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#### Abstract

CCT251236 1, a potent chemical probe, was previously developed from a cell-based phenotypic high-throughput screen (HTS) to discover inhibitors of transcription mediated by HSF1, a transcription factor that supports malignancy. Owing to its activity against models of refractory human ovarian cancer, $\mathbf{1}$ was progressed into lead optimization. The reduction of P-glycoprotein efflux became a focus of early compound optimization; central ring halogen substitution was demonstrated by matched molecular pair analysis to be an effective strategy to mitigate this liability. Further multiparameter optimization led to the design of the clinical candidate, CCT361814/NXP800 22, a potent and orally bioavailable fluorobisamide, which caused tumor regression in a human ovarian adenocarcinoma xenograft model with on-pathway biomarker modulation and a clean in vitro safety profile. Following its favorable dose prediction to human, 22 has now progressed to phase 1 clinical trial as a potential future treatment for refractory ovarian cancer and other malignancies.


## - INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal and the second most common gynecological malignancy in the developed world, and only a modest decrease in mortality has been achieved over the past three decades. ${ }^{1}$ Approximately $80 \%$ of patients are diagnosed at an advanced stage, leading to poor prognosis with little prospect of cure. ${ }^{1}$ The combination of surgical cytoreduction and the administration of platinum complexes and taxanes remains the standard of care for advanced ovarian cancer. ${ }^{2}$ Although initial treatment is effective in $\sim 70 \%$ of patients, and the introduction of the anti-VEGF receptor monoclonal antibody bevacizumab and PARP inhibitors provides a welcome addition to initial therapy, the majority develop drug resistance and relapse, resulting in a 5 year survival rate of only $\sim 30 \% .{ }^{3}$ Clearly, there is a high unmet medical need in the treatment of ovarian cancer.

Multidrug resistance (MDR) in relapsed ovarian cancer is observed in $50-75 \%$ of patients following first-line chemotherapy. ${ }^{4}$ MDR is often the result of the overexpression of ABC-transporter proteins at the cancer cell surface, which efflux compounds and reduce their intracellular free concentrations. ${ }^{5}$ Various ABC-transporter proteins have been linked to MDR with oncology drugs, ${ }^{6}$ but the most commonly encountered is the overexpression of multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1), also known as the P-glycoprotein (P-gp)

[^0]
efflux pump. ${ }^{7}$ Consequently, the reduction of P-gp-mediated efflux in lead optimization is important for the successful development of novel and effective ovarian anticancer therapies. ${ }^{7}$

Heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) is the master regulator of the canonical heat shock stress response. ${ }^{8}$ In cancer, HSF1 is important for tumorigenesis and progression and is activated by various elements of the cancer state. ${ }^{9}$ HSF1 reprograms the transcriptome in a manner overlapping with, but distinct from, the classical heat shock response. ${ }^{10}$ Also, HSF1 is amplified, overexpressed, or activated in multiple human cancers; these features, combined with the oncogenic HSF1 gene signature, predict adverse clinical outcomes, including in ovarian cancer. ${ }^{11,12}$ Moreover, in ovarian cancer cells, the shRNA knockdown of HSF1 leads to decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis. ${ }^{12}$ In contrast, the knockout of HSF1 is tolerated in flies and mice. ${ }^{12}$ Together with a range of other data, these results support the inhibition of HSF1 as a "nononcogene addiction" approach to exploit tumor stress with the potential to antagonize multiple hallmark cancer traits. ${ }^{12}$ Unfortunately, HSF1 is a ligandless transcription factor and is predicted to be very difficult-to-drug directly. Therefore, we sought HSF1 pathway inhibitors that could indirectly inhibit HSF1-mediated transcription.

We previously reported the discovery of a new chemical probe, CCT251236 1 (Figure 1), which was developed from a


Figure 1. Complex cellular structure-activity relationships (SARs) of the bisamide chemotype and poor rat-free exposure from an oral dose of the lead compound $\mathbf{1}$.
low solubility hit identified using a proximal but mechanismagnostic phenotypic screen to detect inhibitors of the HSF1 stress pathway. ${ }^{13}$ Bisamide $\mathbf{1}$ displayed potent cellular activity in the human ovarian cancer cell line SK-OV-3, blocking HSP72 induction by an HSP90 inhibitor, which was used as a surrogate biomarker of HSF1 pathway inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=19$ nM). Also, consistent with the sensitivity to HSF1 RNAi knockdown both in vitro and in vivo, ${ }^{12} \mathbf{1}$ displayed excellent antiproliferative activity against cancer cells $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=2.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ as a single agent. Furthermore, we demonstrated that bisamide 1 is a potent ligand for the putative transcription factor regulator pirin $\left(K_{\mathrm{D}}=44 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, with clear in vitro antimigratory activity, the phenotype previously associated with pirin binding, in the melanoma cell line WM266.4, at low free concentrations $(<100 \mathrm{nM}) .{ }^{13}$ Subsequently, intracellular target engagement with pirin by $\mathbf{1}$ in intact cancer cells was confirmed via a CRBN-mediated PROTAC probe. ${ }^{14}$ However, the molecular mechanism of action for the wide-ranging antiproliferative activity of this chemotype still remains to be confirmed. Finally, in the in vivo SK-OV-3 human ovarian cancer solid tumor xenograft model in nude mice, bisamide 1 was shown to be orally bioavailable and displayed clear efficacy (tumor growth inhibition (TGI) $=70 \%$ ), driven by a low free drug
exposure (free $\left.C_{a v}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}=1.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)^{15}$ achieved using a welltolerated intermittent $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po dosing regimen. ${ }^{13}$

We now report the development of the probe HSF1 pathway inhibitor 1 into a clinical candidate, which shows future potential for the treatment of relapsed ovarian cancer and other malignancies. We developed the compound using only cell-based structure-activity relationships (SARs) and focused on improving the oral absorption while reducing in vivo unbound clearance and the P-gp-efflux-mediated multidrug resistance risk.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Targeting Ovarian Cancer. It has been proposed that HSF1 pathway inhibition could be an effective treatment in relapsed ovarian cancer, ${ }^{12}$ as well as other malignancies; ${ }^{16}$ therefore, to assess the development potential of probe bisamide 1, the compound was screened against a panel of genetically diverse human ovarian cancer cell lines (Table S1). Compared to the standard-of-care drug carboplatin ( $\mathrm{pGI}_{50}<6$, $N=4$ cell lines), bisamide 1 displayed significantly more potent antiproliferative activity against this panel $\left(8.7>\mathrm{pGI}_{50}\right.$ $>7.3, N=9$ cell lines). Given the challenges in treating relapsed ovarian cancer and the clear treatment potential of this chemotype, bisamide 1 was progressed into lead optimization.

Rodent Pharmacokinetic (PK) Optimization. A pharmacokinetic (PK) study in Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (Table S13) revealed that bisamide 1 possessed poor oral bioavailability $(F=11 \%)$ from moderate total blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=\right.$ $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$, extraction ratio $=29 \%, F_{\max }=71 \%$ ) with moderate in vivo unbound clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}=1100 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, $f_{\mathrm{ub}}=0.019$ ). Unbound clearance, when acting as a suitable estimate for unbound intrinsic clearance, is an important target parameter owing to its relationship with free drug exposure and unbound average concentration. ${ }^{17,18}$ In vitro, low-to-moderate passive permeability was observed in the Caco-2 assay, which is commonly used to predict absorption ${ }^{19}\left(A-B=2.4 \times 10^{-6}\right.$ $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$, efflux ratio $=16$; Table S8). The high efflux ratio indicated that $\mathbf{1}$ is likely to suffer from P-gp-mediated efflux, which can present a challenge for clinical development. Therefore, we began a medicinal chemistry campaign to improve the preclinical PK profile of this highly potent and effective chemotype to increase oral absorption, reduce unbound clearance, and mitigate the risk of P-gp-mediated efflux.

Bisamide 1 represents a challenging start-point for lead optimization, as the cellular SAR of this chemotype is complex, with steep activity cliffs from small structural changes and few clear patterns to drive compound development. ${ }^{13}$ The incorporation of a solubilizing group had been crucial for the favorable mouse PK profile of bisamide 1, so to carry out multiparameter optimization on the chemotype, we focused on structural changes to this region. The solubilizing group of each analogue was inferred to be solvent-exposed due to its tolerance to a broad range of structural changes in the cellbased assays. ${ }^{13}$ To expedite analogue evaluation, a new synthetic route was developed incorporating a late-stage selenium dioxide-mediated benzylic oxidation (Scheme 1). ${ }^{14,20}$

2-Methylquinoline-6-carboxylic acid 2 was converted to the acid chloride using oxalyl chloride and catalytic $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide (DMF), before reacting with 1,3-nitroaniline 3 to give nitroamide 4. Iron(0)-mediated reduction of the nitro group gave 5, which was then subjected to a second

Scheme 1. Generic Synthesis of Bisamide Lead Optimization Analogues

amide bond formation reaction following the in situ generation of the acid chloride of the dihydrobenzodioxine-carboxylic acid 6 to give bisamide 7. Subsequent selenium dioxide-mediated oxidation of the quinolinic methyl group of 7 gave aldehyde 8 in low to moderate yields, as both the optimal reaction temperature and ratio of 1,4-dioxane/DMF cosolvents were dependent on the benzylic substituent, X. This optimization was also critical owing to the poor solubility of bisamide 7 in 1,4-dioxane and the tendency of the aldehyde to overoxidize to the carboxylic acid under the reaction conditions. Aldehyde $\mathbf{8}$ then underwent reductive amination with various amine bases 9 under standard conditions and in moderate yields to give analogues 10. Variations on this general route were also carried out to synthesize specific analogues, and details are available in the Experimental Section and Supporting Information.

Our first target for lead optimization was to improve oral absorption in a manner that would be tolerated as part of the multiparameter optimization and would maintain the potent antiproliferative activity of this chemotype. Therefore, we replaced the linker to the solubilizing group with a shorter chain and removed the oxygen in bisamide $\mathbf{1}$, reducing both topological polar surface area (tPSA) and basicity without significantly increasing lipophilicity (Table 1).
The one-carbon linker analogue, methylene 11 (Table 1, entry 2), displayed a 2.8 -fold decrease in antiproliferative activity but only a 1.7 -fold decrease in kinetic solubility (KS, used as a crude estimate of thermodynamic solubility), despite the predicted decrease in $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ compared to 1 (8.2-8.9, respectively). Both analogues displayed similar lipophilicity $\left(\log D_{7.4}\right)$, but 11 exhibited a 3.1 -fold reduction in human liver microsome (HLM) intrinsic clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}\right)$ ( 23 vs 72 $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) while maintaining comparable microsomal stability to 1 in both rodent species (RLM $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}=20 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ $\min / \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{21}$

The reduced tPSA ( $93 \AA^{2}$ ), combined with the improved metabolic stability profile, led us to investigate this structural change further with a series of solubilizing group analogues (Table 1, entries 3-7), with compound design focusing on maintaining antiproliferative activity while improving metabolic stability. The potential for progressing compounds to in vivo mouse PK evaluation was assessed through changes in physicochemical properties and microsomal clearance data.

Comparing subsequent analogues to 11: the acyclic dimethylamino analogue 12 (Table 1 , entry 3 ) displayed a 1.3-fold increase in $\mathrm{KS}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$, but unfortunately, also a 1.6fold increase in MLM CL $_{\text {int }}$, presumably due to CYP450mediated $N$-demethylation. Therefore, all subsequent analogues were limited to cyclic structures that should display increased resistance to oxidation.

The more lipophilic analogues, methylpyrrolidine 13 and piperidine 14 (Table 1, entries 4 and 5), suffered a decrease in metabolic stability and KS $(2.0-50 \mu \mathrm{M})$, so to balance their physicochemical properties, an additional nitrogen was introduced to the six-membered ring of the solubilizing group. The $N$-methylpiperazine derivative 15 (Table 1, entry 6) displayed a favorable 2.0 -fold increase in $\mathrm{KS}(80 \mu \mathrm{M})$, combined with metabolic stability comparable to $\mathbf{1 1}$. Hypothesizing that the $N$-methyl moiety of 15 remained an oxidative metabolic liability, the N -isopropylpiperazine analogue 16 was synthesized (Table 1, entry 7) and, consistent with our design strategy, the metabolic stability was compared favorably to that of lead bisamide 1 across all species (RLM $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}=7.0 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$, $\mathrm{HLM} \mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}=43 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) while maintaining improved KS ( $80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compound 16 was therefore selected for further evaluation in mouse PK studies (Table 2, entry 1).

P-gp-Mediated Efflux. $N$-Isopropylpiperazine 16 was dosed in BALB/c mice, both as an oral solution and iv

Table 1. Solubilizing Group In Vitro Optimization
3
${ }^{a}$ All data were reprocessed using GraphPad Prism 7.01. Growth inhibition was measured after 96 h of treatment and compared to the vehicle control using the CellTiter-Blue assay. $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values were estimated by fitting a $\log$ [Inhibitor] vs response-variable slope (four-parameter) model. The number of repeats $(n)$ is given in parentheses. All results are quoted as the geometric mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean $\left(\mathrm{SEM}^{2}\right)$. $\mathrm{pGI}_{50}=$ $-\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mathrm{M}) .{ }^{b}$ Calculated using ChemDraw 16.0.1.4 and quoted to 0 dp . ${ }^{c}$ Calculated using MoKa version 2.5 .2 ; all values quoted to 2 SF. ${ }^{d}$ Measured using a previously described high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method, $n=1$; all values quoted to 2 SF. ${ }^{13}{ }^{e}$ Kinetic solubility (KS) measured via an HPLC method from phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 ; all values quoted to 1 SF ; the dynamic range of the assay is $1-100$ $\mu \mathrm{M}, n=1 .{ }^{f_{\text {Mouse }}}(\mathrm{M})$, rat (R), and human (H) liver microsome (MLM, RLM, and HLM) assays were carried out at Cyprotex, $n=1$. In vitro $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{int}}$ values are calculated from the half-lives using standard procedures. Assumes the fraction unbound in the assay is $1 .{ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}$, not determined.

