Opinion

Trends in Biochemical Sciences



SLiM-binding pockets: an attractive target for broad-spectrum antivirals

Leandro Simonetti, ¹ Jakob Nilsson, ² Gerald McInerney, ³ Ylva Ivarsson ¹, ¹ and Norman E. Davey^{4,*}

Short linear motif (SLiM)-mediated interactions offer a unique strategy for viral intervention due to their compact interfaces, ease of convergent evolution, and key functional roles. Consequently, many viruses extensively mimic host SLiMs to hijack or deregulate cellular pathways and the same motif-binding pocket is often targeted by numerous unrelated viruses. A toolkit of therapeutics targeting commonly mimicked SLiMs could provide prophylactic and therapeutic broad-spectrum antivirals and vastly improve our ability to treat ongoing and future viral outbreaks. In this opinion article, we discuss the therapeutic relevance of SLiMs, advocating their suitability as targets for broad-spectrum antiviral inhibitors.

Exploiting viral reliance on host pathways

Viral disease causes huge personal, societal, and economic distress worldwide. HIV has resulted in almost 40 million deaths worldwide since emerging in the early 1980s, influenza A virus (IAV) causes hundreds of thousands of respiratory deaths each year, and almost 300 million people are living with hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the latest severe viral outbreak of the 21st century after SARS-CoV, H1N1 influenza virus, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), Ebola virus, and Zika virus [1]. Many endemic viruses have attracted huge investment for drug development and several of these diseases are now treatable, with HIV and HCV being particular success stories. However, sporadic outbreaks of understudied viruses have revealed a failure of a reactive drug development pipeline where drugs are developed with a significant lag. During the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, initial drug development work focused on repurposing drugs previously approved for other microbial and non-microbial diseases with limited success [2,3]. Given the development and approval time for novel drugs, it may be a decade before specific SARS-CoV-2-targeting small-molecule therapeutics are widely available [4]. Fortunately, the rapid development of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines lessened the catastrophic impact and minimised the loss of life. However, reactive development of therapies inevitably results in societal disruption during the early months of an outbreak. This advocates for a transition to a proactive development model of an extensive toolkit of antiviral molecules for pre- and post-infection drugs to allow prophylactic and therapeutic intervention [5,6].

Given the finite research capacity of academia and industry, the majority of drug development focuses on a few viruses that cause diseases of significant societal and economic impact. As a result, antiviral drugs are available for only a handful of viral pathogens and no targeted therapeutic interventions exist for the vast majority of viruses. Furthermore, antiviral drugs are generally targeted at a single virus or a limited range of closely related viruses, a strategy colloquially known as the 'one drug/one bug' approach. There have been extensive efforts to discover druggable commonalities between viruses; however, broad-spectrum viral therapeutic avenues

Highlights

Short linear motifs (SLiMs) are compact interaction interfaces indispensable for a variety of cellular processes.

Accumulated evidence points at SLiMbinding pockets as a common target for viral hijacking via viral mimicry of host motifs.

Recent studies have revealed that blocking SLiM-binding pockets can significantly disrupt the viral life cycle.

Targeting human SLiM-binding pockets hijacked by multiple viruses spanning many viral families could allow the development of broad-spectrum antiviral drugs.

¹Department of Chemistry – BMC, Husargatan 3, 751 23 Uppsala, Sweden ²Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Blegdamsvej 3B, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark ³Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden ⁴Division of Cancer Biology, The Institute of Cancer Research, 237 Fulham Road, London SW3 6JB, UK

*Correspondence: norman.davey@icr.ac.uk (N.E. Davey).





analogous to commonly used antibiotics remain elusive [7–9]. The major problem for broadly acting viral drug development is viral diversity; there are uncountable numbers of distinct existing and potential human viral pathogens, especially when their rapid evolution is considered.

