49] Nishie K, Kawaguchi T, Tamiya A, Mimori T, Takeuchi N, Matsuda Y, *et al.* Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors beyond progressive disease: a retrospective analysis for Japanese patients with activating EGFR mutations. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:1722–1727. https://doi.org/10. 1097/JTO.0b013e31826913f7.
- [50] Gettinger SN, Wurtz A, Goldberg SB, Rimm D, Schalper K, Kaech S, *et al.* Clinical features and management of acquired resistance to PD-1 axis inhibitors in 26 patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2018;13: 831–839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2018.03.008.
- [51] Sheu T, Heymach JV, Swisher SG, Rao G, Weinberg JS, Mehran R, et al. Propensity score-matched analysis of comprehensive local therapy for oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer that did not progress after front-line chemotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;90:850–857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.07.012.
- [52] Parikh RB, Cronin AM, Kozono DE, Oxnard GR, Mak RH, Jackman DM, *et al.* Definitive primary therapy in patients presenting with oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2014;89:880–887. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.04.007.
- [53] Flannery TW, Suntharalingam M, Regine WF, Chin LS, Krasna MJ, Shehata MK, *et al.* Long-term survival in patients with

synchronous, solitary brain metastasis from non-small-cell lung cancer treated with radiosurgery. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;72:19–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.12.031.

- [54] Chidel MA, Suh JH, Greskovich JF, Kupelian PA, Barnett GH. Treatment outcome for patients with primary nonsmall-cell lung cancer and synchronous brain metastasis. *Radiat Oncol Investig* 1999;7:313–319. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1520-6823(1999)7:5<313::AID-ROI7>3.0.CO;2-9.
- [55] Gray PJ, Mak RH, Yeap BY, Cryer SK, Pinnell NE, Christianson LW, et al. Aggressive therapy for patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma and synchronous brain-only oligometastatic disease is associated with long-term survival. Lung Cancer 2014;85:239–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.lungcan.2014.06.001.
- [56] Xanthopoulos EP, Handorf E, Simone CB, Grover S, Fernandes AT, Sharma S, *et al.* Definitive dose thoracic radiation therapy in oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a hypothesis-generating study. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2015;5: e355–e363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2014.11.006.
- [57] Li D, Zhu X, Wang H, Qiu M, Li N. Should aggressive thoracic therapy be performed in patients with synchronous

oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer? A meta-analysis. *J Thorac Dis* 2017;9:310–317. https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd. 2017.02.21.

- [58] Petrelli F, Ghidini A, Cabiddu M, Tomasello G, De Stefani A, Bruschieri L, et al. Addition of radiotherapy to the primary tumour in oligometastatic NSCLC: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lung Cancer 2018;126:194–200. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2018.11.017.
- [59] Slotman B, Faivre-Finn C, Kramer G, Rankin E, Snee M, Hatton M, et al. Prophylactic cranial irradiation in extensive small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;357:664–672. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa071780.
- [60] Slotman BJ, Van Tinteren H, Praag JO, Knegjens JL, El Sharouni SY, Hatton M, et al. Use of thoracic radiotherapy for extensive stage small-cell lung cancer: a phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2015;385:36–42. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0140-6736(14)61085-0.
- [61] Jeremic B, Shibamoto Y, Nikolic N, Milicic B, Milisavljevic SDA. Role of radiation therapy in the combined-modality treatment of patients with extensive disease. J Clin Oncol 1999;17: 2092–2099. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1999.17.7.2092.