Table 2. Selected In Vivo Mouse Blood PK Parameters of Lead Compounds ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

| Entry | Compd | $\underset{(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{b}}{\underset{\text { Dose }}{ }{ }^{\text {Dos }} \text {. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{\max } \\ (\mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\left(h^{*} \mathrm{nM}\right)}{\text { po } \mathrm{AUC}^{0-6 h}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{c}}{\substack{\text { it }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} t_{1 / 2} \\ (\mathrm{~h})^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ (\%)^{e} \end{gathered}$ | $f_{\text {ub }}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }_{\left(\mathrm{h}^{*} \mathrm{nM}\right)^{g}}^{\mathrm{g}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } C_{\text {av }}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{h} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}} \\ (\mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{i} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16 | 5/5 | 1.7 | 430 (890-210) | 34 (36-32) | 1.2 | 11 | 0.032 | 14 | 0.66 | 1100 |
| 2 | 17 | 5/5 | 2.3 | 830 (910-750) | 40 (48-32) | 1.7 | 24 | 0.015 | 12 | 0.83 | 2700 |
| 3 | 18 | 5/1 | 1.7 | $\begin{gathered} 2400 \\ (2800-2000) \end{gathered}$ | 33 (35-31) | 2.0 | 63 | 0.011 | 26 | 1.6 | 3000 |
| 4 | 21 | 5/5 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 3900 \\ (4900-3000) \end{gathered}$ | 2.8 (33-25) | 2.3 | 96 | 0.0053 | 20 | 1.3 | 5300 |

${ }^{a}$ All graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism 7.01. PK parameters were derived from the blood concentration/time using noncompartmental
analysis (Model 200 and 201) (Phoenix, version 6.1). All results are quoted to two significant figures as the geometric mean of $n=3$ individual
$\mathrm{BALB} / \mathrm{c}$ mice. The $90 \%$ confidence intervals are in parentheses. ${ }^{b}$ The po and iv dosing vehicles are described in the Supporting Information. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{CL}$ tb
$=$ total blood clearance. ${ }^{d}$ Terminal half-life calculated from the iv dose. ${ }^{e}$ Assumes linear PK . ${ }^{f} f_{\mathrm{ub}}=$ fraction unbound in blood, $f_{\mathrm{ub}}=f_{\mathrm{up}} / \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{P}, f_{\mathrm{up}}=$
fraction unbound in plasma using equilibrium dialysis, $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{P}=$ blood-to-plasma ratio and quoted as the geometric mean from $n=3$ statistical repeats
from pooled samples; see the Supporting Information for details. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{AUC} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ub}} .{ }^{h}$ Free $C_{\mathrm{av}}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}=\mathrm{AUC}{ }^{\text {inf }} / 24^{*} f_{\mathrm{ub}} .{ }^{i} \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}} / f_{\mathrm{ub}}$.
bolus, and blood concentrations were measured over a 24 h period. Unfortunately, the in vivo PK profile of 16 was disappointing, with low oral bioavailability (11\%) from moderate total blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=34 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, extraction ratio $\left.=38 \%, F_{\max }=62 \%\right),{ }^{22}$ corresponding to an unbound clearance of $1100 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Table S4). The predicted $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ from the MLM assay was only $39 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$, ${ }^{23}$ 28 -fold lower than the experimental result (Table 3, entry 1 and Table S5). We hypothesized that this disconnection between predicted and experimentally determined $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ was
due to P-gp-mediated efflux increasing biliary excretion in vivo, which could not be predicted by the MLM assay. ${ }^{24}$

To assess the effect of P-gp-mediated efflux on in vivo clearance, $\mathbf{1 6}$ was submitted for comparative studies in wildtype ( $\mathrm{CF} 1^{\mathrm{WT}}$ ) and P-gp-knockout ( $\mathrm{CF} 1^{\mathrm{PGP}-\mathrm{KO}}$ ) mice (Figure S8). ${ }^{25}$ The PK data revealed that the $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}$ in $\mathrm{CF} 1{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}(35 \mathrm{~mL} /$ $\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was significantly higher than in the CF1 ${ }^{\text {PGP-KO }}$ ( 24 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}, p=0.024$, Student's $t$-test), indicating that P-gpefflux did contribute to the unfavorable mouse PK profile. ${ }^{26}$ Interestingly, the volume of distribution ( $V_{\mathrm{ss}}$ ) remained unchanged. ${ }^{27}$

Table 3. Multiparameter Optimization of the Piperazine Subseries ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | Compd | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ \text { group } \end{gathered}$ | X | $\begin{gathered} \text { SK-OV-3 GI } \\ \mathrm{pGI}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SEM}(n)^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{CH1} 1^{\text {doxR }} / \mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\text {WTc }}$ | $\log D_{7.4}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{KS} \\ (\mu \mathrm{M})^{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg})^{f}}{\text { MLM }}$ | Pred. in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ from MLM $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{j}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mouse Heps } \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / 10^{6}\right)^{g} \end{gathered}$ | Pred. in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ from Heps $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16 | ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ | Me | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \mathrm{nM} \\ 8.06 \pm 0.05 \end{gathered}$ | 8.4 | 1.9 | 80 | 10 | 39 | 20 | $460^{h}$ |
| 2 | 18 | ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 15 \mathrm{nM} \\ 7.83 \pm 0.08 \text { (8) } \end{gathered}$ | 1.7 | 2.3 | 70 | 17 | 65 | 28 | $930^{i}$ |
| 3 | 19 | H | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 12 \mathrm{nM} \\ 7.94 \pm 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | 5.2 | ND | ND | 12 | ND | ND | ND |
| 4 | 20 | Me | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 19 \mathrm{nM} \\ 7.72 \pm 0.16 \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | 1.2 | 2.1 | 30 | 110 | ND | ND | ND |
| 5 | 21 | Et | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 11 \mathrm{nM} \\ 7.96 \pm 0.09(10) \end{gathered}$ | 1.4 | 2.2 | 50 | 26 | 100 | 71 | $1400^{i}$ |
| 6 | 22 | Et | F | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \mathrm{nM} \\ 8.07+0.02(45) \end{gathered}$ | 1.8 | 1.7 | 50 | 15 | 58 | 53 | $900^{h}$ |

${ }^{a}$ All data were reprocessed using GraphPad Prism Version 7.01. ND $=$ not determined. All results are quoted to two significant figures unless otherwise stated. ${ }^{b}$ The number of repeats $(n)$ are described in parentheses; growth inhibition was measured after 96 h of treatment and compared to the vehicle control; all results are quoted as the geometric mean $\pm S E M, \mathrm{pGI}_{50}=-\log \mathrm{GI}_{50}(\mathrm{M})$. ${ }^{c}$ The fold resistance is determined by the ratio of geometric mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values in $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ cells and $\mathrm{CH} 1{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ cells in the CellTiter-Blue growth inhibition assay. ${ }^{d}$ Measured via an HPLC method, $n$ $=1$. ${ }^{e}$ Measured via an HPLC method from phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 ; all values quoted to 1 SF ; the dynamic range of the assay is $1-100 \mu \mathrm{M}, n=$ 1. ${ }^{f}$ Mouse liver microsome (MLM) assay was carried out at Cyprotex, $n=1$; in vitro $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}(\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$ of protein) is calculated from the half-life using standard procedures and assumes that the fraction unbound in the assay is $1 .{ }^{g}$ Mouse hepatocyte assay was carried out at Cyprotex, $n=1$; in vitro $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}\left(\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / 10^{6}\right.$ cells $)$ is calculated from the half-life using standard procedures. ${ }^{h}$ Assumes that the fraction unbound in the assay is 0.4 . ${ }^{i}$ Assumes that the fraction unbound in the assay is $0.2 .{ }^{j} \mathrm{C}$ alculated from the in vitro $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}$ using scaling factors and applying the well-stirred model; see the Supporting Information for details.

Once efflux was highlighted as a concern for further compound optimization, both for preclinical PK optimization and for future clinical success against refractory ovarian cancer, we required a medium-throughput assay to rapidly determine efflux-mediated SAR. Cellular P-gp-mediated efflux acquired MDR to the cytotoxic anthracycline, doxorubicin is well established and can be used as both a P-gp efflux model and MDR-resistance model. ${ }^{28} \mathrm{We}$ therefore proposed that doxorubicin resistance could be exploited to establish a surrogate assay for P-gp-mediated efflux with appropriate throughput in matched pair ovarian cancer cell lines. An acquired doxorubicin-resistant human cancer cell line, $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$, was previously obtained in-house through exposure of the wild-type cell line $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\mathrm{WT}}$ to doxorubicin. ${ }^{29}$ After several passages, the $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ cell line demonstrated $>100$-fold resistance. The P-gp-dependent MDR properties of $\mathrm{CH}^{\text {doxR }}$ were confirmed by the rescue of the antiproliferative activity of doxorubicin by cotreatment with the P -gp-inhibitor verapamil, ${ }^{30}$ resulting in a shift in $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ in $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ from 310 to 1.9 nM, now within 5.0 -fold of the antiproliferative activity observed in $\mathrm{CH}^{1{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}}$ (Figure S4).
After demonstrating that the antiproliferative activity of the bisamide chemical probe 1 in $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ cells could also be increased by cotreatment with the P-gp inhibitor (Figure S4), we aimed to validate the use of $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\mathrm{WT}}$ and $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ cells as a viable approach to establish useful SAR by carrying out a screen of selected bisamide analogues ( $N=43$; Table S3). The comparative antiproliferative activity of each analogue against the $\mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ and $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ cells was measured, and the folddifferences between their respective geometric mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values were used as a surrogate for their respective $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ / $\mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ P-gp-mediated efflux ratios. ${ }^{31}$ The statistical signifi-
cance of the ratio was determined using Student's $t$-test from the respective $\mathrm{pGI}_{50}$ values, and only ratios of analogues where $p<0.05$ were considered to be P-gp-substrates. Using this approach, compound 16 gave a moderately high $\mathrm{CH1}^{\text {doxR }} /$ $\mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ ratio (8.4), consistent with its poor in vivo mouse PK profile. In contrast, the oxygen-linked piperidine analogue 17 displayed no significant difference in the comparison of their respective $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values $\left(\mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\text {doxR }} / \mathrm{CH1} 1^{\mathrm{WT}}=1.7\right)$, indicating that this compound is likely only a weak P-gp substrate. Owing to its acceptable in vitro and wild-type Balb/c mouse in vivo profile (Table 2, entry 2 ), 17 was selected for in vivo study in P-gp-knockout mice (Figure S8). The PK data revealed that there was no significant difference in the $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}$ of 17 between P -gp-knockout and wild-type mice, consistent with our in vitro prediction from the CH1 cell-based assay.

Unfortunately, the in vitro cell-based MDR assay revealed no clear SAR or patterns relating to the physicochemical properties that are typically used to remove P-gp-mediated efflux. ${ }^{32}$ Critical structural features likely to be important for passive permeability, such as the two amide moieties, could not be replaced in a manner consistent with the cellular SAR to retain activity and there was no clear correlation with compound lipophilicity. ${ }^{13}$ To carry out the necessary optimization to improve compound PK profiles across multiple species, a general method to eliminate P-gp-efflux was needed. Levatic et al. have reported the empirical observation that molecular density is an important feature in P-gp-drug recognition and compounds with high specific volumes are less likely to suffer from P-gp-mediated efflux. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{We}$ hypothesized that as halogens possess high van der Waals volumes, ${ }^{34}$ they could be used to reduce the molecular density of the bisamide chemotype and mitigate this liability (Table 3).

It was important that we introduced the halogen on the bisamide chemotype distal from the solubilizing group to allow for further orthogonal PK optimization. We hypothesized that the benzylic methyl on the central ring of the bisamide, which was critical to cellular activity of this chemotype, was suitable for substitution, as halogens have been shown to act as good bioisosteric replacements for small lipophilic groups. ${ }^{35}$ A matched molecular pair (MMP) ${ }^{36}$ of piperazine 16 was synthesized, replacing the methyl with chlorine to give 18 (Table 3, entry 2). Chlorobisamide 18 maintained excellent antiproliferative activity, and importantly, the efflux-mediated $\mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{doxR}} / \mathrm{CH} 1^{\mathrm{WT}}$ ratio was reduced from 8.4 -fold to 1.7 -fold, with respect to 16 . To assess whether this effect was general to this chemotype, we synthesized halogenated MMPs of the compounds that exhibited significant efflux in the $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ / $\mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ assay (Table S3). In all cases, halogen substitution strongly reduced efflux compared to their respective methyl MMPs (Figure 2, colored lines) and by comparing the average


Figure 2. Matched molecular pair analysis on the effect of halogen substitution on multidrug resistance. The efflux ratio is calculated from the ratio of geometric mean $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values in the $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\mathrm{WT}}$ and $\mathrm{CH1} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ cell lines; each $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ is calculated from at least $n=3$ biological repeats. Each colored line represents an MMP varying only at the central ring substituent. The gray bars represent the arithmetic mean $\pm$ SEM of the grouped central ring substituents. The gray dotted line is the efflux ratio $=1$. See Table S3 for details.
effect of each halogen (Figure 2, gray bars). In particular, the larger halogens had an apparently greater impact $(\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{F})$, consistent with their effect on molecular density or possibly
through more efficient steric shielding of the proximal amide moiety, although the exact mechanism for the change in P-gp recognition with this chemotype remains unclear (Figure 2). ${ }^{34,38}$

In Vitro/In Vivo Correlation Disconnection. Following our discovery of a general strategy to mitigate the P-gpmediated efflux liability of the bisamide chemotype, we then sought to complete the multiparameter optimization necessary to deliver a clinical candidate. Further in vitro analysis of chlorobisamide 18 revealed that the compound possessed low MLM $C L_{\text {int }}$ and good KS (Table 3, entry 2) and so was selected for an in vivo PK study in BALB/c mice (Table 2, entry 2). Chlorobisamide 18 displayed an impressive improvement in oral bioavailability $\left(F=63 \%, \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=33 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, extraction ratio $\left.=37 \%, F_{\max }=63 \%\right)$, resulting from high absorption consistent with its in vitro property profile. However, 18 still displayed disappointingly high in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ $\left(3000 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}, f_{\mathrm{ub}}=0.011\right)$, despite the predicted $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ from the MLM being 51-fold lower. No significant degradation was observed following the incubation of bisamide analogues in mouse plasma, so plasma instability was considered unlikely to be contributing to the discrepancy (Table S7). From these data, it was clear that there was still another component contributing to the in vivo clearance that needed to be addressed.

