Embracing the complexity: Older adults with cancer-related cognitive decline- - A Young International Society of Geriatric Oncology Position Paper.

Pergolotti, M, Battisti, N.M.L., Padgett, L., Sleight, A., Abdallah, M., Newman, R., Van Dyk,

N.K., Covington, K.R., Williams, G.R., van den Bos, F., Pollock, Y. Y., Salerno, E.A.,

Magnuson, A., Gattás-Vernaglia, I.F., Ahles, T.A

Corresponding Author:

Mackenzi Pergolotti

ReVital Cancer Rehabilitation, Select Medical

4174 Gettysburg Rd, Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

Department of Occupational Therapy - College of Health and Human Sciences

Colorado State University

200 Occupational Therapy Building, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1573, USA

Email address: mpergolotti@selectmedical.com

Author list:

Nicolò Matteo Luca Battisti

Department of Medicine - Breast Unit

The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust

Downs Road, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5PT, United Kingdom

Email address: nicolo.battisti@rmh.nhs.uk

Lynne Padgett

Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Washington, DC

Alix G. Sleight

Outcomes Research Branch, Healthcare Delivery Research Program

Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences National Cancer Institute alix.sleight@nih.gov

Maya Abdallah Department of Internal Medicine University of Massachusetts Medical School – Baystate Medical Center 759 Chestnut St., Springfield, MA, 01199 Email Address: maya.abdallahmd@baystatehealth.org

Department of Occupational Therapy Boston University Sargent College of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences 635 Commonwealth Avenue Boston, MA 02215 Email address: <u>newmanro@bu.edu</u> Kathleen Van Dyk Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center Cancer Prevention and Control Research

Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior

University of California, Los Angeles

Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Robin Newman

Email address: <u>KVanDyk@mednet.ucla.edu</u>

Kelley R. Covington

Department of Occupational Therapy - College of Health and Human Sciences Colorado State University 200 Occupational Therapy Building, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1573, USA ReVital Cancer Rehabilitation, Select Medical 4174 Gettysburg Rd, Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 Email address: <u>Kelley.Covington@colostate.edu</u>

Grant R. Williams

Divisions of Hematology/Oncology & Gerontology, Geriatrics, and Palliative Care

Institute for Cancer Outcomes and Survivorship

The University of Alabama at Birmingham

1670 University Blvd, Birmingham, AL 35233, USA

Email address: grwilliams@uabmc.edu

Frederiek van den Bos Departement of Geriatric Medicine University Medical Centre Utrecht Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, Netherlands Email address: <u>f.vandenbos@umcutrecht.nl</u>

YaoYao Pollock Geriatric Oncology Fellowship Program University of California, San Francisco 1600 Divisadero St, San Francisco, CA 94115, USA

Email address: Yaoyao.Pollock@ucsf.edu

Elizabeth A. Salerno Division of Cancer Epidemiology & Genetics Metabolic Epidemiology Branch National Cancer Institute 9609 Medical Center Dr, Rockville, MD 20850 Email address: elizabeth.salerno@nih.gov

Allison Magnuson

Department of Medicine, Hematology/Oncology

University of Rochester Medical Center

601 Elmwood Ave, Box 704, Rochester, NY 14642, USA

Email address: <u>Allison_magnuson@urmc.rochester.edu</u>

Gattás-Vernaglia, I.F.

Division of Geriatrics, Department of Internal Medicine,

University of São Paulo Medical School

Hospital Sírio-Libanês- Geriatric Oncology Team

Av. Dr. Enéas de Carvalho Aguiar, 155, 8º Andar, Bloco 3, São Paulo (SP) CEP 05403-900,

Brazil.

E-mail address: isagattas@gmail.com

Tim A. Ahles

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences

Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

641 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10022

Email address: ahlest@mskcc.org

Acknowledgement of funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Running head: Embracing complexity of CRCD in Older Adults

Disclaimers: MP receives a salary from Select Medical. No other disclosers from co-authors.

Keywords: Cancer-related Cognitive Decline, Rehabilitation, Older Adults, Assessment

Words:3419

Abstract

Cancer-related cognitive decline (CRCD) may have particularly significant consequences for older adults, impacting their functional and physical abilities, level of independence, ability to make decisions, treatment adherence, overall quality of life, and ultimately survival. In honor of Dr. Hurria's work we explore and examine multiple types of screening, assessment and non-pharmacologic treatments for CRCD. We then suggest future research and clinical practice questions to holistically appreciate the complexity of older adults with cancer's experiences and fully integrate the team-based approach to best serve this population.

Introduction

Due to advances in screening, early diagnosis, and improved anticancer treatments, there is an increasing prevalence of cancer survivors, and in turn, an increased concern about the late effects of cancer treatments. A common effect of cancer treatment is cancer-related cognitive decline (CRCD), sometimes referred to as "chemobrain" or "chemofog", which can persist long after treatment completion. Cognitive problems may have particularly significant consequences for older adults with cancer, impacting their functional status, level of independence, decision-making capacity, treatment compliance, quality of life, caregiver burden, and ultimately survival [1-3]. Inspired by Dr. Hurria's pioneering work in the field of CRCD research and her legacy of improving the care of older adults with cancer by embracing the complexity of their experiences, we aim to examine the evidence on the assessment and interventions of CRCD, and to document critical research gaps and areas of improvement. In honor of her integrative and collaborative approach to patient centered care, we have included multiple disciplinary perspectives and approaches as we provide recommendations to implement her visionary work in CRCD in older adults.

Research in the transactional influences of cancer, treatments and cognitive aging on the brain are complex and their role in CRCD in older adults is growing. CRCD is observed across a range of cognitive domains, such as executive functioning, memory, processing speed, and attention, which are also subject to the effects of aging on the brain itself [4, 5]. In looking beyond the interaction of cancer and normal aging, Drs. Hurria and Ahles, as well as others, have proposed that anticancer treatments can influence and accelerate the trajectory of cognitive aging [6-10]. Prevalence estimates of CRCD in adult cancer survivorship vary depending on the assessments used, definition of impairment, or decline, employed, and cancer type, but are generally fairly high: brain tumors: up to 90%; leukemia: 20-30%; breast cancer: 40%; gynecologic cancer: 60%; head and neck cancer: 38%; colorectal cancer: 40%;

testicular cancer: 60% [11-19]. Cognitive impairment is also reported in adults with thyroid cancers [20], however, for men with prostate cancer, with an average age of diagnosis at 66, the association between cognitive impairment and androgen therapy remains debated [21].