One fundamental requirement of virus infection is the extensive interaction of viral proteins with the host proteome to hijack and deregulate cellular processes. Key points in the human proteome will be targeted by multiple distinct viruses; consequently, viral diversity issues can be avoided by therapeutic targeting of host mechanisms shared across multiple viruses. The host-targeting strategy has the added advantage of being more robust to the generation of drug-resistant viruses, although there is a possibility of mutations optimising the affinity of the viral interface to outcompete the drug. Despite these appealing properties, successful host targets remain elusive [10], and to date the vast majority of clinical antiviral drugs are virus targeted. However, most of the potential host search space for viral therapeutics remains unexplored. As we learn more about virus biology, we reveal novel common host targets for therapeutic intervention to exploit the viruses' reliance on our own pathways and proteins. If we can overcome the barrier of negative on-target effects on host cell physiology while inhibiting viral replication, the development of an extensive toolkit of broad-spectrum antiviral molecules may be achievable.

Short linear motifs (SLiMs) are ubiquitous compact interaction interfaces that direct fundamental cellular processes by acting as enzyme docking sites, localisation signals, degradation motifs, transactivation domains, and binding sites in dynamic complexes [11]. The key attribute of SLiMs distinguishing them from other interface classes is their compact footprint, which usually contains three or four key affinity- and specificity-determining residues encoded in a protein region of fewer than ten amino acids in length [12] (Figure 1). Several additional distinctive attributes result from their compact degenerate interfaces, including the transient nature of their interactions [13], their simple *ex nihilo* evolution by random mutation [14], and their extensive regulation by post-translational modification [15]. SLiMs are ubiquitous in higher eukaryotic proteomes and hundreds of examples of viral mimicry of host SLiMs have been validated (Table 1 and Figure 1C) [13,16]. For example, it has previously been shown that approximately one-third of characterised human SLiM-binding pockets have an example of hijacking by viral mimicry [17]. The extreme case of the archetypal multifunctional viral protein E1A from adenovirus has validated motifs for 14 distinct host motif-binding pockets (Figure 2A) [18].

For many SLiM classes, there is widespread usage across diverse viral clades as the requirements of many viruses overlap and in many cases they convergently evolve the same easily evolvable solution (Figure 2B) [17]. Our current snapshot of the landscape of viral motif mimicry, collected through decades of low-throughput experiments, is expected to be only the tip of the iceberg [13]. It is likely that the majority of viral SLiMs remain to be discovered and SLiMbinding pockets with validated examples of viral mimicry will be shown to be extensively targeted once high-throughput motif discovery approaches are widely applied. For example, large-scale screening of ~140 SLiM-binding domains with a proteomic phage display (ProP-PD) library encoding tiled overlapping coronavirus peptides discovered several novel examples of SLiM mimicry by SARS-CoV-2 [19]. As such data accumulates over the coming years, the true scale of viral mimicry of host SLiMs will be revealed. In this perspective, we advocate exploiting our growing understanding of viral SLiM mimicry to develop broad-spectrum antiviral drugs effective against multiple viruses across many viral families.

Why are SLiMs extensively mimicked by viruses?

Decades of basic biology has revealed extensive detail on the life cycle of multiple viruses. Of the major groups of infectious microbes, viruses rely most heavily on the host's cellular machinery to





Trends in Biochemical Sciences

Figure 1. Widespread viral hijacking of cellular pathways by minicry of host SLiMs. (A) Structures of the PTAP motifs of the Ebola virus matrix protein VP40 (PDB ID: 4EJE; orange) and human hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate (HGS) (PDB ID: 3OBQ; blue) bound to the PTAP motif-binding pocket of the human tumour susceptibility gene 101 protein (TSG101) (grey) UEV domain showing minicry at the structural level. (B) Examples of validated PTAP motifs in viral and human proteins demonstrating minicry of the SLiM at the sequence level. The panel includes a logo of the major specificity determinants derived from all validated instances of the motif. (C) Representative examples showing the diversity of pathways and SLiM-binding pockets targeted by motif minicry derived from curation and the Eukaryotic Linear Motif (ELM) resource [13].