Hepatocyte Clearance. Microsomes can underpredict in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ from either the loss of metabolic enzyme integrity during tissue handling. the effect of uptake transporters on intracellular free concentrations or under-representation of cytosolic enzymes and cofactors. ${ }^{24}$ Therefore, chlorobisamide 18 was screened in vitro using mouse hepatocytes (MHeps), which revealed a predicted in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ now within 3.5 -fold of the measured value. ${ }^{21}$ The improved correlation could be due to better representation of amide hydrolysis degradation pathways in hepatocytes compared to that in microsomes. The MHeps assay, combined with our $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\mathrm{doxR}} / \mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ ratio assay, finally gave us an appropriate in vitro triage of compounds for in vivo PK assessment.

Although introducing the chlorine substituent had mitigated the P-gp-mediated efflux risk, it had also significantly increased the lipophilicity of 18 relative to its MMP, 16, potentially leading to the increased in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$. To improve the metabolic stability of 18, we aimed to decrease the lipophilicity of the chloro-series closer to the value obtained with methyl analogue

Table 4. In Vivo Blood PK Profiles of 22 in Rodent and Nonrodent Species ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Species ${ }^{6}$ | Dose po/iv $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{e}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{\max } \\ (\mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(h * n M)}{\text { po } \mathrm{AUC}^{0-t}}$ | $\operatorname{iv~Cl}_{(\mathrm{tb}}^{(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{h}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{1 / 2} \\ & (\mathrm{~h})^{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ (\%)^{j} \end{gathered}$ | $f_{\text {ub }}{ }^{k}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}^{0-\mathrm{t}} \\ & (\mathrm{~h} * \mathrm{nM})^{l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } C_{\mathrm{av}}^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}} \\ & (\mathrm{~nm})^{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{iv} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{n}}{\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{u}}\right.}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | mouse | 5/5 | 2.0 | $\begin{gathered} 6000 \\ (7800-4600)^{f} \end{gathered}$ | 10 (10-9.7) | 4.0 | 42 | 0.012 | 72 | 3.3 | 830 |
| 2 | rat ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5/1 | 6.0 | $2600^{f}$ | 24 | 3.1 | 45 | 0.033 | 86 | 3.7 | 730 |
| 3 | $\operatorname{dog}^{\text {d }}$ | 2.5/0.5 | 2.0 | $250^{\text {g }}$ | 21 | 1.4 | $9.1{ }^{h}$ | 0.14 | 35 | 1.9 | 150 |

[^1]Table 5. Blood Pharmacokinetic Profiles of Fluorobisamide 22 in Athymic Mice with Increasing Oral Dose ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{\max } \\ & (\mathrm{h}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{AUC}^{0-\mathrm{t}}$ ( $\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{nM}$ ) | $\underset{(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{f}}{\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{tb}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t_{1 / 2} \\ & (\mathrm{~h})^{g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ (\%)^{h} \end{gathered}$ | $f_{\text {ub }}{ }^{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}^{0-\mathrm{t}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~h}^{*} \mathrm{nM}\right)^{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } C_{\mathrm{av}}^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}} \\ & (\mathrm{nM})^{k} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})^{l}}{\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}\right.}$ |
| 1 | 5 iv | NA | 6700 (7800-5700) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21 (24-18) | 1.5 | NA | 0.0070 | 47 | 2.1 | 3000 |
| 2 | 5 po | 1.7 | 1300 (1800-990) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 20 |  | 9.1 | 0.59 |  |
| 3 | 17.5 po | 1.7 | 9000 (12000-7000) |  |  | 39 |  | 63 | 3.1 |  |
| 4 | 35 po | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 34000 \\ (57000-21000)^{d} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 72 |  | 240 | 10 |  |
| 5 | 50 po | 1.7 | 78000 |  |  | 120 |  | 550 | 24 |  |

${ }^{a}$ All graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism Version 7.01. NA = not applicable. Each point on the PK curve is the arithmetic mean $\pm$ SEM of $n$ $=3$ individual animals. All values are quoted to two SFs and are the geometric mean of $n=3$ individual mice. PK parameters are calculated from the blood concentration/time curve using noncompartmental analysis Phoenix version 6.1 . The $90 \%$ confidence intervals are in parentheses. ${ }^{b}$ The po and iv dosing vehicles are described in the Supporting Information. ${ }^{c} t=6 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{d} t=8 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{e} t=24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{f} \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=$ total blood clearance from the $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv dose. ${ }^{g}$ Terminal half-life calculated from the iv dose. ${ }^{h}$ Assumes linear $\mathrm{PK} .{ }^{i} f_{\text {ub }}=$ fraction unbound in blood, $f_{\text {ub }}=f_{\text {up }} / \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{P}, f_{\text {up }}=$ fraction unbound in plasma, $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{P}=$ blood-to-plasma ratio, measured in vitro using dialysis and quoted as the geometric mean from $n=3$ statistical repeats from pooled samples; see the Supporting Information for details. ${ }^{j} \mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{AUC} * \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ub}} .{ }^{k}$ Free $C_{\mathrm{av}}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}=\mathrm{AUC}{ }^{\mathrm{inf}} / 24 * f_{\mathrm{ub}} .{ }^{l} \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}} / f_{\mathrm{ub}}$.

16, which showed lower in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$. Removal of the $N$-alkyl moiety to afford the free piperazine 19 was not tolerated, resulting in a large $\mathrm{CH} 1^{\mathrm{WT}} / \mathrm{CH} 1^{\text {doxR }}$ ratio for predicted P-gpmediated efflux (Table 3, entry 3), while $N$-methylpiperazine 20 displayed high MLM $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}(110 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg})$ and so was not investigated further. However, $N$-ethylpiperazine 21 displayed a good balance of physicochemical properties (Table 3, entry 5), which translated into excellent mouse oral bioavailability (Table 2, entry 4) from moderate total blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=28 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, extraction ratio $=$ $\left.31 \%, F_{\max }=69 \%\right)$. Unfortunately, the high $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ persisted and no other changes to the solubilizing group of the chloro-series were able to significantly improve the metabolic stability predicted from in vitro analysis (see Table S2 for details).
To further reduce lipophilicity, we hypothesized that we could replace the benzylic chlorine substituent with fluorine, but we were concerned that this decrease could have a detrimental effect on passive permeability. However, analysis of the Cambridge Structural Database of small molecules ${ }^{37}$ revealed that ortho-fluorobenzamides tend to adopt more planar conformations than their methyl counterparts (Figure S3). The amide-NH bond can eclipse the fluorine-carbon bond, forming a dipole-dipole interaction and masking the hydrogen bond donor, hence mitigating concerns of decreased lipophilicity on passive permeability. ${ }^{38}$ The fluorine MMP, CCT361814/NXP800 22, pleasingly displayed the desired reduction in lipophilicity (Table 3, entry 6), which correlated with reduced in vitro MLM ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$ ) and mouse hepatocyte $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{int}}$; while maintaining excellent antiproliferative activity $\left(\right.$ free $\mathrm{GI}_{50}=3.7 \mathrm{nM}, f_{\text {ua }}=0.43$; Table S4) ${ }^{39}$ and acceptable KS $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Fluorobisamide 22 was therefore submitted for an in vivo mouse PK study (Table 4, entry 1).

The mouse in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ for compound 22 was consistent with the predicted value from the MHeps assay and comparable to methyl analogue 16 (Table 2, entry 1). Despite the decreased lipophilicity, the $\mathrm{CH1}^{\mathrm{doxR}} / \mathrm{CH1}{ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$-predicted P -gp-mediated efflux ratio was low and fluorobisamide 22 displayed good mouse oral bioavailability (42\%) from moderate total blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, extraction ratio $\left.=11 \%, F_{\max }=89 \%\right) .{ }^{22}$ Owing to these favorable data, fluorobisamide 22 was selected for evaluation of its in vivo efficacy against established SK-OV-3 human ovarian cancer solid tumor xenografts in athymic immunodeficient mice (Table 5).

Efficacy and PD. The assessment of the blood PK profiles of fluorobisamide 22 in immunodeficient athymic mice revealed a much higher $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}(3000 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg})$ following an iv dose than had been observed in immunocompetent BALB/c mice, consistent with our previous observations with chemical probe $1,{ }^{13}$ and overproportional exposure with increasing po dose. Owing to the nonlinear PK , high $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ in this mouse strain, and following a multidose tolerability study (Figure S9), a $35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po qd dose was selected for the efficacy study, which should give a 2.7 -fold coverage $\left(\mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}=240 \mathrm{~h}^{*} \mathrm{nM}\right.$, free $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{av}}^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}=10 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ of the in vitro free $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ (Figure 3 A ).

The mice maintained acceptable condition and body weight (Figure S10; < $10 \%$ loss) when dosed at $35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po continuously with a solution of fluorobisamide 22 for 20 days without the need for dose breaks. Excellent efficacy was observed against established SK-OV-3 human ovarian cancer solid tumor xenografts grown subcutaneously, with tumor growth inhibition (TGI) of $120 \%$ relative to control ${ }^{40}$ and 8 out of 10 tumors displaying regression. $T / C$ values, based on the final mean tumor weights, also showed a significant


Figure 3. Antitumor, PK, and pharmacodynamic (PD) activity of fluorobisamide 22 in immunodeficient athymic mice. All data were analyzed and plotted using GraphPad Prism 7.01. NS $p>0.05$, $* p \leq 0.05$, $* * p \leq 0.01, * * * p \leq 0.001, * * * * p \leq 0.0001$. A: Antitumor activity of fluorobisamide 22 following a $35 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po qd dose against established SK-OV-3 human ovarian cancer xenografts in immunodeficient athymic mice. Each point represents the arithmetic mean $\pm$ SEM of $n=10$ mice. Analysis by standard two-way ANOVA: interaction $\mathrm{p}<0.001$, time $\mathrm{p}=0.0032$, treatment $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$. Comparison of vehicle vs treated arms at each time point using Sidak's multiple comparison test. See the Supporting Information for details. B: PK/PD analysis following a single $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po dose in athymic mice with established SK-OV-3 xenografts. The CHAC1 and HSPA1A biomarker responses in the tumor were quantified using an MSD and quantitative polymerase chain reaction ( qPCR ) assays, respectively, and were correlated to the relative free concentration of 22 in both the plasma ( $n=4$ ) and the tumor ( $n=4$ except 4 and 16 h when $n=3$ ). The free concentration in the plasma was estimated by $C_{u}=C_{T} * f_{u p} ; f_{u p}$ was measured in vitro using standard dialysis methods in pooled athymic mouse plasma. Tumor-free concentrations were estimated by calculating the free fraction in the tumor post distribution equilibrium ( $>8 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The significance of all CHAC1 and HSPA1A biomarker responses in treated samples (CHAC1: $n=4$ at each time point except 6 h where $n=3$, HSPA1A: $n=4$ at each time point except 4 and 6 h where $n=3$ ) is described relative to the vehicle control ( $n=23,0-24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA (CHAC1: $p<0.0001$, HSPA1A: $p<0.0001$ ) and Dunnett's multiple comparison test of the log-transformed data. C: Structure of the clinical candidate fluorobisamide 22. D: Clinical development candidate profile of fluorobisamide 22 with predicted human parameters; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ heps $=$ human hepatocytes, $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ calculated from in vitro $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}$ using scaling factors and the well-stirred model; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ rat $\mathrm{SSS}=$ rat singlespecies scaling (SSS) $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\text {human }}=\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\text {rat }}\left(\text { body weight }{ }^{\text {human }} / \text { body weight }{ }^{\text {rat }}\right)^{\wedge} 0.75 ;{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}} * f_{\mathrm{ub}}, f_{\mathrm{ub}}=f_{\mathrm{up}} / \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{P}, f_{\mathrm{up}}$ and B:P were determined in vitro by dialysis of pooled plasma and blood samples; determined from the rat $t_{1 / 2}$ using an empirical approach $\log t_{1 / 2}$ (human) $=$ $0.906 * \log t_{1 / 2}($ rat $)+0.723$; ${ }^{e}$ measured at Pharmidex, the dynamic range of the assay is $0-1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, FaSSIIF and FeSSIF pH6.5, FaSSGF pH1.6; ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ estimated from $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{av}(\text { free })}=10 \mathrm{nM}$ in the mouse model, dose $=\left(C_{\text {efff(free }} * \mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}} * \tau\right) / F$, where $\tau=$ dose frequency $(24 \mathrm{~h})$ and $F$ is assumed to be 0.4.
reduction to $37 \%$ of control ( $p=0.0008$, Student's $t$-test; Figure S11).
Discovering pharmacodynamic (PD) biomarkers using compounds developed from phenotypic screens of transcription inhibitors can be challenging, as to observe an effect the biological pathways of interest must commonly be potentiated by an external stimulus. ${ }^{41 a}$ In vitro, we utilized as a PD biomarker the blocked induction of the protein product HSP72, which is encoded by the canonical HSF1-regulated gene HSPA1A in human cancer cell lines, following activation with the HSP90 inhibitor 17-AAG, to confirm that fluorobisamide 22 antagonized the pathway (SK-OV-3, HSP72 cell-based ELISA, $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}=7.03 \pm 0.07, \mathrm{IC}_{50}=94$ $n M, n=40){ }^{42}$ However, for in vivo studies, we required protein PD biomarkers that would not need an HSP90 inhibitor to activate HSF1. Also, modulation at the mRNA rather than protein level would provide more proximal biomarkers for the inhibition of HSF1-mediated transcription. ${ }^{43}$ Therefore, we carried out gene expression profiling of cancer cell lines and tumor xenograft tissues following treatment with fluorobisamide 22; this demonstrated the
inhibition of heat shock response gene signature and activation of the related integrated stress response signature, which we then exploited for PD biomarker development. ${ }^{44-46}$
qPCR analysis of the end-of-study tumor samples from the treated and untreated arms of the efficacy study revealed a clear increased expression of the mRNA of CHAC1 (Figure S12), a gene involved in the integrated stress response and activation of which would be consistent with the inhibition of the HSF1 stress pathway. ${ }^{45}$ CHAC1 is known to be downstream of the HSF1-regulated gene, ATF3 ${ }^{10,44}$ At the protein level, the induction of CHAC1 was confirmed by immunoblot, from both in vivo tumor samples and in vitro data in SK-OV-3 cells treated with fluorobisamide 22 ( 19 nM , $5 \times$ free $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$; Figure S13). ${ }^{47}$