As highlighted by Hurria et al. [22, 23], patient-related factors (psychological status, fatigue, mental health, functional age, menopause, comorbidities and preexisting cognitive impairments) may be useful in predicting those at greatest risk for CRCD. The presence of pre-treatment depressive symptoms, anxiety, higher levels of fatigue, lower functional wellbeing, reduced cognitive reserve and post treatment endocrine therapy have also been found to be predictors of cognitive decline in adults with breast cancer who have received chemotherapy, but are not conclusive or consistent [24-31]. Furthermore, older women with breast cancer who have cognitive decline are more likely to discontinue adjuvant endocrine treatment [32], and cognitive decline is significantly associated with frailty during treatment [33]. In addition, post-operative delirium in older adults is associated with a poorer trajectory of cognitive function after surgery, as well as increased risk for later dementia [34, 35]. Since many older patients with cancer are likely to undergo surgery, particular attention to preventing post-operative delirium is important [36]. Lastly, the high prevalence of polypharmacy and the frequent use of potentially inappropriate medications in older adults with cancer is a concern for contributing to worsening cognition, but there are limited data on this to date [37, 38].

Although there are many gaps in our knowledge on CRCD, several recent studies have improved our understanding of the pathophysiology as well as associated risk factors. Evidence from cross-sectional and longitudinal imaging have shown that some patients with breast cancer on chemotherapy exhibit long-term changes in frontal regions and decreases in gray and white matter volumes compared with controls (including healthy individuals and breast cancer patients not receiving chemotherapy); and, these changes correlate with

neurocognitive deficits [39, 40]. Other imaging studies support the negative impact on the brain of cytotoxics used to treat breast cancer [41-45]. Preliminary research on genetic predictors indicates that apolipoprotein E4 (APOE4) allele, catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT)-valine genotype and gene polymorphisms may also increase the risk of CRCD [46-48].

The intensity of treatments as well as the cumulative amount may affect the risk of CRCD. For example, in adults who receive hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), which involves conditioning treatment prior to transplantion, their rate of pre-HCT cognitive impairment can be high, and their risk of CRCD only increases after HCT, suggesting that the effect of cancer treatment on cognition may be cumulative [49-51]. The intensity of the conditioning treatment regimen may also affect the risk and timing of cognitive decline, with patients treated with more aggressive approaches (i.e. myeloablative conditioning or the use of total body radiation) being at higher risk for developing CRCD and more likely to experience persistent CRCD [52]. However, evidence on the biologic drivers of CRCD in older individuals in particular remains limited, with inadequate understanding of important and unique aging factors, such as comorbidities, polypharmacy, and cognitive reserve [19, 52-54]. Furthermore, the impact of newer cancer therapies, such as immunotherapy and other targeted agents, among older adults with cancer is extremely limited and warrants further study.

Adults with CRCD do not routinely receive attention for cognitive concerns, especially from health care providers [55]. Individuals with CRCD are then forced to adapt despite the considerable impact of symptoms across all life demands [55]. Inspired by Dr. Hurria's work, her innovative ability for multi-disciplinary team building, and problemsolving, we will review the state of the science of CRCD assessment and non-pharmacologic treatment (given the complexity of cancer treatments and potential comorbidity load in older

adults with cancer, pharmaceutical approaches are beyond the scope of this paper) in older adults and highlight future research directions for the field.

Assessment

The National Comprehensive Cancer Center (NCCN) older adult oncology guidelines, American Society of Clinical Oncology and International Society of Geriatric Oncology recommend regular assessment of cognition [56-60]. Led by Dr. Hurria, cognitive screening is a recommended part of an oncology-based routine geriatric assessments (GA) [58]. Therefore, GA also presents an opportunity for screening for CRCD in clinical trials for older adults with cancer [61]. The GA provides an opportunity to identify cognitive decline often overlooked by routine care, can better assess treatment tolerability and prognosis, and more effectively facilitate shared decision-making and improve patient engagement in order to develop personalized treatment plans [62-68]. Furthermore, GA allows for potential routine and systematic assessment of baseline cognition as part of risk stratification for patients undergoing anticancer treatment.

CRCD co-occurring within the context of age-related cognitive decline presents two immediate challenges to precise and accurate screening. First, many commonly used geriatric cognitive screeners, such as the Mini-Cog [69], Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)[70], Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE)[71], and Blessed Orientation Memory and Concentration (BOMC) [72], were developed to screen for dementia or to assess a focal impairment such as following a stroke. These syndromes are qualitatively different than CRCD, so the commonly used GA cognitive screeners may not be generalizable to CRCD. Among these tools, only the MoCA has demonstrated acceptable levels of sensitivity within patients with cancer [73-75]. Second, given the lack of research about their use in the context of CRCD, cut-off scores specific to adults with cancer have not been established. NCCN

guidelines for assessment of cognitive impairment in older adults recommends the use of Mini-Cog and functional assessment of instrumental and basic activities of daily living [57].

A more robust and patient-centered approach to cognitive screening involves pairing screening with patient-reported outcome measures (PRO) of perception of cognitive decline [76]. Common CRCD PROs include the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Cognitive Function (FACT-Cog), PROMIS® Cognitive Function, and Cognitive Symptom Checklist-Work 21 (CSC-W21); however, limited data are available for their use in older adults populations with cancer [77]. When screening tests are positive for potential symptoms of CRCD, and there are cognitive concerns noted by patients, caregivers, or medical staff, more extensive assessments should be considered (e.g., neuropsychological or functional assessment). Also, when screening for CRCD in the older adult population, changes in anxiety and depression may be significant contributors [56]. Brief, self-report validated measures such as the Geriatric Depression Screen or the Mental Health Index can shed important information on cognitive complaints, as well as offer possible targets of intervention [78, 79].

Neuropsychological assessment. If more in-depth cognitive evaluation is required, neuropsychological assessments should be considered. Neuropsychological assessment provides an quantitative as well as qualitative evaluation of factors contributing to cognitive dysfunction, including developmental history, comorbidities, psychiatric syndromes, and polypharmacy [80]. For instance, if there is suspicion of cognitive decline, neuropsychologists are trained to recognize neuropsychological patterns typical of normal aging, Alzheimer's disease and other dementias, psychiatric disorders, and other conditions which can inform differential diagnosis of CRCD. Neuropsychologists are also trained in evaluating problems relevant to older adults, such as vision and hearing decline, which can

dramatically interfere with the testing validity [81]. The International Cognition and Cancer Task Force recommends a core set of neuropsychological tests [82] to assess CRCD.

Neuropsychological assessment can also provide reliable, valid and objective means to monitor cognitive function and changes over time which are a particularly important aspect of health monitoring in patients with central nervous system (CNS) tumors or who are undergoing treatments with cognitive risk (e.g., chemotherapy, radiation, HCT) [5]. Changes or declines in cognitive status can also signal changes in disease and health, or alert providers and family to the possibility of functional decline and raise concerns about medication adherence. Furthermore, neuropsychologists are trained to discuss cognitive limitations and disorders with patients and their families, in addition to providing targeted recommendations to optimize functioning and lessen caregiver burden. Importantly, in older adults with cancer this approach is also key to unlocking and discussing cognitive symptoms that may otherwise be minimized due to fear or embarrassment [55, 83].