complete their life cycle. Host pathways required for successful viral replication will be extensively targeted by viruses (Figure 1C). Functional modules allowing a virus to hijack these pathways represent attractive targets for viral acquisition either by mimicry or horizontal transfer of an existing host interface or through the evolution of novel binding interfaces. SLiMs have high evolvability as a result of the limited number of residues in direct contact in the interface of SLiM-mediated interactions and most SLiMs are predicted to have evolved *ex nihilo* solely by the accumulation of random mutations [14]. The relative ease of *de novo* SLiM evolution by viral proteins can simplify the formation of novel interactions with important host proteins. This simple mechanism of motif acquisition coupled to the key role of SLiMs in the cell makes them ideal interfaces for viral subversion of cellular processes. Furthermore, the spatial efficiency of SLiMs permits increased functional density in spatially restricted viral proteomes.

Are viral SLiM-mediated interactions therapeutically relevant?

There are three major mechanisms by which viruses utilise host SLiMs: (i) to use endogenous motif-recruited functionality; (ii) to redirect the motif-encoded functionalities to novel host proteins; and (iii) to deregulate the host function of a SLiM by acting as motif-binding pocket



Host protein Host domain Motif class Peptide Virus protein Virus Refs Viral entry FG-GAP RGD motif 764RGD766 Genome polyprotein Human [30] Integrins (VP1) parechovirus 1 Neuropilin-1 (NRP1) F5/8 type C 1 CendR motif 683RAR685 Spike glycoprotein (S) SARS-CoV-2 [31] Trafficking AP-2 complex subunit mu Adaptor complex Endocytic 136YIPL139 Core protein HCV genotype 1a [32] (AP2M1) medium subunit signal Importins Arm Nuclear 121PRPKRARV128 Transcriptional Human [33] localisation regulator ICP22 herpesvirus 1 (ICP22) signal Protein Rex Human T cell Exportins Exportin-1-like Nuclear 82LSAQLYSSLSLD93 [34] leukemia virus 1 export signal Viral egress Programmed cell death Zaire ebolavirus ALIX V-shaped YPxL motif 16AIYPVRSN23 Matrix protein VP40 [35] 6-interacting protein (PDCD6IP) domain (VP40) Tumour susceptibility gene 101 UEV PTAP motif 454EPTAPP459 Gag polyprotein (gag) HIV type 1 [36] protein (TSG101) E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase NEDD4 WW PPxY motif 94PPPY97 RING finger protein Z (Z) Lassa virus [37] (TSG101) Transcription Retinoblastoma-associated protein RB A/B E2F-like motif 41PTLHELYDL49 Early E1A protein Human [38] adenovirus C (RB1) serotype 5 Zinc finger MYND PxLxP motif zf-MYND 113PNLVP117 Early E1A protein Human [39] domain-containing protein 11 adenovirus C (ZMYND11) serotype 5 C-terminal-binding protein 1 2-Hacid dh PxDLS motif 856 EALDLSI 862 Epstein-Barr nuclear Epstein-Barr virus [40] (CTBP1) antigen 3 (EBNA3) Translation THO complex subunit 4 (ALYREF) RRM ALYREF motif Human 105WSRLGARR112 ICP27 (UL54) [41] herpesvirus 1 Splicing factor U2AF 65 kDa RRM UHM ligand [42] 41RRRRWR46 Protein Rev (rev) HIV type 1 subunit (U2AF2) motif (ULM) Degradation Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal MATH MATH 39PSRSE43 VIRF-1 Human [43] hydrolase 7 (USP7) herpesvirus 8 type P E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase SIAH1 Sina PxAxVxP 312PAVAAVVP319 E3 ubiquitin-protein Human [44] ligase ICP0 (RL2) (SIAH1) degron herpesvirus 2 F-box/WD repeat-containing WD40 DSGxxS 479DSGISDVE486 Non-structural protein 1 Rotavirus A [45] protein 1A (BTRC) degron Processing and modification 562LTPINE567 Phosphatase 2A 56 kDa B56 LxxIxE motif Nucleoprotein (NP) Zaire ebolavirus [21] (PPP2R5C) Poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase Ank RxxPDG 75KRPSCIGC82 Epstein-Barr nuclear Epstein-Barr virus [46] tankyrase-1 (TNKS) antigen 1 (EBNA1) motif Cyclin A2 (CCNA2) **RxL** motif 34GVKRKLFAE42 Probable ganciclovir Human [47] Cyclin kinase (U69) herpesvirus 6A