To investigate the relationship between the free exposure of 22 and HSF1 pathway inhibition PD biomarker modulation, a single dose ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), single agent $\mathrm{PK} / \mathrm{PD}$ study was designed and carried out on athymic mice bearing established SK-OV-3 human ovarian cancer solid subcutaneous tumor xenografts, as were used in the efficacy study (Figure 3B). The $\mathrm{PK} / \mathrm{PD}$ data revealed a tumor $T_{\max }$ at $6 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ later than the
dose escalation study blood $T_{\max }$ in nontumor-bearing mice. ${ }^{48}$ Distribution equilibrium was achieved after 8 h , and by comparison with free plasma concentrations at these later time points, we estimated the free tumor concentrations ${ }^{49}$ to achieve a tumor-free $C_{\text {max }}=21 \mathrm{nM}$ and free $C_{\text {min }}=2.8 \mathrm{nM}$ after 24 h . At the dose of fluorobisamide 22 used, free tumor concentrations were greater than the in vitro free $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ for 21 h . CHAC1 protein expression was confirmed using immunoblotting (Figure S14) and was quantified by an MSD assay we developed in-house ${ }^{50}$ (Figure S15). In vivo, CHAC1 induction by fluorobisamide 22 correlated well with the free concentration in the tumor, with CHAC1 induction $T_{\max }(\mathrm{PD})$ also occurring at the fluorobisamide 22 tumor $T_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{PK})$ and at a free concentration comparable to those for the in vitro induction (Figure 3B).
To assess a more direct biomarker for the antagonism of HSF1-mediated transcription, we turned to the inhibition of expression of the canonical heat shock HSPA1A gene, which encodes the HSP72 protein. As expected, given its long degradation half-life, ${ }^{43}$ no clear changes to basal HSP72 protein levels were observed over the 24 h of the $\mathrm{PK} / \mathrm{PD}$ study. However, analysis of the short half-life HSPA1A mRNA tumor levels using qPCR revealed significant depletion by fluorobisamide 22 that also correlated well with the tumor-free concentrations (Figure 3B).

Clinical Candidate. The optimized fluorobisamide 22 clearly displayed improved mouse PK and efficacy in the SK-OV-3 human ovarian xenograft model compared to our earlier chemical probe $\mathbf{1}$. Screening 22 against our panel of genetically diverse human ovarian cancer cell lines demonstrated that the compound retained excellent antiproliferative activity (Table S1). To further assess the potential of 22 as a clinical candidate, the compound was assayed in the Cerep in vitro safety screen of 87 potentially high-risk off-target proteins (Table S9). ${ }^{51}$ From this screen, only adenosine A2A receptor antagonism ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$; Figure S4) was confirmed by a functional assay but at a value $\sim 100$-fold higher than the efficacious free concentrations. ${ }^{52}$ Fluorobisamide 22 also displayed no hERG $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>30 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{53}$ or CYP $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) inhibition (Table S10 and Figure S7) liability and was clean in kinase screening panels (data not shown), consistent with our previous analysis. ${ }^{13}$ Analysis of rat PK data for 22 (Table 4, entry 2) revealed a clear improvement with respect to the lead compound $\mathbf{1}$, with reduced $\mathrm{CL}_{w}$, consistent with the rat hepatocyte prediction of $410 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$, good oral bioavailability ( $45 \%$ ) from a moderate total blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=24 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, extraction ratio $=34 \%, F_{\max }=$ $66 \%),{ }^{17,57}$ and acceptable half-life $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right)$ (Table 4, entry 2, and Table S12).
To select a higher species for further PK study, 22 was submitted to minipig and dog hepatocyte assays (Table S6). The minipig hepatocyte $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}$ ( $860 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / 10^{6}$ cells) was very high, consistent with a previous study, which showed that the bisaryl amide motif found in the bisamide chemotype is particularly susceptible to hydrolysis by minipig liver amidases. ${ }^{54}$ Given the confidence we had gained in the predictive value of in vitro hepatocyte metabolism for in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{u}$ prediction, minipig was not considered further. The dog hepatocytes gave $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}=31 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} / 10^{6}$ cells, which predicted an in vivo $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}=96 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$. However, in contrast to the very low blood-free fraction ( $f_{\mathrm{ub}}$; Table S4) of $\mathbf{2 2}$ in mice, rats, and humans ( $0.0053-0.033$ ), the dog blood-free fraction was surprisingly high $\left(f_{\mathrm{ub}}=0.14\right)$. Despite this contrast, the dog
was selected as our higher species for further study (Table 4, entry 3). ${ }^{55}$ The dog PK data revealed a lower $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$ compared to the rodent species and were consistent with the hepatocyte prediction, but oral bioavailability (9.1\%) was also low, from a moderate total blood clearance $\left(\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}=21 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$, extraction ratio $\left.=52 \%, F_{\max }=48 \%\right) .{ }^{56,57}$ The low absorption in the dog compared to that in the rat for 22 could be due to solubility-limited absorption of this basic compound in the relatively high pH of the dog's stomach. ${ }^{58}$ Nevertheless, the free exposure from the $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po dose in the dog was still comparable to the efficacy study free exposure due to the much lower $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}^{0-6 \mathrm{~h}}=40 \mathrm{~h} * \mathrm{nM}\right.$, free $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{av}}^{0-6 \mathrm{~h}}=6.6 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

Furthermore, 22 displayed improved in vitro permeability in the Caco-2 assay $\left(A-B=7.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}\right.$, efflux ratio $=2.8$; Table S8), despite low compound recovery ( $\sim 50 \%$, consistent with intracellular compound retention), which can limit passive permeability. ${ }^{59}$ Thermodynamic solubility assays in simulated human biorelevant simulated fluids showed that compound 22 (Figure 3D) was highly soluble in simulated gastric fluid, possibly due to the low pH , and modestly soluble in intestinal fluid (Table S11). ${ }^{60}$ From our studies and the excellent efficacy in the SK-OV-3 human ovarian xenograft model driven by a low free concentration, the calculated dose predictions for 22 to human based on the efficacious $\mathrm{AUC}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}$ were favorable, using both single-species scaling (SSS) ${ }^{61}$ and scaling from human hepatocytes, at less than 210 $\mathrm{mg} /$ person/day. ${ }^{62}$ These data led to the nomination of fluorobisamide 22 as our clinical candidate (Figure 3). ${ }^{63}$

## ■ CONCLUSIONS

We carried out multiparameter lead optimization of the bisamide chemical probe 1, discovered from an HSF1 stress pathway inhibitor phenotypic screen, using cell-based SAR to maintain the excellent antiproliferative activity. During our PK optimization, minimizing P-gp-mediated efflux became an early focus. We developed a medium-throughput cell-based antiproliferation sensitivity assay as a surrogate to assess P-gp-mediated efflux and demonstrated that incorporating halogens into our analogue design reduced this liability in all examples across a wide range of substrates. This led to an empirical but effective strategy to mitigate P-gp-mediated efflux that could potentially be applicable to other chemotypes. A further multiparameter optimization gave us our clinical candidate, fluorobisamide 22. This compound displayed a good PK profile across different species and excellent therapeutic efficacy, including tumor regression, from a low free exposure in an in vivo human ovarian cancer xenograft mouse model, and demonstrated biomarker modulation in tumor tissue consistent with the HSF1 pathway inhibitionrepresenting overall a strong pharmacological audit trail. ${ }^{41}$

We have carried out numerous studies to determine the molecular mechanism of action of fluorobisamde 22, including transcriptional profiling and use of multiple orthogonal chemoproteomic technologies. Demonstration of the increased expression of CHAC1 mRNA and the reduced expression of HSPA1A mRNA, which represent useful PD markers, is consistent with the activation of the integrated stress response and inhibition of HSF1-mediated transcription. Further mechanistic follow-up studies are underway.

Following successful preclinical development, CCT361814/ NXP800 22 entered phase 1 clinical trial (NCT05226507) in cancer patients in 2022 as a potential future treatment for refractory ovarian cancer and other malignancies. ${ }^{64}$

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All experiments using animals were performed in accordance with the local Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board, the U.K. Home Office Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986, and the U.K. National Cancer Research Institute Guidelines for the Welfare of Animals in Cancer Research. ${ }^{65}$ The ICR does not undertake research in nonrodent species and requires internal ethical review when such studies are sponsored by organizations with whom we collaborate. Collaborator-sponsored nonrodent pharmacology studies of compound 22 necessary for the prediction of therapeutic window and application to the clinic were approved by the ICR Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Board and were conducted in full compliance with national regulations at AAALAC accredited R\&D sites.

General Procedures (Chemistry). All final compounds were screened through our in-house computational PAINS filter and gave no structural alerts as potential assay interference compounds. ${ }^{66}$ Unless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted in oven-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen or argon using anhydrous solvents. All commercially obtained reagents and solvents were used as received. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated aluminum sheets of silica ( 60 F 254 nm, Merck) and visualized using short-wave UV light. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 (particle size $40-65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Column chromatography was also performed on Biotage SP1 or Isolera 4 purification systems using Biotage Flash silica cartridges (SNAP KP-Sil). Ion-exchange chromatography was performed using acidic Biotage Isolute Flash SCX-2 columns. All compounds are $>95 \%$ pure by HPLC analysis. HPLC traces of the clinical candidate 22 and all in vivo compounds are included in the Supporting Information.

Semipreparative HPLC. $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ standard injections (with needle wash) of the sample were made on a Phenomenex Gemini C18 column $(5 \mu, 250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 21.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, Phenomenex, Torrence). Chromatographic separation at room temperature was carried out using a 1200 Series Preparative HPLC (Agilent, USA) over a 15 min gradient elution from 90:10 to 0:100 water:methanol (both modified with $0.1 \%$ formic acid) at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. UV-vis spectra were acquired at 254 nm on a 1200 Series Prep Scale diode array detector (Agilent). Post-UV and pre-MS splitting were achieved using an Active Split (Agilent) before being infused into a 6120 Series Quad mass spectrometer fitted with an ESI/APCI Multimode ionization source (Agilent). Collection was triggered by UV signal and collected on a 1200 Series Fraction Collector (Agilent). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance $500(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometers using an internal deuterium lock. Chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million (ppm) using the following internal references: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta \mathrm{H}$ 7.26), $\mathrm{MeOD}\left(\delta \mathrm{H}\right.$ 3.31), and DMSO- $d_{6}(\delta \mathrm{H}$ 2.50). Signal multiplicities are recorded as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quartet ( q ), quintet ( qn ), and multiplet ( m ), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of doublet of doublets (ddd), broad (br), obscured (obs) or apparent (app). Coupling constants, J, are measured to the nearest $0.1 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 500 spectrometers at 126 MHz using an internal deuterium lock. Chemical shifts are quoted to 0.01 ppm , unless greater accuracy was required, using the following internal references: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\delta \mathrm{C} 77.0)$, MeOD ( $\delta \mathrm{C} 49.0$ ), and DMSO- $d_{6}$ ( $\delta \mathrm{C} 39.5$ ). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on an Agilent 1200 series HPLC and a diode array detector coupled to a 6210 time-of-flight mass spectrometer with a dual multimode APCI/ESI source (methods I-IV) or on a Waters Acquity UPLC and a diode array detector coupled to a Waters G2 QToF mass spectrometer fitted with a multimode ESI/APCI source (methods V-VI). Analytical separation was carried out according to the methods listed below. The mobile phase was a mixture of methanol (solvent A) and water (solvent B), both containing formic acid at $0.1 \%$; UV detection was at 254 nm .

Method I: analytical separation was carried out at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Merck Purospher STAR column (RP-18e, $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using a flow rate of $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ in a 4 min gradient elution. Gradient elution was as follows: 10:90 $(A / B)$ to $90: 10(A / B)$ over $2.5 \mathrm{~min}, 90: 10(A /$ $B)$ for 1 min , and then reversion back to $10: 90(A / B)$ over 0.3 min ,
finally 10:90 $(A / B)$ for 0.2 min . Method II: analytical separation was carried out at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Merck chromolith flash column (RP-18e, 25 $\mathrm{mm} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using a flow rate of $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ in a 4 min gradient elution. Gradient elution was as follows: 5:95 $(A / B)$ to 100:0 $(A / B)$ over $2.5 \mathrm{~min}, 100: 0(A / B)$ for 1 min , and then reversion back to 5:95 $(A / B)$ over 0.1 min , finally $5: 95(A / B)$ for 0.4 min . Method III: analytical separation was carried out at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Merck Purospher STAR column (RP-18e, $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) using a flow rate of $3 \mathrm{~mL} /$ min in a 2 min gradient elution. Gradient elution was as follows: $10: 90(A / B)$ to $90: 10(A / B)$ over $1.25 \mathrm{~min}, 90: 10(A / B)$ for 0.5 min , and then reversion back to 10:90 $(A / B)$ over 0.15 min , finally 10:90 $(A / B)$ for 0.1 min . Method IV: analytical separation was carried out at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Merck Purospher STAR column (RP-18e, $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4$ mm ) using a flow rate of $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ in a 2 min gradient elution. Gradient elution was as follows: 5:95 $(A / B)$ to 100:0 $(A / B)$ over 1.25 $\min , 100: 0(A / B)$ for 0.5 min , and then reversion back to 5:95 $(A / B)$ over 0.05 min , finally $5: 95(A / B)$ for 0.2 min . Method V : Waters Acquity UPLC, Phenomenex Kinetex XB-C18 column ( $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1$ $\mathrm{mm}, 1.7 \mu, 100 \AA$ ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ in a 4 min gradient elution. Gradient elution was as follows: 10:90 $(A / B)$ to 90:10 $(A / B)$ over $3 \mathrm{~min}, 90: 10(A / B)$ for 0.5 min , and then reversion back to $10: 90(A / B)$ over 0.3 min , finally $10: 90(A / B)$ for 0.2 min . Method VI: Waters Acquity UPLC, Phenomenex Kinetex C18 column ( $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.6 \mu, 100 \AA$ ), flow rate and gradient elution according to Method V. The following reference masses were used for HRMS analysis: Agilent 1200 series: caffeine $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 195.087652; hexakis ( $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}$-tetrafluoropentoxy) phosphazene [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 922.009798$ and hexakis(2,2-difluoroethoxy)phosphazene $[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 622.02896$ or reserpine $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 609.280657$; Waters Acquity UPLC: Leucine Enkephalin fragment ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$397.1876. All compounds were $>95 \%$ purity by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS) analysis unless otherwise stated.