Functional Assessment. Overall changes in comorbid conditions, frailty and functioning may contribute to cognitive decline and should therefore be assessed in parallel [84, 85]. While the GA provides valuable understanding of an older adult's basic functional age, it is equally important to evaluate how physical, psychological and cognitive factors can impact and relate to participation in life roles.

Older adults may have various degrees of co-occurring functional impairments, including restrictions in mobility or balance, low muscle mass, recurrent falls and geriatric syndromes, polypharmacy and limited social support [86]. Even minor changes in cognitive ability may potentially impact cancer survivors' ability to live independently [87]. Occupational therapists may use "functional cognition" as an assessment tool, which involves identifying how an individual utilizes and integrates thinking and processing skills to accomplish everyday activities in clinical and community living environments [88].

Occupational therapy practitioners can evaluate how an older adult with cancer integrates cognitive skills into daily activities such as self-care, instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. medication management, driving, household tasks), work, leisure, and social participation through function-based cognitive assessments and evaluation of performance and perception of daily living skills. Evaluating how cognitive changes interfere with daily functioning is necessary to determine compensatory or remedial interventions, especially as the impact of cognitive changes on daily activities changes over time.

Prevention and Rehabilitation Interventions

Physical Interventions. Non-pharmacological approaches have an increasing amount of evidence highlighting the health benefits of exercise, both in healthy adults and cancer survivors [89-94]. The recent release of the Second Edition of the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans highlighted improved cognition with exercise across the lifespan, with more robust associations in older adults [95]. Several recent reviews have highlighted the utility of physical activity to mitigate aging-related declines in cognitive function [96-98]. These studies span cross-sectional work, randomized controlled trials, and epidemiologic studies of large cohorts.

Regular physical activity in community-dwelling older adults has been associated with increased brain volume and cortical plasticity, and improved cognitive vitality and associated neural circuitry and functioning [99-102]. Results from cross-sectional human and animal studies have demonstrated an association between increased physical activity and less CRCD associated with treatments for cancer [103]. Therefore, physical activity (i.e., daily cumulative activities requiring physical function) and/or exercise (i.e., physical activity intentionally performed to improve an aspect of fitness and/or health) have been proposed as a potential non-pharmacologic primary prevention for CRCD.

The positive associations between regular physical activity and cognitive function may be due to lower levels of inflammation, increased neurotransmitters and neurotrophins, and increasing structural adaptions in the CNS [104, 105]. Additionally, exercise is associated with an improvement of other chronic conditions that potentially affect cognition, such as depression, sleep disruption and obesity [106]. Results from animal studies have indicated that exercise can attenuate CRCD and neuroplasticity in cancer, particularly during chemotherapy treatment [107]. However, results have been difficult to translate to a human model, and interventional studies have been limited by design, owing to incomplete randomization and lack of control groups, missing data and variable use of assessment tools [103].

More recently, researchers have attempted to explore the effects of exercise on cognition specifically in cancer survivors. To date, less than 30 studies in humans have examined the association between exercise and cognitive function specific to cancer, and very few were intervention trials [103, 104]. The majority of studies have associated aerobic, resistance, and combination exercise interventions with positive [108-113] effects on both objectively and subjectively measured cognition for adults with cancer. [104]. Specifically, resistance exercise has been associated with improvements in objectively measured domains of concentration and cognitive flexibility [112]. Combined interventions, including aerobic exercise and sustained attention tasks, have been associated with improved cognitive flexibility and inhibitory control for older adults with cancer, which are important determinants of executive functions [108]. Notably, no detrimental effects of exercise on CRCD have been documented [90, 91, 114]. However, these studies are limited by significant variation in the instruments used to measure cognitive function, focus on younger adults who do not typically have cognitive impairment prior to therapy, and variance in the domains f cognitive function that were measured across studies [104].

The relationship between physical activity and cognitive function is complex, particularly in older patients with cancer, due to the accelerated aging effects of cancer and its treatment. [103]. A major limitation of physical activity interventions after cancer is that these studies are not specific to older cancer survivors. Therefore the results may not be generalizable to older adults who may experience additive effects of age-related and CRCD [108]. More research is needed on the type, intensity, frequency, and duration of exercise to improve cognitive outcomes [115], including traditional exercise models and mind-body components (e.g., yoga, tai chi). Several studies published in the past few years suggested that further replication and extension of these findings is forthcoming [116-119]. Despite these mixed findings and calls for more rigorous methodology, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network has recommended exercise as a management strategy for CRCD [120].

Integrative approaches. Some mind-body practices and lifestyle modifications represent a promising behavioral approach to counteract CRCD, although their efficacy has yet to be specifically tested for older adults with cancer. Integrative approaches differ from aerobic exercise since they more directly target directed breathing, postures, and meditation [103]. Altogether, integrative approaches used in oncology, (such as Qigong, and Tai-chi) have been established to mitigate CRCD and improve quality of life [121, 122], and therefore could be considered as a potential resource to improve cognition. However, the underlying mechanisms of such interventions remain unclear; cognitive benefits may be due to stressreduction pathways in the brain or mitigation of posttraumatic stress, emotional status, fatigue, and sleep disorders.

Tai chi is ideal for older adults with cancer who are either unable or reluctant to exercise because of weakness or fatigue [103]. Tai chi involves slow movement sequences coordinated with breathing and focused attention and can reduce falls and improve gait and balance [123]. A recent meta-analysis of Tai Chi interventions in cancer care identified three

previous studies examining cognitive function and showed an overall positive effect [124]. The average age of paticipants ranged from 59-66 years old, demostating some evidence that Tai Chi may be beneficial for CRCD in older adults with cancer. Yoga interventions have also demonstrated generally positive effects on CRCD [103]. In fact, two of the largest human interventional trials aimed at improving CRCD have leveraged yoga as the intervention of choice [125, 126]. Despite these preliminary positive results, the yoga-CRCD association is unclear in older cancer populations specifically, warranting more research in this space.

Mindfulness-based. Mindfulness-based interventions are integrative therapeutic practices based on meditation with a focus on present-moment experience in the context of openness, curiosity, and acceptance. Mindfulness is effective in improving CRCD in cancer patients [127], but also working memory and attention in non-cancer populations [128]. It additionally affects sleep, quality of life, depression, anxiety and fatigue [129], however the majority of participants have been younger than 65 years-old [130]. A recent systematic review examining mindfulness interventions for CRCD in breast cancer survivors found some evidence of effectiveness, and recommendations included using validated comprehensive measures of cognition, as well as further research into the timing, duration and content of mindfulness interventions [131]. These studies suggest that mindfulness is likely an effective intervention targeting CRCD; however, further studies are needed focusing on both older adults and comparing mindfulness-based interventions to other behavioral interventions.[130].