Table 1. Representative examples of viral mimicry of host motifs



Table 1. (continued)

Host protein	Host domain	Motif class	Peptide	Virus protein	Virus	Refs			
Other									
Small ubiquitin-related modifier 1 (SUMO1)	Ubiquitin-like	SIM motif	195GCIVISDSE206	Viral transcription factor IE2 (UL122)	Human cytomegalovirus	[48]			
Ras GTPase-activating protein-binding protein 1 (G3BP1)	NTF	FG-based motif	1828LTFGDF1833	Polyprotein P1234	Chikungunya virus	[49]			
Dynein light chain 1 (DLC1)		TQT motif	142EDKSTQT148	Phosphoprotein (P)	Rabies virus	[50]			

inhibitors. The first two hijacking approaches are clearly vulnerable to therapeutic intervention and the third approach, although more nuanced, will be targetable in some cases. Numerous studies have applied mutational or deletional analyses to show the importance of motifs to the life cycle of viruses, and in many of these cases disabling the motif was shown to have a strong effect on the ability of a virus to control host cell physiology resulting in a large decrease in virulence (Table 1) [13,17]. More recently, several authors have gone a step further by using SLiM-containing peptides to mimic the inhibitory effect of small molecules targeting motif-binding pockets in the context of a viral infection [19,20]. These studies demonstrated that blocking a motif-binding pocket can inhibit viral recruitment of host SLiM-binding proteins and have a deleterious effect on the virulence. For example, studies using genetically encoded peptide inhibitors have shown that

(A)

Human adenovirus C E1A			Zino Einger	-280		
1-	KAT2B LLDQLIEEV19-27 CBP/P300 Region 1-36	CBP/P300 Region 39-91 RB1 41PTLHELYDL49	ZMYND11 113PNLVP117 SUMO 117PEVIDLTC124	ZINC FINGER 150-180	FOXK1 216RPTSPVS222	NLS 284CKRPRP289 DCAF7 255VAVRVGGRRQAVECIE270
	PKA 19LLDQLIEE	127 NES 69SVMLAV	QEGIDLLTF83 STING 122LTCHE126			CTBP1 279PLDLS283
	TR 20LDQLIEEV_	28	RB1 122LTCHE126			
				1	J	



Trends in Biochemical Sciences

Figure 2. Extensive mimicry of host SLiMs by viral proteins and proteomes. (A) Modular architecture of adenovirus E1A protein showing the extensive mimicry of host motifs [13,17,18]. (B) Representative examples of the commonalities in motif mimicry across several commonly studied viral proteomes derived from manual curation and the Eukaryotic Linear Motif (ELM) resource [13].



inhibition of the LxxIxE motif-binding pocket of PP2A^{B56} suppresses Ebola virus transcription [20,21] and inhibition of the FG-based motif pocket of G3BP1 dampens SARS-CoV-2 infection [19]. Recently, a molecule based on a cyclic motif, cilengitide, which targets the α_v integrin RGD motif-binding pocket, was shown to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binding to human endothelial cells and has been suggested as a potential pre-exposure prophylactic for SARS-CoV-2 [22].

Are viral SLiM-mediated interactions druggable?