Synthetic Route I. N-(3-Amino-4-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamide. Oxalyl chloride (1.40 $\mathrm{mL}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 1,4 -benzodioxane-6-carboxylic acid ( $2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF $(0.027 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.340 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane (DCM) (34 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h and then concentrated. The residue was dissolved in DCM and concentrated again. This residue was dissolved in anhydrous DCM $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to a solution of 4-methyl-3-nitroaniline $(2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(2.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\operatorname{DCM}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then concentrated. The resulting solid was suspended in MeOH , diluted with water, and then isolated by filtration and washed with water to afford the title compound $(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a pale tancolored amorphous solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.39$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 315.0976$; found 315.0982.

Palladium ( $10 \%$ on activated carbon, $0.567 \mathrm{~g}, 5.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of $N$-(4-methyl-3-nitrophenyl)-2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide $(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred under hydrogen ( 1 atm ) at $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, filtered through celite with EtOAc, and concentrated to afford the title compound $(3.80 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%)$ as a pale yellow amorphous solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.32-4.26 (m, 4H), $2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 285.1234; found 285.1233.

Methyl 2-Formylquinoline-6-carboxylate. To a solution of 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon at room temperature, 4 M HCl in 1,4dioxane $(16.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the resulting mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Then, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, concentrated under reduced
pressure, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with 1 M NaOH $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(1 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(1 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a light tan-colored solid as a crude product, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(2.36 \mathrm{~g}$, $73 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27$ (dd, $J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,0.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 202.0868$; found 202.0863.

To a suspension of selenium dioxide ( $0.873 \mathrm{~g}, 7.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 11 mL ) under argon at room temperature, methyl 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylate ( $1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 7.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered through celite, and concentrated under vacuo to afford an orange solid as a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $10-20 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether to afford the clean product as a pale yellow amorphous solid $(1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.42 (dd, $J=8.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}, 216.0660$; found 216.0658 .

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (11). Pyrrolidine ( $0.144 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of methyl 2-formylquinoline-6-carboxylate ( $0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 6 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(0.369 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The two layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM $(1 \times 10$ mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $2-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford the title compound as a light brown amorphous solid ( 225 mg , $71 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-$ $8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14$ (br s, 2 H ), 4.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.83 (br s, 4H), 1.94 (br s, 4H). HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 271.1441; found 271.1444.
Aqueous NaOH solution ( 1.02 M ) ( $2.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of methyl 2-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6carboxylate $(0.210 \mathrm{~g}, 0.777 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran (THF, 3 mL ), followed by $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ to ensure a homogeneous solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then, the reaction mixture was heated to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a further 1.25 mL of NaOH aqueous solution $(1.02 \mathrm{M})$ was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated to remove THF and MeOH . The remaining aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acidified to pH 3 with 2 M aqueous HCl . A precipitate was formed and filtered off. The filtrate was then concentrated to dryness to afford the title compound as a brown solid, which was carried onto the next step without purification ( 630 mg , contains NaCl , quantitative yield assumed for the next synthetic step). LCMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=1.42 \mathrm{~min}, m / z=257(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

2-(7-Aza-1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) ( $0.323 \mathrm{~g}, 0.850 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $0.199 \mathrm{~g}, 0.680 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine ( 0.594 mL , 3.40 mmol ) in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min before $N$-(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)-2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide $(0.193 \mathrm{~g}, 0.680 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. Then, a further portion of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine $(263 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and HATU ( 258 mg ) was added and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 6 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The residue was purified by
column chromatography using a gradient of $5-12 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford 65 mg of a semicrude product as an orange-brown solid. Repurification by semipreparative HPLC afforded the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 6.7 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$ (formic acid salt). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.39, 164.82, 163.84, 162.24, 148.59, 146.81, 143.39, 137.96, 137.78, 136.69, 132.39, 130.60, 129.22, 129.16, 128.64, 128.45, 128.14, 126.67, 122.22, 121.66, 119.06, 118.65, 117.30, 117.12, 65.37, 64.86, 64.48, 62.29, 54.29, 23.74, 17.94. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 523.2340; found 523.2342.

Racemic-N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxami-do)-2-methylphenyl)-2-((2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (13). To a solution of methyl 2 -formylquinoline-6carboxylate ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.697 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 7 mL ), 2methylpyrrolidine ( $0.213 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at room temperature and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2.5 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $443 \mathrm{mg}, 2.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 10 mL ) and washed with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 20 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil as a crude product, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(194 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{q}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ (dddd, $J=12.5,10.7,8.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=0.88 \mathrm{~min}, m / z=285,(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a solution of methyl 2-((2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxylate ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.682 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 3.2 mL ), 2 M aqueous NaOH solution ( $1.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to increase the miscibility of the two layers. The resulting red/brown solution was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the remaining aqueous layer was acidified to pH 3 with 1 M aqueous HCl and then washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was discarded, and the aqueous phase was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a beige amorphous solid as a crude product, which was carried onto the next step without purification. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 272.1472$; found 272.1468.

To a solution of 2-(7-aza-1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) $(176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.462 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-((2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.326 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 2.5 mL ) with $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.322 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{N}$-(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.370 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an inert argon atmosphere for 18 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto water to afford a light brown precipitate, which was washed with water. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-20 \%$ EtOAc in DCM. A second purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+1 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH afforded the title compound as a light brown amorphous solid ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 12 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta$ $8.69-8.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.39, 164.83, 148.55, 146.81, 143.39, 137.88, 137.79, 136.69, $132.43,130.61,129.22,129.15,128.65,128.50,128.14,126.68$, 122.41, 121.67, 119.07, 118.66, 117.31, 117.14, 64.86, 64.49, 60.40, 60.30, 60.08, 54.50, 32.72, 21.94, 19.24, 17.95. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 559.2316 ; found 559.2308 .
$N$-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. To a solution of methyl 2 -formylquinoline-6-carboxylate (150 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.697 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM, 1-ethylpiperazine ( 0.266 mL , 2.09 mmol ) was added dropwise at room temperature and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir under an inert argon atmosphere for 2.5 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $443 \mathrm{mg}, 2.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 20 mL ) and quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM $(3 \times$ 10 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow amorphous solid as a crude product, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(197 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31-8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=47.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.67,162.22,149.53,137.47,130.66,129.33$, 128.90, 127.62, 126.49, 121.86, 65.10, 53.37, 52.76, 52.36, 52.29, 11.94.

To a solution of methyl 2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxylate ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.629 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3.0 mL ), 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to increase the miscibility of the two layers. The resulting red/brown solution was allowed to stir at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF and MeOH ; then, the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 3 with 1 M aqueous HCl and washed with EtOAc (3 $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous layer was concentrated under vacuo to afford a salmon solid as a crude product, which was carried onto the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.37$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80-8.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 302.1764; found 302.1762.
To a solution of 2-(7-aza-1 H -benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 0.418 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride salt ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.298 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 2.3 mL ) with $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.291 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{N}$-(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $95.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.334 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto water ( 3 mL ) to afford a pale yellow precipitate, which was washed with water $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then, the solid was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with $20 \%$ EtOAc in DCM and then a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM $+1 \%$ $7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.58$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-$ $4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 166.87,166.54$, 161.28, 148.33, 147.01, 143.45, 138.07, 136.96, 135.72, 132.24, 130.35, 130.19, 128.13, 128.01, 127.92, 127.59, 126.83, 122.13, 120.71, 119.45, 119.21, 116.76, 116.61, 64.52, 64.13, 64.01, 52.60, 52.20, 51.87, 48.44, 16.39, 10.37. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 567.2793$; found 567.2789 .
N-(3-Amino-4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6carboxamide. Oxalyl chloride ( $18.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 211 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 1,4-benzodioxane-6-carboxylic acid ( $34.6 \mathrm{~g}, 192$
mmol ) and pyridine ( $31.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 384 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 400 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was redissolved in anhydrous DCM $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was redissolved in DCM ( 40 mL ) and added to a stirred solution of 4-fluoro-3-nitroaniline ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine $(31.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 384$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 16 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and diluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 400 mL ). A precipitate was formed, which was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The solid was dried under vacuum to afford the desired product as a yellow amorphous solid ( 52.2 g , $85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.69 (dd, $J=$ $6.9,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (ddd, $J=9.1,4.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{td}, J=5.3,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.30,150.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=252.69 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 147.28, 143.49, $136.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.77 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $127.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.74 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.19,121.83,119.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.18 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 117.50, 117.21, 117.03 (d, $J=2.17 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 64.91, 64.49. HRMS (ESI + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 319.0725$; found 319.0729.

Ammonium chloride $(10.3 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{mmol})$ and iron $(10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 192$ mmol ) were added to a mixture of N -(4-fluoro-3-nitrophenyl)-2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $12.228 \mathrm{~g}, 38.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was refluxed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was cooled to room temperature and diluted with DCM $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was filtered through celite and washed with MeOH. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude solid was diluted in an aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ to make a slurry, which was filtered. The solid was collected, washed with water, and then diluted with toluene and dried in vacuo to afford the crude product as a beige amorphous solid, used as a crude in the next synthetic step ( 6.25 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.81$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 164.63,147.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=227.57 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.62,143.34$, $136.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.88 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.37,121.59$, 117.24, 117.08, 114.86 (d, $J=21.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $109.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.54 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $108.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.94 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.84,64.48$. HRMS (ESI + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$289.0988; found 289.0992 .

2-((4-Isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic Acid. Pyrrolidine ( $0.399 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a suspension of methyl 2-formylquinoline-6-carboxylate ( $0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.929 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 9 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( 0.591 g , 2.79 mmol ) was added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford the crude product as an amorphous orange solid ( 322 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (dd, $J=8.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 166.81, 162.43, 149.68, 137.55, 130.78, 129.46, 129.00, 127.71, 126.60, 122.00, 77.42, 77.16, 76.91, 65.25, 54.56, 53.88, 52.48, 48.78, 18.77. HRMS (ESI+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$328.2020; found 328.2031.

To a solution of methyl 2-((4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxylate ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.977 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 6.0 mL ), 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to increase the miscibility of the two layers. The resulting red/brown solution was allowed to stir at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF and MeOH ; then, the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 3 with 1 M aqueous HCl and washed with EtOAc (3 $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous layer was concentrated under vacuum to afford a salmon solid as a crude product, which was carried onto the next step without purification ( 306 mg , contains NaCl ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=0.70 \mathrm{~min}, m / z=314,(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-((4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. $N$-(3-Amino-4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.347 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-((4-isopropylpiper-azin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( 130 mg , 0.372 mmol ), and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC, $166 \mathrm{mg}, 0.867 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 2.5 $\mathrm{mL})$; then, pyridine $(0.140 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . A further portion of 2-((4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.416 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDC ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 0.867 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and pyridine $(0.140 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for a total of 72 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ (3 $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+1 \% 7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH followed by trituration in diethyl ether afforded the title compound as an orange amorphous solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 9.2 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.41$ (br s, 1 H ), 10.21 (br s, 1H), 8.65 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, $J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.25 (dd, $J=8.78,1.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.14 (dd, $J$ $=6.90,2.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.78,1.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\operatorname{app~t}, J=9.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.78$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (br s, 2H), 3.15-2.34 (m, 9H), 0.99 (br s, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta$ 166.69, 166.62, $161.22,152.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=245.41 \mathrm{~Hz}), 151.49,148.39,147.12$, 143.49, 138.19, 134.88 (d, $J=3.22 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.99, 128.21, 127.99, 127.37, $126.82,125.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.96 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.18,120.76,119.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.97$ Hz ), 119.01, 116.80, 116.64, 115.28 (d, $J=21.26 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.54,64.15$, 63.80, 55.27, 52.30, 29.34, 16.98. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 584.2668; found 584.2636.