Functional. A function-oriented approach to rehabilitation of older adults with CRCD can maximize independence across a wide range of daily activities, including self-care and instrumental activities of daily living, work, leisure and social participation. Remedial or compensatory interventions may also be provided by an occupational therapist to address the

specific physical, psychological, and lifestyle needs of survivors living with CRCD in the context of activities meaningful to them such as, management of medications and finances, home maintenance, driving, caregiving and social participation. Given the importance of the social environment in addressing cognitive health, minimizing social isolation and loneliness, while increasing social participation may improve cognitive function in cancer survivor, especially in older adults [132-134].

Cognitive-Behavioral. Cognitive-behavioral therapy and training can improve symptoms of CRCD by identifying and addressing the behaviors, feelings, and beliefs associated with the resulting stress of cognitive complaints and can be completed by rehabilitation counselors and occupational therapists. Memory and Attention Adaptation Training (MAAT) uses a cognitive-behavioral approach to teach patients adaptive strategies to cope with cognitive issues. It involves self-awareness, self-regulation, relaxation training, activity scheduling, pacing, education on memory and attention, and cognitive compensatory strategies training [135-137]. MAAT has demonstrated improved outcomes in verbal memory, processing speed, spiritual and emotional well-being for adults with cancer [136, 138] but has not been specifically tested in older adults.

Cognitive rehabilitation and training. Cognitive training interventions focus on abilities such as processing speed, reasoning, and memory [139], and have shown positive effects on cognition for community-dwelling older adults and adults with breast cancer [139-141]. Cognitive training interventions can include computer-based exercises aimed at increasing executive function skills such as cognitive flexibility, processing speed, working memory, and verbal fluency [140, 141]. Moreover, they may include practicing cognitive skills in everyday contexts and training in compensatory strategies [142]. They may incorporate components of stress management, memory remediation strategies, and self-efficacy training as part of the rehabilitative intervention [143]. A previous pilot study that

adapted a memory-training intervention for older adults to cancer-specific needs found moderate but non-significant effects of memory-training on CRCD when compared to a health promotion control group [143]. Specific improvements were seen in memory strategies and complaints, and were accompanied by reductions in depression and anxiety; however, this study was conducted on a small cohort. More research is warranted to determine if cognitive training may be a recommended approach to mitigating CRCD in older cancer survivors specifically.

Future Areas of Research

In 2011, Dr. Hurria published an editorial in the Journal of Clinical Oncology entitled Embracing the Complexity of Comorbidity [144]. She called for understanding and capturing the impact of comorbidities on individual patients as well as integrating this information into clinical trials to gather the necessary information to inform treatment decisions. As we examined the gap areas in the field of CRCD and older adults, we found there were many opportunities to continue to better understand and capture the impact with improved and targeted assessment and interventions. Maintaining independence and cognitive ability are highly valued by older adults with cancer throughout their cancer trajectory [145]. Despite the threat to sustained independence, CRCD symptoms can be neglected by healthcare providers and become a major source of patient frustration [55, 146].

Previous research has focused on a better understanding for the mechanisms behind cognitive impairment and the impact of potential confounders, on the role of physical activity and exercise, and on specific physical, psychosocial and mind-body interventions. Much work still remains to be done to better appreciate the complexity of aging and CRCD.

We suggest examining methodological questions as a fruitful target for further research. The need for standardized screeners that could be incorporated into a GA, as well as the role of PROs in assessment are important to develop a sense of what type of assessment is

the most sensitive screener with least patient and clinician burden. We need standardized CRCD screening tools and to develop better assessment approaches that integrate self-reported cognitive dysfunction. It is also critical to develop better means of capturing the functional changes associated with cognitive symptoms in older cancer patients, even, and perhaps especially, if they don't reach the level of severity of dementia.

In the field of pediatric oncology, assessments and interventions target cognitive abilities related to specific meaningful life roles [147]. We suggest extrapolating this to older patients with CRCD, so that assessment approaches identify meaningful roles and activities, such as those related to leisure, social participation and life-space, as well as instrumental activities of daily living. Potential interventions for CRCD could include a multi-disciplinary, team-based approach involving rehabilitation clinicians, audiologists, speech and language pathologists, physical therapists, occupational therapists, clinical psychologists and neuropsychologists aiming to facilitate maintained independence. Further research is needed to demonstrate the efficacy of function-based interventions on CRCD and in addition, we suggest research also test multi-modal interventions for example, combining mindfulness meditation with graded exercise and physical activity (based on symptoms).

Finally, a multidisciplinary team is necessary to adequately address, clinically, the unique and complex needs of older adults with cancer. Just as Dr. Hurria's work embracing the complexity of the older cancer patient led to the importance of translating the GA and comorbidities into geriatric oncology in the community and cancer centers, the complexity of CRCD in the older cancer patient requires future research to embracing multiple disciplines to extend our understanding. In the spirit of extending Dr. Arti Hurria's work, we must listen to our patients' struggles and engage with researchers in fields outside of oncology medicine, establishing collaborations beyond our traditional clinical and research partners to include

neuroscience and rehabilitation [148]. Together, we can continue to strive to better serve older adults with cancer.