A key argument against targeting host interactions is the potential for on-target effects resulting in drug-related adverse events and toxicity. Chemical toxicity is not specific to host-targeted drugs, but unexpected or undesirable effects caused by antivirals could be increased by the usage of host-targeted therapeutics. Given that SLiMs mimicked by viral proteins are regulating key cellular pathways, therapeutic targeting of these interactions is likely to lead to some degree of on-target deleterious effects. This possibility has been emphasised by recent work showing that many viral SLiM targets are highly expressed, highly connected, and essential motif-binding proteins in the human proteome [23]. However, the level of toxicity of SLiM-pocket inhibition can be characterised prior to target development and such analyses can be included in the target validation pipeline. It is important to note that safe and effective SLiM-binding pocket drugs are achievable. Small-molecule inhibitors have been produced for many motif-binding pockets, proving undeniably that SLiM-mediated interactions are 'druggable' [24]. MDM2 degron and RGD motif pocket inhibitors have previously reached late-stage clinical trials after showing tolerable safety profiles [22,25] and SLiM-pocket inhibitors such as the apoptosis regulator Bcl-2 (BCL2) inhibitor venetoclax are in clinical use [26]. To date, most issues for SLiM-binding pocket inhibitors in latestage clinical trials are related to efficacy rather than toxicity or potency; for example, the failure of MDM2 inhibitors to pass Phase 3 clinical trials [25]. If the deleterious effect of inhibition of host interactions mediated through a given pocket are not tolerated, combination therapy with lower doses targeting multiple SLiMs in the virus, or in combination with other antivirals, could be applied [27]. Furthermore, given the limited timeframe for the usage of these drugs, long-term toxicity may not be an issue.

Many SLiM-binding pockets or specific motif-mediated viral-host interactions may not be targetable by antiviral inhibitors. First, it may not be possible to develop a specific and highly potent inhibitor for a proportion of motif-binding pockets. Second, the binding strength of a given viral-host motif interaction is likely to be case specific and dependent on many factors including the local abundance and binding strength of host motif-containing proteins, the expression levels of the viral and host motif-binding proteins, the oligomeric state of the viral protein, the functional role of the motif, and the reason for motif mimicry (i.e., motif-binding protein deregulation versus use of the endogenous functionality encoded by the motif). Consequently, although the lowmicromolar affinity ranges of most SLiM-mediated interactions make these interactions amenable to inhibition, a subset of motif-mediated viral-host interactions will be relatively strong compared with other host and viral instances and therefore represent difficult targets requiring higher inhibitor concentrations or alternative approaches. In cases where inhibition of a SLiM-binding pocket or specific motif-mediated interaction is not achievable, an interesting strategy is the development of antiviral proteolysis targeting chimeras (PROTACs) to degrade the host SLiMbinding pocket containing protein [28].

Concluding remarks

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has reemphasised the personal, societal, and economic impact of viral disease. Furthermore, it highlighted that the global public health system is underequipped to control viral infection using pharmacotherapeutic intervention. A key missing weapon is antivirals capable of targeting multiple viruses across many viral families equivalent to broad-spectrum

Outstanding questions

Understanding the potential of therapeutic targeting of SLiMbinding pockets

- How many virus-targeted SLiMbinding pockets have tolerable ontarget toxicity and are druggable by antiviral inhibitors or PROTACs?
- How many druggable SLiM-binding pockets are therapeutically relevant for multiple viruses?
- For emerging viruses, can we predict the correct set of drugs based on the SLiM content of the viral proteome or the virally targeted pathways?
- Can combination therapies targeting multiple SLiM-binding pockets act synergistically?
- Can SLiM-targeting PROTACs be leveraged to target host pockets inaccessible to high-affinity smallmolecule inhibitors?
- What is the polypharmacological potential of virus-targeting SLiMbinding pocket inhibitors for use as antibacterial, anticancer, and antineurodegenerative drugs?
- How many SLiM-pocket-targeting drugs do we need in our antiviral armoury for panviral protection and to be prepared for future pandemics?