Synthetic Route II. Ethyl 2-((Tosyloxy)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylate. To a stirred solution of 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylic acid $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added sulfuric acid ( 0.4 $\mathrm{mL}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 22 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was taken up in water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was basified $(\sim \mathrm{pH} 10)$ by the addition of 2 M aqueous NaOH solution. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with copious water and then dried under vacuum to afford a pale pink amorphous solid $(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19-8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=8.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.24$ $\min , m / z 216(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

3-Chloroperbenzoic acid ( $0.695 \mathrm{~g}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylate ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{DCM}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The orange reaction mixture was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ solution $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(1 \times$ 10 mL ). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was diluted with brine and extracted with DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude orange oil was crystallized from $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$. The solid was isolated by filtration and washed with PE/ EtOAc (3/1 mixture). A second product fraction was isolated after the concentration of the filtrate. This solid was triturated with PE/ EtOAc $(\sim 4 / 1)$ and isolated by filtration. The title compound was obtained as a pale orange amorphous solid ( $381 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.32 \mathrm{~min}, m / z 232.10(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

To a solution of ethyl 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylate $N$-oxide $(0.274 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetonitrile $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.246 \mathrm{~g}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion, followed by $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride $(0.271 \mathrm{~g}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude (dark blue-green solid) was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $16-40 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether to afford an orange amorphous solid ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=3.11 \mathrm{~min}$, $m / z 386.22(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-((dimethylamino)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (12). A solution of ethyl 2-((tosyloxy)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylate $(62.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.161 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethylamine $(2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) $(0.080 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.161 mmol ) was heated under microwave irradiation at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 $h$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with EtOAc , and washed with water $(1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil $(42 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.57$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Aqueous NaOH solution ( $1.04 \mathrm{M}, 0.304 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-((dimethylamino)methyl)quinoline-6carboxylate $(41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.159 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.3$ mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. A further portion of water ( 0.5 mL ) and aqueous NaOH ( 1.15 M , $0.276 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated to remove the organic solvents, diluted with water, and washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified to $\sim \mathrm{pH} 3$ with aqueous HCl solution $(2 \mathrm{M})$ and then concentrated to afford the title compound as a crude pale yellow solid, which was carried onto the next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 12.11 (br s, 1H), $8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{LCMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=0.82$ $\min , m / z 231.11(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

HATU ( $72.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.189 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-((dimethylamino)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.151$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.111 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.634 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 1.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min before $N$-(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.113 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The residue was purified by column using a gradient of $4-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford the title compound as an off-white amorphous solid ( 24 mg , $30 \%$ over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{td}, J=5.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.39, 164.82, 162.29, 148.58, 146.81, 143.39, 137.90, 137.78, 136.69, 132.42, 130.60, 129.22, 129.18, 128.63, 128.42, 128.14, 126.70, 122.25, 121.65, 119.06, 118.65, 117.30, 117.12, 66.13, 64.86, 64.48, 45.88, 17.93. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 497.2183; found 497.2183.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (15). 1-Methylpiperazine ( $0.058 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.519 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-((tosyloxy)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylate (80.0
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.208 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, stirred for 2 h , and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(1 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(1 \times$ 2 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound as a yellow amorphous solid, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(64 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 314.1863; found 314.1871.

Aqueous NaOH solution ( $0.82 \mathrm{M}, 0.735 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.603 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxylate $(63.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.201 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated to remove the organic solvents, diluted with water, and washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified with aqueous HCl solution $(2 \mathrm{M})$ to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ and then concentrated to dryness. The crude product (light brown amorphous solid) was carried onto the next step without further purification ( 114 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 13.33($ br s, 1 H$), 11.77$ (br s, 1H), 8.72 (d, $J=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-$ $3.45($ br m, 8 H$), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ (hydrochloric acid salt). HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 286.1550$; found 286.1553 .

HATU $(68.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of 2-( $(4-$ methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( 81.0 mg , 0.142 mmol ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.131 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.748$ mmol ) in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 min before N -(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]$[1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide $(34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of 5-18\% MeOH in DCM to afford the title compound as an off-white amorphous solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.50-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.37,164.82,161.97,148.63,146.81,143.39,137.93$, 137.78, 136.69, 132.39, 130.61, 129.23, 129.16, 128.64, 128.42, 128.14, 126.69, 122.22, 121.66, 119.07, 118.65, 117.30, 117.12, 64.86, 64.49, 55.18, 53.35, 46.19, 40.89, 17.93. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 552.2605$; found 552.2591.

Synthetic Route III. N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-methylphenyl)-2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)-quinoline-6-carboxamide (17). 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperidine ( 0.197 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 57.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.42 mmol ) in anhydrous THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 35 min before 6-bromo-2-chloroquinoline ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24$ mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux. After 4.5 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with first water and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. This mixture was extracted with DCM three times. The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $4-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to give 6-bromo-2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline as a pale yellow oil $(334 \mathrm{mg}$, $81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-$ $7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{t}, J$
$=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-0.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$335.0754, found 335.0787.
$n$-BuLi ( 1.62 M in hexanes, $0.742 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 6-bromo-2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 0.969 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(3.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min before solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was added. After stirring for 5 min , the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water and reduced in vacuo to remove the THF. The remaining residue was diluted with water and washed with ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH 3 by the addition of aqueous 2 M HCl and concentrated to dryness to give the product as an off-white amorphous solid. The product, 2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride, was used in the next synthetic step without further purification ( 301 mg , contains $\mathrm{LiCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.01$ (tdd, $J=12.3,9.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.74-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{ddt}, J=12.7,8.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$301.1547, found 301.1534.

HATU $(111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.293 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( 93.0 mg , contains LiCl, purity $85 \%$ ) and DIPEA ( $0.174 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.997$ mmol ) in anhydrous DMF ( 1.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min before N -(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]$[1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $3.5-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford the title compound as a white amorphous solid ( $75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50$ $(\mathrm{p}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.39,164.81,163.15$, 148.00, 146.80, 143.39, 140.71, 137.75, 136.79, 130.57, 130.52, 129.23, 128.88, 128.57, 128.15, 127.21, 124.48, 121.65, 119.08, $118.58,117.30,117.12,114.47,64.86,64.48,63.73,57.50,54.76$, 25.95, 24.30, 17.95. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 567.2602, found 567.2635.

2-(2-(Piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic Acid. 1-Piperidinepropanol $(0.235 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 59.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 40 min before 6-bromo-2chloroquinoline ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux. After 6 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated to remove the THF. The remaining residue was diluted with water first and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. This mixture was extracted with DCM three times. The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $2.5-6 \%$ of MeOH in DCM to give 6-bromo-2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline as a pale yellow oil that solidified ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-$ $7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 351.0893, found 351.0883 .
$n$-BuLi ( 1.56 M in hexanes, $0.732 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 6-bromo-2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline ( $319 \mathrm{mg}, 0.913 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(3.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min before
solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was added. After stirring for 5 min , the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water and reduced in vacuo to remove the THF. The remaining residue was diluted with water and washed with ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH 3 by the addition of aqueous 2 M HCl and concentrated to dryness to give the product as an off-white amorphous solid. The product, 2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride, was used in the next synthetic step without further purification ( 347 mg , contains $\mathrm{LiCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.75-1.55 (m, 4H), 1.47 (s, 2H). HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$315.1703, found 315.1686.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide. HATU ( $89.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.234 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( $76.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.188 \mathrm{mmol}$, contains LiCl ) and DIPEA ( 0.139 mL , $0.797 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF $(1.35 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min before $N$-(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide $(40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $2.5-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to give the title compound as an off-white amorphous solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-$ $7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-$ $4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 165.38, 164.81, 163.24, 148.04, 146.80, 143.39, 140.69, 137.75, 136.78, 130.57, 130.51, 129.23, 128.89, 128.60, 128.14, 127.17, 124.47, 121.65, 119.09, 118.59, 117.30, 117.12, 114.41, 64.86, 64.66, 64.48, 55.57, 54.13, 25.76, 25.45, 23.47, 17.94. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$581.2759, found 581.2712.

1-(3-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic Acid. Pyrrolidine $(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of potassium carbonate ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 9.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-bromopropanol ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.19$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was then diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered through a pad of silica gel. The filtrate was concentrated to give 3-(pyrrolidin-1yl) propan-1-ol as a colorless oil ( $645 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.88-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 59 \mathrm{mg}, 1.476 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propan-1-ol ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 1.540 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min , then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 35 min before 6-bromo2 -chloroquinoline ( $311 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux. After 3.5 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated to remove the THF. The remaining residue was diluted with water first and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. This mixture was extracted with DCM three times. The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $3-6 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to give 6-bromo-2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl) propoxy)quinoline as an off-white amorphous solid ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 335.0754$, found 335.0763.
$n$-BuLi (2.22 M in hexanes, $0.472 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.048 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a solution of 6-bromo-2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline ( $281 \mathrm{mg}, 0.838 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min before solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was added. After stirring for 5 min , the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water and reduced in vacuo to remove the THF. The remaining residue was diluted with water and washed with ethyl acetate. The precipitate was carried through in the aqueous layer. The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH 3 by the addition of aqueous 2 M HCl . At this point, the precipitate dissolved and the solution was concentrated to dryness. The crude product was triturated with acetonitrile and dried to give the product as a dull yellow solid. The product, 2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride, was used in the next synthetic step without further purification ( 298 mg , contains LiCl ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52-8.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{q}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.08-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.93-1.79 (m, 2H). HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 301.15467, found 301.1501.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide. HATU ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.319 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( 100 mg , contains LiCl ) and DIPEA ( $0.190 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.085 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min before $N$-(3-amino-4-methylphenyl)2,3-dihydrobenzo [b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamide $(54.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.192 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by anhydrous DMF ( 1.5 mL ) to rinse the vial. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water, and the resulting precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with water. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $5-18 \%$ MeOH in DCM to afford the title compound as an off-white amorphous solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{br}$ s, 4 H$), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{p}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 164.95, 164.36, 162.86, 147.62, 146.35, 142.94, 140.21, 137.31, 136.34, 130.12, 130.03, 128.78, 128.42, 128.15, 127.69, 126.73, 124.01, 121.21, 118.63, 118.13, 116.85, 116.67, 113.98, 64.40, 64.33, 64.03, 53.59, 52.24, 27.57, 23.07, 17.49. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$567.2602, found 567.2698.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide. 2-Fluoro-5-nitroaniline $(27.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1yl)ethoxy) quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and EDC ( $67.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.349 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine $(0.070 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.873 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. Purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM afforded the desired product N -(2-fluoro-5-nitrophenyl)-2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)-quinoline-6-carboxamide as a pale yellow solid ( 40 mg ). LCMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=1.13 \mathrm{~min}, m / z 425.16(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

N -(2-fluoro-5-nitrophenyl)-2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline6 -carboxamide ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium chloride $(35.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$, and iron powder ( $36.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in a mixture of ethanol ( 1.5 mL ) and water ( 0.5 mL ), and the resulting mixture was heated at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through celite. The solvents were removed under vacuo to afford N -(5-amino-2-fluorophenyl)-2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide
as a light beige solid $(37.2 \mathrm{mg})$. LCMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right): t_{\mathrm{R}}=0.79 \mathrm{~min}, m / z$ $395.19(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

N-(5-Amino-2-fluorophenyl)-2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)-quinoline-6-carboxamide ( $37.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,3-dihydrobenzo$[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxylic acid ( $16.90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC $(45.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.235 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in anhydrous DMF $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$; then, pyridine ( $0.038 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.469 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ and washed with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford a pale yellow solid as a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. This residue was then repurified via semipreparative TLC (DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1)$ to afford the title compound as a white amorphous solid ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 9.3 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 8.48$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.85 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.17$, $2.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.81,2.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.17 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.17,2.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\operatorname{app~t}, J=9.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.85$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=5.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-$ $4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, J=5.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-$ $1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD)} \delta 166.91,166.59$, 163.24, 152.49 (d, $J=249.95 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.40, 147.13, 143.51, 139.86, $134.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.65,127.92,127.43,127.03,125.60$, 125.41 (d, $J=11.60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 124.46, 120.76, $119.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.28 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $119.03,116.81,116.64,115.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=18.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.86,64.54$, 64.15, 64.08, 54.37, 54.13, 22.80. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 557.2195 ; found 557.2196 .
N-(3-Amino-4-chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6carboxamide. Oxalyl chloride ( $0.141 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 1,4-benzodioxane-6-carboxylic acid ( 250 mg , 1.39 mmol ) and $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 7 mL ) under an inert atmosphere at room temperature. Effervescence was observed, and the reaction was stirred for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, anhydrous DCM was added $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction was concentrated again. The residue was redissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 3 mL , followed by 3 mL , then 1 mL to rinse out the flask) and added dropwise to a solution of 4-chloro-3-nitroaniline ( $239 \mathrm{mg}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine $(0.22 \mathrm{~mL}$, $2.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 7 mL ). The reaction was stirred for 4 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting residue was taken up in a small volume of MeOH . The solid was precipitated by the addition of water. The precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed well with water, and dried under high vacuum to afford the product as a dark yellow amorphous solid ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ).

A mixture of N -(4-chloro-3-nitrophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]$[1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 0.562 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium chloride ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 3.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and iron powder ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 3.93$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(2.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.95 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux overnight. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through celite, eluting with a mixture of EtOH in EtOAc. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was partitioned between saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product as a light brown amorphous solid ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ).

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide. 2-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethoxy)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride $(75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in thionyl chloride $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, and the thionyl chloride was removed in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in anhydrous DCM, and then the solvent was removed in vacuo. This procedure was repeated twice. The acid chloride was resuspended in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ), then N -(3-amino-4-chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4] dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $78.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.256 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by triethylamine $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Not all of the reagents were fully solubilized; therefore, anhydrous dioxane was added $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$; however, this did not lead to an improvement. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight.