References

- 1. Robb, C., et al., *Patterns of care and survival in cancer patients with cognitive impairment.* Crit Rev Oncol Hematol, 2010. **74**(3): p. 218-24.
- 2. Barrios, H., et al., *Quality of life in patients with mild cognitive impairment*. Aging Ment Health, 2013. **17**(3): p. 287-92.
- 3. Stilley, C.S., et al., *The impact of cognitive function on medication management: three studies.* Health Psychol, 2010. **29**(1): p. 50-5.
- 4. Park, D.C. and P. Reuter-Lorenz, *The adaptive brain: aging and neurocognitive scaffolding.* Annu Rev Psychol, 2009. **60**: p. 173-96.
- 5. Wefel, J.S., et al., *Clinical characteristics, pathophysiology, and management of noncentral nervous system cancer-related cognitive impairment in adults.* CA Cancer J Clin, 2015. **65**(2): p. 123-38.
- 6. Ahles, T.A., *Brain vulnerability to chemotherapy toxicities*. Psychooncology, 2012. **21**(11): p. 1141-8.
- Hurria, A., L. Jones, and H.B. Muss, *Cancer Treatment as an Accelerated Aging Process: Assessment, Biomarkers, and Interventions.* Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book, 2016. 35: p. e516-22.
- 8. Mandelblatt, J.S., et al., *Cancer-Related Cognitive Outcomes Among Older Breast Cancer Survivors in the Thinking and Living With Cancer Study.* Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2018. **36**(32): p. 3211-3222.
- Mandelblatt, J.S., et al., Long-term trajectories of self-reported cognitive function in a cohort of older survivors of breast cancer: CALGB 369901 (Alliance). Cancer, 2016. 122(22): p. 3555-3563.
- 10. Lange, M., et al., *Cognitive Changes After Adjuvant Treatment in Older Adults with Early-Stage Breast Cancer.* Oncologist, 2019. **24**(1): p. 62-68.
- 11. Ahles, T.A., J.C. Root, and E.L. Ryan, *Cancer- and cancer treatment-associated cognitive change: an update on the state of the science.* J Clin Oncol, 2012. **30**(30): p. 3675-86.
- 12. Janelsins, M.C., et al., *Cognitive Complaints in Survivors of Breast Cancer After Chemotherapy Compared With Age-Matched Controls: An Analysis From a Nationwide, Multicenter, Prospective Longitudinal Study.* J Clin Oncol, 2017. **35**(5): p. 506-514.
- 13. Hurria, A., et al., *Cognitive function of older patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: a pilot prospective longitudinal study.* Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2006. **54**(6): p. 925-931.
- 14. Stavraka, C., et al., A study of symptoms described by ovarian cancer survivors. Gynecologic Oncology, 2012. **125**(1): p. 59-64.
- 15. Amidi, A., et al., *Changes in Brain Structural Networks and Cognitive Functions in Testicular Cancer Patients Receiving Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy.* JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2017. **109**(12): p. djx085-djx085.
- 16. Amidi, A., et al., *Cognitive impairment in testicular cancer survivors 2 to 7 years after treatment.* Supportive Care in Cancer, 2015. **23**(10): p. 2973-2979.

- 17. Regier, N.G., et al., *Cancer-related cognitive impairment and associated factors in a sample of older male oral-digestive cancer survivors.* Psycho-Oncology, 2019. **28**(7): p. 1551-1558.
- 18. Vardy, J., et al., *Cognitive function and fatigue after diagnosis of colorectal cancer.* Annals of oncology, 2014. **25**(12): p. 2404-2412.
- 19. Meadows, M.E., et al., *Predictors of neuropsychological change in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome.* Arch Clin Neuropsychol, 2013. **28**(4): p. 363-74.
- 20. Saeed, O., et al., *Cognitive functioning in thyroid cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2019. **13**(2): p. 231-243.
- 21. Sun, M., et al., *Cognitive impairment in men with prostate cancer treated with androgen deprivation therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* The Journal of urology, 2018. **199**(6): p. 1417-1425.
- 22. Hurria, A., G. Somlo, and T. Ahles, *Renaming "chemobrain"*. Cancer Invest, 2007. **25**(6): p. 373-7.
- Hurria, A., et al., Effect of adjuvant breast cancer chemotherapy on cognitive function from the older patient's perspective. Breast cancer research and treatment, 2006.
 98(3): p. 343-348.
- 24. Ahles, T.A., et al., Longitudinal assessment of cognitive changes associated with adjuvant treatment for breast cancer: impact of age and cognitive reserve. J Clin Oncol, 2010. **28**(29): p. 4434-40.
- 25. Jim, H.S., et al., *Meta-analysis of cognitive functioning in breast cancer survivors previously treated with standard-dose chemotherapy.* J Clin Oncol, 2012. **30**(29): p. 3578-87.
- 26. Bender, C.M., et al., *Memory impairments with adjuvant anastrozole versus tamoxifen in women with early-stage breast cancer.* Menopause, 2007. **14**(6): p. 995-8.
- 27. Castellon, S.A., et al., *Neurocognitive performance in breast cancer survivors exposed to adjuvant chemotherapy and tamoxifen.* J Clin Exp Neuropsychol, 2004. **26**(7): p. 955-69.
- 28. Hurria, A., et al., *The effect of aromatase inhibition on the cognitive function of older patients with breast cancer*. Clinical breast cancer, 2014. **14**(2): p. 132-140.
- 29. Phillips, K.A., et al., *Cognitive function in postmenopausal breast cancer patients one year after completing adjuvant endocrine therapy with letrozole and/or tamoxifen in the BIG 1-98 trial.* Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2011. **126**(1): p. 221-6.
- 30. Schilder, C.M., et al., *Effects of tamoxifen and exemestane on cognitive functioning of postmenopausal patients with breast cancer: results from the neuropsychological side study of the tamoxifen and exemestane adjuvant multinational trial.* J Clin Oncol, 2010. **28**(8): p. 1294-300.
- 31. Van Dyk, K., et al., *The cognitive effects of endocrine therapy in survivors of breast cancer: A prospective longitudinal study up to 6 years after treatment.* Cancer, 2018.
- 32. Bluethmann, S.M., et al., *Cognitive function and discontinuation of adjuvant hormonal therapy in older breast cancer survivors: CALGB 369901 (Alliance).* Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2017. **165**(3): p. 677-686.
- 33. Magnuson, A., et al., *Longitudinal Relationship Between Frailty and Cognition in Patients 50 Years and Older with Breast Cancer.* Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2019. **67**(5): p. 928-936.