Understanding the challenges of therapeutic targeting of SLiMbinding pockets

- What are the properties of SLiMbinding pockets druggable by small molecule inhibitors or PROTACs?
- What are the on- and off-target negative effects of inhibiting host SLiMbinding pockets?
- How likely are viral mutations that result in resistance to SLiM-binding pocket inhibitors, most notably mutations increasing the affinity of the viral SLiM, to allow the virus to outcompete the drug?
- Are there approaches that can minimise the prohibitive time and costs of the target validation and drug development pipeline?
- What is the most efficient path to characterise the therapeutic relevance of the full SLIM pocket search space?
- How can we convince funding sources to support the farsighted



antibiotics [5,6]. However, to date shared viral vulnerabilities have been elusive and most antiviral therapies still target specific viral proteins. Host SLiMs are commonly mimicked by viral proteins due to their compact interfaces, ease of convergent evolution, and key functional roles. Over one-third of known human SLiM-binding pockets have evidence for deregulation by viral SLiMs and many SLiM classes are mimicked across numerous viral clades [17]. Consequently, a toolkit of therapeutics targeting commonly mimicked SLiMs could provide broad-spectrum antivirals.

Recent studies have shown that SLiM-based peptide inhibitors can severely disrupt viral life cycles and drugs such as venetoclax, nutlin, and cilengitide have provided proof of principle that SLiM-mediated interaction interfaces are targetable by small molecules, suggesting that the development of such a therapeutic toolkit is achievable. Recent developments in the field of PROTACs has further expanded the range of therapeutically targetable SLiM-binding pockets [29]. Given that the SLiM-recruited pathways and their associated functionality are often the focus of viral hijacking, and multiple avenues for hijacking are often available, SLiM-based drugs inhibiting the function of these key virally targeted pathways may affect virulence even in the absence of inhibition of a direct viral-host interaction.

SLiM-targeting strategies could result in therapeutics for both well-studied and neglected viruses, improve our preparedness for future pandemics, and potentially be applied to other human diseases including bacterial infection, cancer, and neurodegeneration. Effective cooperation between funding agencies, academia, and industry will be required to successfully explore the potential of SLiM inhibitors as broad-spectrum antiviral therapeutics. However, the implications for untreatable viruses currently in circulation, and the world's preparedness for future pandemics, are worth the considerable cost and effort. There are numerous considerations to be weighed given the advantages and challenges of SLiM pocket inhibition as broad-spectrum viral therapeutics (see Outstanding questions). The key initial question is how many SLiMbinding pockets can be targeted with tolerable drug-related adverse events and high antiviral activity. Once this question has been answered, the next step is likely to come down to economics: how many SLiM-pocket-targeting drugs do we need in our antiviral armoury to be prepared for future pandemics and does the benefit of developing such a resource provide recompense for the significant investment? In our opinion, SLiM-binding pockets are an important potential target for the discovery of broad-spectrum antiviral therapeutics and this drug development opportunity should be explored extensively.

Acknowledgments

We thank the SLiM biology community for their valuable discussions on this topic over the past years and Camilla Rega for critically reading the final manuscript.

Declaration of interests

None are declared.

References

- Reperant, L.A. and Osterhaus, A.D.M.E. (2017) AIDS, Avian flu, SARS, MERS, Ebola, Zika... what next? *Vaccine* 35, 4470–4474
 Ng, Y.L. et al. (2021) Drug repurposing for COVID-19:
- Approaches, challenges and promising candidates. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 228, 107930
- 3. El Bairi, K. *et al.* (2020) Repurposing anticancer drugs for the management of COVID-19. *Eur. J. Cancer* 141, 40–61
- 4. DiMasi, J.A. *et al.* (2016) Innovation in the pharmaceutical industry: new estimates of R&D costs. *J. Health Econ.* 47, 20–33
- Kleandrova, V. and Speck-Planche, A. (2021) The urgent need for pan-antiviral agents: from multitarget discovery to multiscale design. *Future Med. Chem.* 13, 5–8
- Chitalia, V.C. and Munawar, A.H. (2020) A painful lesson from the COVID-19 pandemic: the need for broad-spectrum, hostdirected antivirals. *J. Transl. Med.* 18, 390
- Bekerman, E. and Einav, S. (2015) Combating emerging viral threats. Science 348, 282–283
- 8. García-Serradilla, M. et al. (2019) Drug repurposing for new, efficient, broad spectrum antivirals. Virus Res. 264, 22–31
- Chaudhuri, S. et al. (2018) Innovation and trends in the development and approval of antiviral medicines: 1987–2017 and beyond. *Antivir. Res.* 155, 76–88
- Kumar, N. et al. (2020) Host-directed antiviral therapy. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 33, e00168-19