The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the resulting residue was purified by Isolute SCX-II chromatography (eluting with MeOH , followed by $10 \% 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ). The crude product was further purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM , followed by purification using preparative TLC eluting with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The preparative TLC elution was carried out twice to afford the title compound as a white amorphous solid ( $0.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-$ $4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$573.1905, found 573.1997.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide. $N$-(3-Amino-4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.347 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propoxy)-quinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 0.520 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC (166 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.867 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 2 mL ), and pyridine ( $0.140 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 72 h , then it was poured onto water, and the resulting precipitate was washed with water. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, washed with water, and triturated in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a beige amorphous solid ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 10.32 (br s, 1 H$), 10.19($ br s, 1 H$), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41$ (d, $J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.84,2.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (dd, $J=8.84$, $2.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.11,2.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=$ $10.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.21-2.09 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.80 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 165.50,164.95,163.36,151.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.33 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 148.19, 146.91, 143.41, 140.75, 135.88 (d, $J=2.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.87, 128.95, 128.90, 127.93, 127.25, 125.88 (d, $J=12.81 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 124.44, $121.72,119.30,119.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.61 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.36,117.16,116.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 19.22 Hz ), 114.49, 64.88, 64.63, 64.49, 53.88, 52.50, 27.57, 23.47. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 571.2351$; found 571.2321.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-(3-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)quinoline-6-carboxamide. N -(3-Amino-4-fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.347 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-(2-(piperidin-1-yl)propoxy)-quinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC ( 166 mg , 0.867 mmol ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 2 mL ), and pyridine ( $0.140 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.734 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 72 h , then it was poured onto water, and the resulting precipitate was washed with water and purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \%$ MeOH in DCM, followed by washing in water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a beige amorphous solid $(40 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.32$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19($ br s, 1 H$), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.79 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 8.22 (dd, $J=8.79,1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (dd, $J=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.34 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.76$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.21,1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.96 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-1.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.51,164.97,163.26$, $152.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=242.81 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.18,146.91,143.41,140.77,135.87$ (d, $J=2.19 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.87,128.96,128.89,127.92,127.25,125.88$ (d, $J=$ $14.51 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.45,121.72,119.33,119.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.29 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.36$, $117.16,116.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.73 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.48,64.87,64.59,64.49,55.03$, 53.82, 25.40, 24.99, 23.67. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 585.2508; found 585.2485.

Synthetic Route IV. 2-Methyl-N-(2-methyl-5-nitrophenyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. To a suspension of 2-methylquinoline-6carboxylic acid ( $3.69 \mathrm{~g}, 19.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 35 mL ),
oxalyl chloride ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF ( $310 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise and the resulting green solution was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h after which it was concentrated under vacuum to afford a dry pale green solid. The solid was dissolved in pyridine ( 35 mL ), and 2-methyl-5-nitroaniline ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and was allowed to stir for 2 h . The reaction mixture was reduced in vacuo until dryness. The remaining residue was triturated with diethyl ether. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel in gradient DCM/EtOH $0-50 \%$ to afford the title compound as a yellow amorphous solid ( $5.57 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.54,161.05,146.20$, 144.90, 143.38, 142.15, 137.76, 132.04, 130.08, 129.93, 129.31, 126.62, 126.09, 124.16, 121.00, 120.94, 23.98, 18.82. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NaN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 344.1006; found 344.0999.

N-(5-Amino-2-methylphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. 2-Methyl-N-(2-methyl-5-nitrophenyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide $(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.45 \mathrm{mmol})$, iron powder $(6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 124.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ammonium chloride ( $2.12 \mathrm{~g}, 124.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 50 mL ) and water $(12.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were allowed to stir at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and was filtered through a short pad of celite. The eluate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the title compound as a light yellow amorphous solid, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(1.95 \mathrm{~g}$, $54 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61-8.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.70 (s, 3H), $2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 165.18, 161.09, 148.86, 147.31, 137.58, 137.01, 132.25, 130.87, 128.72, 128.49, 128.38, 125.84, 123.38, 120.72, 112.75, 112.61, 25.49, 17.50. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 292.1444; found 292.1446 .

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. 2-Methyl-6-quinolinecarboxylic acid ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 3.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and N -(5-amino-2-methylphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $910 \mathrm{mg}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in anhydrous DMF ( 25 mL ), and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature under an inert atmosphere overnight. The reaction mixture was poured onto water, and the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with water to afford the title compound as an offwhite amorphous solid ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.44,164.82,161.21,148.93,146.80,143.39$, 137.77, 137.62, 136.74, 131.97, 130.59, 129.23, 128.81, 128.64, 128.38, 128.14, 125.86, 123.44, 121.66, 119.07, 118.63, 117.30, 117.12, 64.86, 64.48, 25.51, 17.94. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 454.1761$; found 454.1733 .
$N$-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-methylphenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $0.165 \mathrm{~g}, 0.364 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and selenium dioxide ( $0.444 \mathrm{~g}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous DMF $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h after which the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with DCM, and filtered through a pad of celite. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to afford the crude product as a brown solid, which was taken directly onto the next step without purification ( 0.17 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81-8.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.29,1.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-8.12(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.29,2.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.29$, $2.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.35-4.28 (m, 4H), 2.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (14). A solution of N -(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-methylphenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124$ mmol ) and piperidine ( $31.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.372 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir at room temperature for 7 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.372 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion at room temperature and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir under an inert argon atmosphere for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM ( 5 mL ), washed with brine $(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \%$ MeOH in DCM afforded the title compound as a pale yellow solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.46,164.94,163.18,153.31,151.37$, 148.11, 146.91, 143.41, 140.84, 135.86, 129.96, 129.00, 128.92, 127.92, 127.24, 125.92, 125.81, 124.50, 121.72, 119.34, 119.11, 117.34, 117.17, 116.07, 115.91, 114.41, 64.87, 64.49, 63.99, 53.66, 52.05, 23.28. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 559.2316; found 559.2325.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-meth-ylphenyl)-2-((4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (16). To a solution of N -(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-methylphenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.364 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM, 1-isopropylpiperazine $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at room temperature and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir under an inert argon atmosphere for 2.5 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( 231 mg , 1.09 mmol ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a brown oil as a crude product. Purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM afforded the title compound as a beige solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65-8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.90-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 165.37,164.82,162.08,148.63,146.81$, 143.39, 137.89, 137.78, 136.69, 132.37, 130.60, 129.22, 129.15, 128.63, 128.41, 128.14, 128.09, 126.69, 122.21, 121.66, 119.06, 118.64, 117.30, 117.12, 64.92, 64.86, 64.49, 54.05, 53.94, 48.47, 31.16, 28.11, 18.71, 17.93. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 580.2918; found 580.2896.

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide. To a suspension of 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 40 mL ), DMF ( $1.40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $0.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise and the resulting green solution was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , after which it was concentrated under vacuum to afford a dry pale green solid. The solid was dissolved in pyridine ( 40 mL ), and 2-chloro-5nitroaniline ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 7.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The resulting dark yellow suspension was allowed to stir for 2 h , after
which it was poured onto water and the yellow precipitate was filtered and washed several times with water, diethyl ether, and finally with a minimum amount of DCM to afford the product as a yellow amorphous solid, which was used without further purification $(2.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $88 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25$ (dd, $J=8.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (d, $J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ (s, 3H). HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 342.0640; found 342.0646 .

N -(2-Chloro-5-nitrophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide was suspended in water ( 7 mL ) and $\mathrm{EtOH}(21 \mathrm{~mL})$. Ammonium chloride $(2.41 \mathrm{~g}, 45.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and iron powder $(2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 45.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with MeOH and DCM , and filtered through a pad of celite. The resulting filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to afford a light brown amorphous solid as a crude product, which was used directly in the next step without purification $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 312.0898$; found 312.0902.

2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxylic acid (1.27 g, 7.06 mmol ) was suspended in anhydrous DCM ( 20 mL ), and DMF ( 1.23 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise, and the resulting green solution was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h after which it was concentrated under vacuum to afford a dry pale green solid. The solid was dissolved in pyridine ( 20.0 mL ), and N -(5-amino-2-chlorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion. The resulting dark yellow suspension was allowed to stir for 2 h after which it was poured onto water, and the yellow precipitate was filtered and washed several times with water, diethyl ether, and finally with a minimum amount of DCM to afford the crude product as a pale yellow amorphous solid, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(1.86 \mathrm{~g}$, $61 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.75 (dd, $J=8.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 474.1215$; found 474.1210.
A solution of $N$-(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo [b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 0.500 g , 1.06 mmol ) and selenium dioxide ( $0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 12.0 mL ) and 1,4 -dioxane ( 12.0 mL ) was heated at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . A further portion of selenium dioxide $(0.129 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture and stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with DCM, and filtered through a pad of celite. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to afford the crude product as a yellow amorphous solid, which was carried onto the next step without purification $(0.515 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.45$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81-8.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.42-8.34(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 8.19 (app t, $J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-$ $7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.99 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.13,1.99 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI'): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 488.1013; found 488.1012.
$N$-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide (18). A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-isopropylpiperazine $(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 12.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 35 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( 2.61 g , 12.3 mmol ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 35 mL ) and extracted with a
$\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 35 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product (pale yellow solid) was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( 0.830 g , $34 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.33$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.28 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.20-8.04 (m, 2H), 7.81-7.64 (m, 2H), 7.62-7.46 (m, 3H), 7.00 (d, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $43.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.45 (br s, 4H), 0.99 (s, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.45,165.11,161.95,148.75,147.03,143.43,139.06$, 138.02, 135.35, 131.83, 129.81, 129.29, 128.89, 128.37, 127.76, 126.70, 124.04, 122.31, 121.80, 120.31, 119.72, 117.37, 117.23, 64.88, 64.49, 60.05, 53.76, 48.34, 18.44. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 600.2372$; found 600.2336 .

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (19). A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ -dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 0.615 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(tert-butyl)piperazine ( $0.262 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85$ mmol) in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $0.391 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with a DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product (pale yellow solid) was purified by column chromatography $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford tert-butyl 4-((6-((2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl) carbamoyl) quinolin-2-yl)methyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate as a beige solid $(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.34$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.03$ (br s, 9H). To a suspension of tert-butyl 4-((6-((2-chloro-5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine- 6 -carboxamido) phenyl) carbamoyl)-quinolin-2-yl)methyl) piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $674 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 10 mL ), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.2$ mmol ) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum to afford the crude product as a light brown oil. The crude was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-15 \%$ MeOH in DCM, followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a yellow amorphous solid ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 6.8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})(1$ proton missing). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.00, 164.64, $161.79,148.29,146.57,142.97,138.58,137.45,134.89,131.32$, 129.36, 128.81, 128.39, 127.85, 127.31, 126.21, 123.54, 121.85, 121.32, 119.81, 119.23, 116.92, 116.74, 65.11, 64.42, 64.03, 54.26, 45.54. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$558.1903, found 558.1885.
N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide (20). A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.205 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-methylpiperazine ( $61.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.615 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.615 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product (brown oil) was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford the desired product as a white amorphous solid ( $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO-
$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (dd, $J=8.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.57-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.48, 165.11, 162.14, 148.72, 147.03, 143.44, 139.02, 138.00, 129.80, 129.24, 128.83, 128.41, 127.79, 126.70, 124.01, 122.27, 121.80, 120.25, 119.56, 117.39, 117.22, 117.22, 64.89, 64.84, 64.49, 55.21, 53.40, 46.24. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 572.2059$; found 572.2031.

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline6 -carboxamide (21). A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo-[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1 -ethylpiperazine ( $723 \mathrm{mg}, 6.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 20 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 6.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 20 mL ) and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by purification by Isolute SCX-II chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to afford the title compound as a white amorphous solid $(0.431 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.62-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.45,165.11,162.24,148.74,147.03$, 143.43, 139.05, 138.01, 135.34, 131.83, 129.82, 129.29, 128.88, 128.36, 127.77, 126.70, 124.02, 122.32, 121.79, 120.29, 119.71, 117.38, 117.21, 64.88, 64.49, 54.09, 53.86, 48.38, 18.71. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 586.2216; found 586.2189.

2-((4-(tert-Butyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)-N-(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)quinoline-6carboxamide. A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][ 1,4 ]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.615 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(tert-butyl) piperazine ( 262 mg , $1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $391 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85$ mmol ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product (pale yellow solid) was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM followed by washing in water and trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a beige amorphous solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.60,2.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.35$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.82,2.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-$ $2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.46, 165.11, 162.21, 148.75, 147.03, 143.43, 139.05, 137.95, 135.35, 131.79, 129.82, 129.28, 128.86, 128.33, 127.77, 126.69, 124.00, 122.29, 121.79, 120.27, 119.69, 117.38, 117.21, 64.88, 64.76, 64.49, 54.13, 45.77, 26.05. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 614.2529; found 614.2502.

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-ethyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. A suspension of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.211 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1 -ethyl-1,4-diazepane ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.844 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 4.5 mL sonication and a large volume of DCM were used in an attempt to fully solubilize the starting material) was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( 179 mg ,
0.844 mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with a mixture of $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM; the combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. This crude product was purified by Biotage chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM with a $\mathrm{KPNH}_{2}$ column to afford a yellow oil. This material was further purified by Isolute SCX-II column chromatography (eluting with MeOH , followed by $10 \%$ of 2 M $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to give a yellow gum. Finally, the gum was triturated with diethyl ether to afford a yellow amorphous solid ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}-$ hidden under DMSO peak, observed by HSQC), $1.75(\mathrm{p}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.47,165.11$, 163.34, 148.72, 147.03, 143.43, 139.04, 137.91, 135.36, 131.73, 129.82, 129.26, 128.84, 128.29, 127.77, 126.67, 124.00, 122.20, 121.79, 120.27, 119.68, 117.38, 117.21, 64.88, 64.71, 64.49, 55.71, 54.81, 54.60, 53.77, 51.92, 27.75, 13.03. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 600.2372$, found 600.2351 .

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-cyclopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine- 6 -carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 0.523 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-cyclopropylpiperazine ( $0.189 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.568 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $332 \mathrm{mg}, 1.568 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture ( $3 \times$ 5 mL ). The crude product (brown solid) was purified by two rounds of column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.83$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.57 (br s, 4H), 2.43 (br s, 4H), 1.63-1.58 (m, 1H), 0.42-0.37 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.30-0.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.47, $165.12,162.22,148.75,147.05,143.45,139.06,138.01,135.36$, 131.81, 129.84, 129.30, 128.87, 128.34, 127.78, 126.70, 124.02, 122.31, 121.80, 120.29, 119.70, 117.39, 117.22, 64.88, 64.49, 53.46, 53.25, 30.89, 6.09. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{33}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+$ H) ${ }^{+}$, 598.2216; found 598.2210.