- 34. Inouye, S.K., et al., *The short-term and long-term relationship between delirium and cognitive trajectory in older surgical patients*. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 2016. **12**(7): p. 766-775.
- 35. Fong, T.G., et al., *The interface between delirium and dementia in elderly adults.* The Lancet Neurology, 2015. **14**(8): p. 823-832.
- 36. Samuel, M., *Postoperative delirium in older adults: best practice statement from the American Geriatrics Society.* JMAGSEP, 2015. **220**: p. 136-149.
- 37. Balducci, L., D. Goetz-Parten, and M.A. Steinman, *Polypharmacy and the management of the older cancer patient.* Ann Oncol, 2013. **24 Suppl 7**: p. vii36-40.
- 38. Maggiore, R.J., C.P. Gross, and A. Hurria, *Polypharmacy in older adults with cancer*. Oncologist, 2010. **15**(5): p. 507-22.
- 39. Janelsins, M.C., et al., *Prevalence, mechanisms, and management of cancer-related cognitive impairment.* Int Rev Psychiatry, 2014. **26**(1): p. 102-13.
- 40. Simo, M., et al., *Chemobrain: a systematic review of structural and functional neuroimaging studies.* Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 2013. **37**(8): p. 1311-21.
- 41. Deprez, S., et al., Longitudinal assessment of chemotherapy-induced structural changes in cerebral white matter and its correlation with impaired cognitive functioning. J Clin Oncol, 2012. **30**(3): p. 274-81.
- 42. Kesler, S., et al., *Reduced hippocampal volume and verbal memory performance associated with interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha levels in chemotherapy-treated breast cancer survivors.* Brain Behav Immun, 2013. **30 Suppl**: p. S109-16.
- 43. McDonald, B.C., et al., *Gray matter reduction associated with systemic chemotherapy for breast cancer: a prospective MRI study.* Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2010. **123**(3): p. 819-28.
- 44. McDonald, B.C., et al., *Frontal gray matter reduction after breast cancer chemotherapy and association with executive symptoms: a replication and extension study.* Brain Behav Immun, 2013. **30 Suppl**: p. S117-25.
- 45. McDonald, B.C. and A.J. Saykin, *Alterations in brain structure related to breast cancer and its treatment: chemotherapy and other considerations.* Brain Imaging Behav, 2013. **7**(4): p. 374-87.
- 46. Ahles, T.A., et al., Longitudinal assessment of cognitive changes associated with adjuvant treatment for breast cancer: the impact of APOE and smoking. Psychooncology, 2014. **23**(12): p. 1382-90.
- 47. Small, B.J., et al., *Catechol-O-methyltransferase genotype modulates cancer treatment-related cognitive deficits in breast cancer survivors.* Cancer, 2011. **117**(7): p. 1369-76.
- 48. Koleck, T.A., et al., *An exploratory study of host polymorphisms in genes that clinically characterize breast cancer tumors and pretreatment cognitive performance in breast cancer survivors.* Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press), 2017. **9**: p. 95-110.
- 49. Beglinger, L.J., et al., *Neuropsychological and psychiatric functioning pre- and posthematopoietic stem cell transplantation in adult cancer patients: a preliminary study*. J Int Neuropsychol Soc, 2007. **13**(1): p. 172-7.
- 50. Harder, H., et al., Assessment of pre-treatment cognitive performance in adult bone marrow or haematopoietic stem cell transplantation patients: a comparative study. Eur J Cancer, 2005. **41**(7): p. 1007-16.
- 51. Sostak, P., et al., *Prospective evaluation of neurological complications after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation.* Neurology, 2003. **60**(5): p. 842-8.

- 52. Sharafeldin, N., et al., *Cognitive Functioning After Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Hematologic Malignancy: Results From a Prospective Longitudinal Study.* J Clin Oncol, 2018. **36**(5): p. 463-475.
- 53. Stern, Y., *Cognitive reserve*. Neuropsychologia, 2009. **47**(10): p. 2015-28.
- 54. Amidi, A., et al., *Changes in Brain Structural Networks and Cognitive Functions in Testicular Cancer Patients Receiving Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy*. J Natl Cancer Inst, 2017. **109**(12).
- 55. Selamat, M.H., et al., *Chemobrain experienced by breast cancer survivors: a metaethnography study investigating research and care implications.* PLoS One, 2014. **9**(9): p. e108002.
- 56. VanderWalde, N., et al., *NCCN Guidelines Insights: Older Adult Oncology, Version* 2.2016. J Natl Compr Canc Netw, 2016. **14**(11): p. 1357-1370.
- 57. Network, N.C.C. *NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2019 Older Adult Oncology*. 2019 January 2019 [cited 2019 July 12, 2019]; 1.2019:[Available from: <u>https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/senior.pdf</u>.
- 58. Mohile, S.G., et al., *Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology.* J Clin Oncol, 2018. **36**(22): p. 2326-2347.
- 59. Boyle, H.J., et al., *Updated recommendations of the International Society of Geriatric Oncology on prostate cancer management in older patients.* European Journal of Cancer, 2019. **116**: p. 116-136.
- Droz, J.-P., et al., Background for the proposal of SIOG guidelines for the management of prostate cancer in senior adults. Critical reviews in oncology/hematology, 2010.
 73(1): p. 68-91.
- 61. Hurria, A., et al., *Implementing a geriatric assessment in cooperative group clinical cancer trials: CALGB 360401.* Journal of clinical oncology, 2011. **29**(10): p. 1290.
- 62. Jolly, T.A., et al., *Geriatric assessment-identified deficits in older cancer patients with normal performance status.* Oncologist, 2015. **20**(4): p. 379-85.
- 63. Mohile, S.G., et al., *Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology.* J Clin Oncol, 2018: p. JCO2018788687.
- 64. Mohile, S.G., et al., *Community Oncologists' Decision-Making for Treatment of Older Patients With Cancer.* J Natl Compr Canc Netw, 2018. **16**(3): p. 301-309.
- 65. Wildiers, H., et al., *International Society of Geriatric Oncology consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer.* J Clin Oncol, 2014. **32**(24): p. 2595-603.
- 66. Williams, G.R., *Geriatric Assessment: Precision Medicine for Older Adults With Cancer.* J Oncol Pract, 2018. **14**(2): p. 97-98.
- 67. Hurria, A., et al., *Validation of a prediction tool for chemotherapy toxicity in older adults with cancer.* Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016. **34**(20): p. 2366.
- 68. Extermann, M. and A. Hurria, *Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older patients with cancer.* Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2007. **25**(14): p. 1824-1831.
- 69. Borson, S., et al., *The Mini-Cog as a screen for dementia: validation in a populationbased sample.* Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2003. **51**(10): p. 1451-1454.
- Nasreddine, Z.S., et al., *The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment.* Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2005.
 53(4): p. 695-699.