and significant investment required for the development of drugs for viruses that have not yet, or may not ever, become a problem?

CellPress

Trends in Biochemical Sciences

- Van Roey, K. *et al.* (2014) Short linear motifs: ubiquitous and functionally diverse protein interaction modules directing cell regulation. *Chem. Rev.* 114, 6733–6778
- Davey, N.E. et al. (2012) Attributes of short linear motifs. Mol. BioSyst. 8, 268–281
- Kumar, M. et al. (2022) The Eukaryotic Linear Motif resource: 2022 release. Nucleic Acids Res. 50, D497–D508
- Davey, N.E. et al. (2015) Short linear motifs ex nihilo evolution of protein regulation. Cell Commun. Signal. 13, 43
- Van Roey, K. et al. (2012) Motif switches: decision-making in cell regulation. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 22, 378–385
- Hagai, T. et al. (2014) Use of host-like peptide motifs in viral proteins is a prevalent strategy in host-virus interactions. *Cell Rep.* 7, 1729–1739
- Davey, N.E. et al. (2011) How viruses hijack cell regulation. Trends Biochem. Sci. 36, 159–169
- King, C.R. et al. (2018) Hacking the cell: network intrusion and exploitation by adenovirus E1A. mBio 9, e00390-18
- Kruse, T. *et al.* (2021) Large scale discovery of coronavirus-host factor protein interaction motifs reveals SARS-CoV-2 specific mechanisms and vulnerabilities. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 6761
- Kruse, T. *et al.* (2018) The Ebola virus nucleoprotein recruits the host PP2A-B56 phosphatase to activate transcriptional support activity of VP30. *Mol. Cell* 69, 136–145.e6
- Hertz, E.P.T. *et al.* (2016) A conserved motif provides binding specificity to the PP2A-B56 phosphatase. *Mol. Cell* 63, 686–695
 Gressett, T.E. *et al.* (2022) Integrins as therapeutic targets for
- SARS-CoV-2. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 12, 892323 23. Shuler, G. and Hagai, T. (2022) Rapidly evolving viral motifs
- Shaler, G. and Hagar, T. (2022) Habitary evolving vital motils mostly target biophysically constrained binding pockets of host proteins. *Cell Rep.* 40, 11212
- Corbi-Verge, C. and Kim, P.M. (2016) Motif mediated proteinprotein interactions as drug targets. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 14, 8
 Konopleva, M. *et al.* (2020) MDM2 inhibition: an important step
- forward in cancer therapy. Leukemia 34, 2858–2874
- Roberts, A.W. and Huang, D. (2017) Targeting BCL2 with BH3 mimetics: basic science and clinical application of venetoclax in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and related B cell malignancies. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 101, 89–98
- White, J.M. *et al.* (2021) Drug combinations as a first line of defense against coronaviruses and other emerging viruses. *mBio* 12, e0334721
- Verma, R. (2021) Exploiting ubiquitin ligases for induced target degradation as an antiviral strategy. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1322, 339–357
- Békés, M. et al. (2022) PROTAC targeted protein degraders: the past is prologue. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 21, 181–200
- 30. Seitsonen, J. *et al.* (2010) Interaction of $\alpha_V \beta_3$ and $\alpha_V \beta_6$ integrins with human parechovirus 1. *J. Virol.* 84, 8509–8519
- Hoffmann, M. et al. (2020) A multibasic cleavage site in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 is essential for infection of human lung cells. Mol. Cell 78, 779–784.e5
- Neveu, G. et al. (2012) Identification and targeting of an interaction between a tyrosine motif within hepatitis C virus core protein and AP2M1 essential for viral assembly. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002845

- Stelz, G. et al. (2002) Identification of two nuclear import signals in the alpha-gene product ICP22 of herpes simplex virus 1. Virology 295, 360–370
- Palmeri, D. and Malim, M.H. (1996) The human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 posttranscriptional trans-activator Rex contains a nuclear export signal. J. Virol. 70, 6442–6445
- 35. Han, Z. et al. (2015) ALIX rescues budding of a double PTAP/ PPEY L-domain deletion mutant of Ebola VP40: a role for ALIX in Ebola virus egress. J. Infect. Dis. 212, S138–S145
- Göttlinger, H.G. et al. (1991) Effect of mutations affecting the p6 gag protein on human immunodeficiency virus particle release. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 88, 3195–3199
- Strecker, T. *et al.* (2003) Lassa virus Z protein is a matrix protein and sufficient for the release of virus-like particles [corrected]. *J. Virol.* 77, 10700–10705
- Dyson, N. et al. (1992) Adenovirus E1A makes two distinct contacts with the retinoblastoma protein. J. Virol. 66, 4606–4611
- Ansieau, S. and Leutz, A. (2002) The conserved Mynd domain of BS69 binds cellular and oncoviral proteins through a common
- PXLXP motif. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 4906–4910
 Hickabottom, M. et al. (2002) Two nonconsensus sites in the Epstein–Barr virus oncoprotein EBNA3A cooperate to bind the co-repressor carboxyl-terminal-binding protein (CtBP). J. Biol. Chem. 277, 47197–47204
- Tunnicliffe, R.B. et al. (2011) Structural basis for the recognition of cellular mRNA export factor REF by herpes viral proteins HSV-1 ICP27 and HVS ORF57. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1001244
- Pabis, M. et al. (2019) Modulation of HIV-1 gene expression by binding of a ULM motif in the Rev protein to UHM-containing splicing factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, 4859–4871
- Chavoshi, S. et al. (2016) Identification of Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus (KSHV) vIRF1 protein as a novel interaction partner of human deubiquitinase USP7. J. Biol. Chem. 291, 6281–6291
- Czechowicz, J.S. et al. (2018) Interaction between the cellular E3 ubiquitin ligase SIAH-1 and the viral immediate-early protein ICP0 enables efficient replication of herpes simplex virus type 2 in vivo. PLoS One 13, e0201880
- Morelli, M. et al. (2015) Putative E3 ubiquitin ligase of human rotavirus inhibits NF-κB activation by using molecular mimicry to target β-TrCP. mBio 6, e02490-14
- Deng, Z. et al. (2005) Inhibition of Epstein–Barr virus OriP function by tankyrase, a telomere-associated poly-ADP ribose polymerase that binds and modifies EBNA1. J. Virol. 79, 4640–4650
- Bogdanow, B. et al. (2020) Cross-regulation of viral kinases with cyclin A secures shutoff of host DNA synthesis. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4845
- Tripathi, V. et al. (2019) Casein kinase-2-mediated phosphorylation increases the SUMO-dependent activity of the cytomegalovirus transactivator IE2. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 14546–14561
- Panas, M.D. *et al.* (2014) The C-terminal repeat domains of nsP3 from the Old World alphaviruses bind directly to G3BP. *J. Virol.* 88, 5888–5893
- Tan, G.S. et al. (2007) The dynein light chain 8 binding motif of rabies virus phosphoprotein promotes efficient viral transcription. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 7229–7234