- 71. Folstein, M., *Folstein SE, McHugh PR:" Mini-mental state.* A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 1975. **12**: p. 189-198.
- 72. Katzman, R., et al., *Validation of a short Orientation-Memory-Concentration Test of cognitive impairment.* The American Journal of Psychiatry, 1983. **140**(6): p. 734-739.
- 73. Olson, R., et al., *Prospective comparison of the prognostic utility of the Mini Mental State Examination and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in patients with brain metastases.* Supportive Care in Cancer, 2011. **19**(11): p. 1849-1855.
- 74. Olson, R.A., et al., *Prospective comparison of two cognitive screening tests: diagnostic accuracy and correlation with community integration and quality of life.* Journal of neuro-oncology, 2011. **105**(2): p. 337.
- 75. Rambeau, A., et al., *Prospective comparison of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment* (*MoCA*) and the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) in geriatric oncology. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2019. **10**(2): p. 235-240.
- 76. Savard, J. and P.A. Ganz, *Subjective or Objective Measures of Cognitive Functioning-What's More Important?* JAMA Oncol, 2016. **2**(10): p. 1263-1264.
- 77. Costa, D.S.J., et al., *The Structure of the FACT-Cog v3 in Cancer Patients, Students, and Older Adults.* Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 2018. **55**(4): p. 1173-1178.
- 78. Pergolotti, M., et al., *Mental status evaluation in older adults with cancer: Development of the Mental Health Index-13.* J Geriatr Oncol, 2018.
- 79. Marc, L.G., P.J. Raue, and M.L. Bruce, *Screening performance of the 15-item geriatric depression scale in a diverse elderly home care population.* Am J Geriatr Psychiatry, 2008. **16**(11): p. 914-21.
- 80. Parsons, M.W. and J. Dietrich, *Assessment and management of cognitive changes in patients with cancer*. Cancer, 2019.
- 81. Potter, D. and D. Keeling, *Effects of moderate exercise and circadian rhythms on human memory.* J Sport Exerc Psych, 2005. **27**.
- 82. Wefel, J.S., et al., International Cognition and Cancer Task Force recommendations to harmonise studies of cognitive function in patients with cancer. The lancet oncology, 2011. **12**(7): p. 703-708.
- 83. Courtier, N., et al., *Cancer and dementia: an exploratory study of the experience of cancer treatment in people with dementia.* Psycho-Oncology, 2016. **25**(9): p. 1079-1084.
- 84. Auyeung, T.W., et al., *Physical frailty predicts future cognitive decline—a four-year prospective study in 2737 cognitively normal older adults.* The journal of nutrition, health & aging, 2011. **15**(8): p. 690-694.
- Robertson, D.A., G.M. Savva, and R.A. Kenny, *Frailty and cognitive impairment—a review of the evidence and causal mechanisms.* Ageing research reviews, 2013. 12(4): p. 840-851.
- 86. Loh, K.P., et al., What every oncologist should know about geriatric assessment for older patients with cancer: young international society of geriatric oncology position paper. Journal of oncology practice, 2018. **14**(2): p. 85-94.
- 87. Ahles, T.A. and A. Hurria, New Challenges in Psycho-Oncology Research IV: Cognition and cancer: Conceptual and methodological issues and future directions. Psycho-oncology, 2018. **27**(1): p. 3-9.
- 88. Grajo, L.C. and S.A. Gutman, *The Role of Occupational Therapy in Functional Literacy.* The Open Journal of Occupational Therapy, 2019. **7**(1): p. 13.

- 89. Speck, R.M., et al., *An update of controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 2010. **4**(2): p. 87-100.
- 90. Schmitz, K.H., et al., American College of Sports Medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 2010. **42**(7): p. 1409-1426.
- Schmitz, K.H., et al., Controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers, 2005. 14(7): p. 1588-1595.
- 92. Courneya, K.S., *Exercise in cancer survivors: an overview of research*. Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 2003. **35**(11): p. 1846-1852.
- 93. Hillman, C.H., K.I. Erickson, and A.F. Kramer, *Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on brain and cognition.* Nature reviews neuroscience, 2008. **9**(1): p. 58.
- 94. Warburton, D.E., C.W. Nicol, and S.S. Bredin, *Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence.* Cmaj, 2006. **174**(6): p. 801-809.
- 95. Piercy, K.L., et al., *The physical activity guidelines for Americans*. Jama, 2018. **320**(19): p. 2020-2028.
- 96. Northey, J.M., et al., *Exercise interventions for cognitive function in adults older than 50: a systematic review with meta-analysis.* Br J Sports Med, 2018. **52**(3): p. 154-160.
- 97. Gajewski, P.D. and M. Falkenstein, *Physical activity and neurocognitive functioning in aging-a condensed updated review*. European Review of Aging and Physical Activity, 2016. **13**(1): p. 1.
- 98. Sofi, F., et al., *Physical activity and risk of cognitive decline: a meta-analysis of prospective studies.* Journal of internal medicine, 2011. **269**(1): p. 107-117.
- 99. Prakash, R.S., et al., *Physical activity and cognitive vitality*. Annual review of psychology, 2015. **66**: p. 769-797.
- 100. Bherer, L., K.I. Erickson, and T. Liu-Ambrose, *A review of the effects of physical activity and exercise on cognitive and brain functions in older adults.* Journal of aging research, 2013. **2013**.
- Kramer, A.F. and K.I. Erickson, *Capitalizing on cortical plasticity: influence of physical activity on cognition and brain function*. Trends in cognitive sciences, 2007. **11**(8): p. 342-348.
- 102. Colcombe, S.J., et al., *Aerobic exercise training increases brain volume in aging humans.* The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 2006. **61**(11): p. 1166-1170.
- 103. Zimmer, P., et al., *Effects of Exercise Interventions and Physical Activity Behavior on Cancer Related Cognitive Impairments: A Systematic Review.* BioMed Research International, 2016. **2016**: p. 13.
- 104. Myers, J.S., et al., *Exercise as an intervention to mitigate decreased cognitive function from cancer and cancer treatment: an integrative review.* Cancer nursing, 2018. 41(4): p. 327-343.
- 105. Voss, M.W., et al., *Bridging animal and human models of exercise-induced brain plasticity*. Trends in cognitive sciences, 2013. **17**(10): p. 525-544.
- 106. Blake, H., *Physical activity and exercise in the treatment of depression*. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 2012. **3**: p. 106.

- 107. Park, H.-S., et al., *Physical exercise prevents cognitive impairment by enhancing hippocampal neuroplasticity and mitochondrial function in doxorubicin-induced chemobrain.* Neuropharmacology, 2018. **133**: p. 451-461.
- 108. Miki, E., T. Kataoka, and H. Okamura, *Feasibility and efficacy of speed-feedback therapy with a bicycle ergometer on cognitive function in elderly cancer patients in Japan.* Psycho-Oncology, 2014. **23**(8): p. 906-913.
- 109. Baumann, F.T., et al., *12-Week resistance training with breast cancer patients during chemotherapy: effects on cognitive abilities.* Breast Care, 2011. **6**(2): p. 142-143.
- Galvao, D.A., et al., Combined resistance and aerobic exercise program reverses muscle loss in men undergoing androgen suppression therapy for prostate cancer without bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of clinical oncology, 2010.
 28(2): p. 340-347.
- 111. Mustian, K.M., et al., EXCAP exercise effects on cognitive impairment and inflammation: A URCC NCORP RCT in 479 cancer patients. 2015, American Society of Clinical Oncology.
- 112. Schmidt, M.E., et al., *Effects of resistance exercise on fatigue and quality of life in breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy: a randomized controlled trial.* International journal of cancer, 2015. **137**(2): p. 471-480.
- 113. Leach, H.J., et al., *Evaluation of a community-based exercise program for breast cancer patients undergoing treatment.* Cancer nursing, 2015. **38**(6): p. 417-425.
- 114. Speck, R.M., et al., *Changes in the Body Image and Relationship Scale following a oneyear strength training trial for breast cancer survivors with or at risk for lymphedema.* Breast cancer research and treatment, 2010. **121**(2): p. 421-430.
- 115. Myers, J.S., *Chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment: neuroimaging, neuropsychological testing, and the neuropsychologist.* Clin J Oncol Nurs, 2009. **13**.
- 116. Gentry, A.L., et al., Protocol for Exercise Program in Cancer and Cognition (EPICC): A randomized controlled trial of the effects of aerobic exercise on cognitive function in postmenopausal women with breast cancer receiving aromatase inhibitor therapy. Contemporary clinical trials, 2018. **67**: p. 109-115.
- 117. Campbell, K., et al., *Effect of aerobic exercise on cancer-associated cognitive impairment: A proof-of-concept RCT.* Psycho-oncology, 2018. **27**(1): p. 53-60.
- 118. Northey, J.M., et al., *Cognition in breast cancer survivors: A pilot study of interval and continuous exercise.* Journal of science and medicine in sport, 2018.
- 119. Hartman, S.J., et al., Randomized controlled trial of increasing physical activity on objectively measured and self-reported cognitive functioning among breast cancer survivors: The memory & motion study. Cancer, 2018. **124**(1): p. 192-202.
- 120. Von Ah, D., C.E. Jansen, and D.H. Allen, *Evidence-based interventions for cancer and treatment-related cognitive impairment*. J Clin Nurs Oncol, 2014. **18**.
- 121. Reid-Arndt, S.A., et al., *Cognitive and psychological factors associated with early posttreatment functional outcomes in breast cancer survivors.* Journal of psychosocial oncology, 2009. **27**(4): p. 415-434.
- 122. Oh, B., et al., *Effect of medical qigong on cognitive function, quality of life, and a biomarker of inflammation in cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial.* Supp Care Cancer, 2012. **20**.
- 123. Gillespie, L.D., et al., *Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community*. Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2012(9).

- 124. Zeng, Y., X. Xie, and A.S. Cheng, *Qigong or Tai Chi in Cancer Care: an Updated Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.* Current oncology reports, 2019. **21**(6): p. 48.
- 125. Derry, H.M., et al., Yoga and self-reported cognitive problems in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. Psycho-Oncology, 2015. **24**(8): p. 958-966.
- 126. Janelsins, M.C., et al., YOCAS©[®] yoga reduces self-reported memory difficulty in cancer survivors in a nationwide randomized clinical trial: investigating relationships between memory and sleep. Integrative cancer therapies, 2016. **15**(3): p. 263-271.
- 127. Latte-Naor, S. and J.J. Mao, *Putting integrative oncology into practice: Concepts and approaches.* Journal of oncology practice, 2019. **15**(1): p. 7-14.
- 128. Chiesa, A., R. Calati, and A. Serretti, *Does mindfulness training improve cognitive abilities? A systematic review of neuropsychological findings.* Clinical psychology review, 2011. **31**(3): p. 449-464.
- 129. Lengacher, C.A., et al., *Examination of Broad Symptom Improvement Resulting From Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction in Breast Cancer Survivors: A Randomized Controlled Trial.* Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2016. **34**(24): p. 2827-2834.
- 130. Johns, S.A., et al., *Randomized controlled pilot trial of mindfulness-based stress reduction compared to psychoeducational support for persistently fatigued breast and colorectal cancer survivors.* Supportive care in cancer, 2016. **24**(10): p. 4085-4096.
- 131. Cifu, G., et al., *Mindfulness-based interventions and cognitive function among breast cancer survivors: a systematic review.* BMC Cancer, 2018. **18**(1): p. 1163.
- 132. Boss, L., D.-H. Kang, and S. Branson, *Loneliness and cognitive function in the older adult: a systematic review*. International Psychogeriatrics, 2015. **27**(4): p. 541-553.
- 133. Adams, R.N., et al. *Cognitive and Situational Precipitants of Cancer Patients' Loneliness: A Qualitative Analysis*. in *Oncology nursing forum*. 2016. NIH Public Access.
- 134. Jaremka, L.M., et al., *Cognitive problems among breast cancer survivors: loneliness enhances risk.* Psycho-Oncology, 2014. **23**(12): p. 1356-1364.
- 135. Ferguson, R.J., et al., *Development of CBT for chemotherapy-related cognitive change: results of a waitlist control.* Psycho-Oncology, 2012. **21**.
- 136. Ferguson, R.J., et al., A randomized trial of videoconference-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy for survivors of breast cancer with self-reported cognitive dysfunction. Cancer, 2016. **122**(11): p. 1782-1791.
- 137. Ferguson, R.J., et al., *Cognitive-behavioral management of chemotherapy-related cognitive change.* Psycho-Oncology: Journal of the Psychological, Social and Behavioral Dimensions of Cancer, 2007. **16**(8): p. 772-777.
- 138. Ferguson, R.J., et al., *Development of CBT for chemotherapy-related cognitive change: results of a waitlist control trial.* Psycho-Oncology, 2012. **21**(2): p. 176-186.
- 139. Rebok, G.W., et al., *Ten-year effects of the advanced cognitive training for independent and vital elderly cognitive training trial on cognition and everyday functioning in older adults.* Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2014. **62**(1): p. 16-24.
- 140. Kesler, S., et al., *Cognitive training for improving executive function in chemotherapytreated breast cancer survivors.* Clinical breast cancer, 2013. **13**(4): p. 299-306.
- 141. Von Ah, D., et al., Advanced cognitive training for breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2012. **135**.

- 142. Poppelreuter, M., J. Weis, and H. Bartsch, *Effects of specific neuropsychological training programs for breast cancer patients after adjuvant chemotherapy.* Journal of psychosocial oncology, 2009. **27**(2): p. 274-296.
- 143. McDougall, G.J., et al., *Symptom management of affective and cognitive disturbance with a group of cancer survivors.* Archives of psychiatric nursing, 2011. **25**(1): p. 24-35.
- 144. Hurria, A., *Embracing the complexity of comorbidity*. J Clin Oncol, 2011. **29**(32): p. 4217-8.
- 145. Naik, A.D., et al., *Health Values and Treatment Goals of Older, Multimorbid Adults Facing Life-Threatening Illness.* J Am Geriatr Soc, 2016. **64**(3): p. 625-31.
- 146. Von Ah, D., et al., *Coping strategies and interventions for cognitive changes in patients with cancer.* Semin Oncol Nurs, 2013. **29**(4): p. 288-99.
- 147. Benzing, V., et al., *The Brainfit study: efficacy of cognitive training and exergaming in pediatric cancer survivors a randomized controlled trial.* BMC Cancer, 2018. 18(1): p. 18.
- 148. Alfano, C.M. and M. Pergolotti, *Next-Generation Cancer Rehabilitation: A Giant Step Forward for Patient Care.* Rehabil Nurs, 2018. **43**(4): p. 186-194.