2-((4-(sec-Butyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)-N-(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)quinoline-6carboxamide. A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][ 1,4 ] dioxine-6-carboxamido) phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 0.523 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(sec-butyl) piperazine $(223 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $332 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57$ mmol ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product (brown solid) was purified by two rounds of column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 2.87-2.17 (m, 9H), 1.51 (br s, 1H), 1.28 (br s, 1H), 0.95 (br s,

3H), $0.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.46, 165.11, 162.20, 148.75, 147.04, 143.44, 139.05, 137.98, 135.35, 131.80, 129.83, 129.29, 128.88, 128.34, 127.77, 126.69, 124.01, 122.30, 121.80, 120.28, 119.70, 117.39, 117.22, 64.89, 64.49, $60.25,53.91,48.15,26.05,14.08,11.51$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 614.2529$; found 614.2495 .
(R)-N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-ethyl-2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. A suspension of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamido) phenyl)-2-formylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $R$ )-tert-butyl 3-methylpiperazine-1-carboxylate ( $0.993 \mathrm{~g}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 24 mL ) was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $1.05 \mathrm{mg}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with a mixture of $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM; the combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by Biotage chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \%$ MeOH in DCM to afford the product as a yellow semisolid ( 560 mg , $34 \%)$. (R)-tert-Butyl 4-((6-((2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl) carbamoyl) quinolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-methylpiperazine-1-carboxylate ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in anhydrous DCM ( 8 mL ), and TFA ( $0.319 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h , after which time the starting material was still observed by LCMS. Therefore, further TFA ( $0.319 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was left to stir for 18 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo, and the resulting solid was taken up in $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The organic layer was washed twice with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, followed by water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The material was partially purified by Isolute SCX-II column chromatography (eluting with MeOH , followed by $10 \%$ of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to afford the crude product as a yellow solid ( $272 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 73 \%$ purity).

To a solution of ( $R$ )-N-(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous MeOH $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sodium cyanoborohydride $(8.82 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.140 mmol ) in one portion. Acetaldehyde ( $5.02 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added as a cooled solution $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise for 2 min . The reaction was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature and left to stir for 48 h . The MeOH was removed in vacuo, and the resulting residue was taken up in $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The organic layer was washed with 1 M NaOH aqueous solution and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The resulting residue was purified by Biotage chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM and then further purified by Isolute SCX-II chromatography (eluting with MeOH , followed by $10 \%$ of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to afford the product as a yellow amorphous solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ (br. d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.53-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.05,164.92,163.62,149.06,146.96,143.58$, 137.94, 137.18, 134.67, 131.65, 130.02, 129.51, 127.79, 127.74, 126.72, 122.27, 120.48, 117.81, 117.46, 116.89, 116.79, 112.45, 77.23, 64.58, 64.19, 60.73, 60.66, 55.85, 53.00, 52.58, 52.26, 17.84, 11.91. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 600.2327$, found 600.2352 .

2-(Azetidin-1-ylmethyl)-N-(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6carboxamido) phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 300 mg ,
$0.615 \mathrm{mmol})$ and azetidine ( $0.124 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 2.0 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $391 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 2 mL ) and extracted with a mixture of DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM and then washed with water and triturated with diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( 20 mg , $6 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{qn}, J=7.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.47, 165.11, 161.47, 148.75, 147.04, 143.43, 139.05, 138.09, 135.35, 131.80, 129.83, 129.27, 128.90, 128.41, 127.77, 126.62, 124.02, 121.91, 121.80, 120.28, 119.70, 117.39, 117.22, 65.18, 64.89, 64.49, 55.42, 49.06, 17.92. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 529.1637; found 529.1616.

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.615 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyrrolidine ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $391 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The resulting mixture was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution ( 2 mL ) and extracted with a mixture of DCM/MeOH 9/1 $(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM , then washed with water and triturated with diethyl ether to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.98,2.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.56-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.49,165.11,162.75,148.72,147.04,143.44$, $139.05,137.98,135.36,131.77,129.83,129.30,128.86,128.33$, 127.77, 126.66, 124.01, 122.29, 121.79, 120.27, 119.69, 117.39, 117.22, 64.89, 64.49, 62.45, 54.29, 23.77. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 543.1794; found 543.1778.
$N$-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((3-methylazetidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline6 -carboxamide. A solution of 3-methylazetidine hydrochloride (33.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.307 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirring solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine6 -carboxamido) phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 50 mg , 0.102 mmol ) in anhydrous DCM ( 1.5 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 5.5 h , then $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(65.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.307$ mmol ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with $3 \times 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by Biotage chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford a yellow gum. This gum was further purified by Isolute SCX-II chromatography (eluting with MeOH and then $10 \%$ of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to afford the title product as a yellow amorphous solid ( $16.5 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (dd, $J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (d, $J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $14.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$543.1794, found 543.1769.

N-(2-Chloro-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((3,3-dimethylazetidin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. 3,3-Dimethylazetidine ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.646$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirring solution of N -(2-chloro-5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamido) phenyl)-2-formylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.431 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 1.5 mL ) at room temperature under an inert atmosphere. The reaction was stirred for 1 h . Then, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.646 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 4 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL})$; the combined organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM to afford a yellow gum. This gum was further purified by Isolute SCX-II chromatography (eluting with MeOH and then $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH ) to afford the title product as a yellow amorphous solid ( $16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70-8.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ (dd, $J=9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-8.09$ (m, 2H), 7.74 (dd, $J=$ 8.8, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) . \delta$ HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30}{ }^{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$559.1937, found 559.1921.
2-(Azetidin-1-ylmethyl)-N-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. To a solution of N -(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212$ mmol ) in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ), azetidine ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at room temperature, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride (135 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with brine ( 2 mL ) and purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+1 \%$ $7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford the title compound as a white amorphous solid ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.59$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.24 (dd, $J=10.1,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{qn}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta$ 166.69, 166.60, 160.42, 152.36 (d, $J=248.54 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.53, 147.10, 143.47, 138.18, $134.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.22$ Hz), 131.94, 128.36, 128.18, 127.96, 127.38, 126.66, 125.28 (d, $J=$ $11.11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.58,120.75,119.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.67 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.95,116.79$, 116.64, $115.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.01 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.53,64.13,55.13,29.36,17.33$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 513.1933; found 513.1930.
$N$-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. To a solution of N -(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212$ mmol ) in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ), pyrrolidine ( $53 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.636 mmol ) was added dropwise at room temperature, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with brine ( 2 mL ) and purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM $+1 \% 7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (ddd, $J=8.9,4.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $2.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 165.52,164.96,162.79,152.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=245.73 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.72$, 146.93, 143.43, 137.98, 135.93 (d, $J=2.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.72, 129.23, 128.97, 128.44, 127.93, 126.62, $125.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.69 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.28$, 121.73, 119.27, $119.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.36,117.16,116.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
20.87 Hz ), $64.88,64.50,62.47,54.29$, 23.77. $\mathrm{HRMS}^{(E S I}{ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 527.2089; found 527.2074.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. To a solution of N -(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212$ mmol ) in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ), piperidine ( $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.636$ mmol ) was added dropwise at room temperature, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir under an inert argon atmosphere for 7 h . Then, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with brine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+1 \% 7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.39$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.18 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=30.3$, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{br}$ s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.49, 164.94, 162.63, $152.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=248.13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.72,146.91,143.41,137.92$, 135.89 (d, $J=2.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.68, 129.18, 128.96, 128.41, 127.92, 126.62, 125.81 (d, $J=10.90 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.19,121.71,119.35,119.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.17 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $117.35,117.15,116.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.79 \mathrm{~Hz}), 65.65,64.87,64.49,54.79$, 26.09, 24.29. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 541.2246; found 541.2242.

N -(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-((2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. 2-Fluoro-5-nitroaniline ( $31.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-((2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid hydrochloride ( $62.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.406 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 2 mL ), and pyridine ( $0.082 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02$ mmol ) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h . The reaction mixture was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution, extracted with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+1 \% 7$ $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford the product as a yellow solid, which was carried directly onto the next step ( 83 mg ). LCMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): $m / z=$ 409.1655, $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. N-(2-Fluoro-5-nitrophenyl)-2-((2-methylpyrro-lidin-1-yl)methyl) quinoline-6-carboxamide ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium chloride ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and iron powder ( 79 mg , 1.42 mmol ) were combined and suspended in EtOH ( 3 mL ) and water ( 1 mL ) at room temperature, affording a beige suspension, which was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a pad of celite to remove the iron (eluting with $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The solvents were then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dried to afford a pale beige solid as a crude product, which was taken onto the next step without purification ( 77 mg ). LCMS ( $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$), $m / z=379.1918$, $(\mathrm{M}+$ H) ${ }^{+}$.

N -(5-Amino-2-fluorophenyl)-2-((2-methylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b] [ 1,4$]$ dioxine-6-carboxylic acid ( $36.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.509 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1.5 mL ); then, pyridine ( $82 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The reaction mixture was washed with water ( 2 mL ) and extracted with a DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to afford a pale yellow solid as a crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+1 \% 7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH to afford a yellow solid as a semicrude product, which was then repurified by semipreparative TLC ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM) to afford the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.58 (ddd, $J=8.9,4.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.1,7.6,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.64(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.5,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{q}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ (dtd, $J=12.5,9.1,7.9,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{tdd}, J=9.9$, $7.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{MeOD}) ~ \delta 166.70,166.61,165.65,162.27,152.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 148.38, 147.12, 143.49, 137.99, $134.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.93, 128.19, 127.94, 127.40, 126.73, 125.31 (d, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.37, 120.76, 119.54 (d, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 118.93, 116.80, 116.65, 115.23 (d, $J=$ 19.1 Hz ), 64.53, 64.14, 60.40, 59.89, 54.17, 32.13, 21.14, 17.67. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 541.2246 ; found 541.2236.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-((3-methylazetidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo [b] [1,4]dioxine-6-carbox-amido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 0.25 g , 0.530 mmol ) and 3-methylazetidine ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 1.591 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 4 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $0.337 \mathrm{~g}, 1.591 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by further purification by Isolute SCX-II cartridge with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, afforded the title compound as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.08$ (m, 2H), 7.64 (ddd, $J=7.6,4.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.38-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.48$, 164.95, 161.35, 152.37 (d, $J=244.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.71, 146.91, 143.41, 138.17, $135.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 131.77, 129.20, 129.02, 128.56, 127.93, 126.60, $125.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.89,121.72,119.28$, 119.23 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 117.35, 117.17, $116.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 66.85$, 64.88, 64.49, 25.90, 21.53, 19.29. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 527.2068; found 527.2089.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-((3,3-dimethylazetidin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine- 6 -carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.530 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3,3-dimethylazetidine ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(0.337 \mathrm{~g}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by further purification by Isolute SCX-II cartridge with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, afforded the title compound as a pale yellow solid ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-$ $7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.46, 164.95, 161.71, 152.28 (d, $J=250.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.68, 146.92, 143.41, $138.23,135.90,135.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.83,129.20,129.03$, 128.61, 127.92, 126.62, $125.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 121.88, 121.72, $119.28,119.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.35,117.16,116.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.0$ Hz ), 66.80, 64.88, 64.49, 32.19, 27.33. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 541.2246; found 541.2240 .
N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 0.541$ mmol ) and tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $302 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated
aqueous solution ( 5 mL ) and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The crude product (pale yellow solid) was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-6 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford the desired product as a pale beige amorphous solid ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.19-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,4.3$, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.46, 164.94, 161.81, 154.27, 152.26 (d, $J=245.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.76, 146.91, 143.41, 138.07, 135.89 (d, $J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.80, 129.23, 128.99, 128.49, 127.92, 126.66, 125.83 (d, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.30, 121.71, 119.27, $119.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.89 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.35,117.15,116.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 79.26,64.87,64.69,64.49,53.18$, 28.52.

To a suspension of tert-butyl 4-((6-((5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]-dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl) carbamoyl)quinolin-2-yl)-methyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.468 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ), TFA ( $0.179 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.338 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product as a light brown oil. The crude was purified by column chromatography using a gradient of $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM followed by trituration in diethyl ether to afford the title compound as an amorphous white solid ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 68.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73$ (br s, 1H), 8.67 (d, J $=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (dd, $J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.76-2.66 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 164.98$, 164.50, 160.59, 151.87 (d, $J=243.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.27, 146.47, 142.96, 137.76, $135.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.45,128.79,128.57,128.13$, 127.46, 126.25, $125.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.95,121.26,118.86$, $118.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.90,116.70,115.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.42$, 64.04, 63.67, 49.45, 43.03. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (M $+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 542.2198$; found 542.2190 .

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-((4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo [b][1,4]dioxine-6-carbox-amido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide (5.15 g, 10.92 mmol ) and 1 -methylpiperazine ( $3.28 \mathrm{~g}, 32.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 90 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 32.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous saturated solution ( 50 mL ) and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM followed by washing in water and trituration in diethyl ether afforded the title compound as an amorphous white solid ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14$ (dd, $J=7.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29($ app $\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.37-4.27 (m, 4H), 3.79 (s, 2H), 2.54-2.27 (m, 8H), 2.16 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.49,164.96,162.20$, $152.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.33 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.74,146.93,143.42,138.01,135.89(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.33 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.75,129.22,128.99,128.46,127.93,126.66,125.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.28,121.73,119.31,119.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 117.36, 117.17, 116.04 (d, $J=20.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 64.87, 64.49, 55.21, 53.41, 46.24. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 556.2355 ; found 556.2329.

2-((4-(sec-Butyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)-N-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo-[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of N -(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine- 6 -carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide
$(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-(sec-butyl)piperazine ( $0.103 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.636$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 2 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 $h$, after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5$ mL ) aqueous saturated solution and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ $9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM followed by trituration in diethyl ether afforded the title compound as an amorphous pale pink solid ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.68-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-$ $4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91($ br s, 3 H$), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.97 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.49,164.96,162.30,152.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 247.7 Hz ), 148.75, 146.93, 143.43, 137.97, 135.89 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $131.75,129.22,128.99,128.45,127.93,126.66,125.82$ (d, $J=13.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 122.29,121.73,119.28,119.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.36,117.16$, $116.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.88,64.50,60.14,54.07,48.14,26.26$, 14.13, 11.56. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 598.2824; found 598.2808.

2-((4-(tert-Butyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)-N-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo-[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(tert-butyl)piperazine $(91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.636$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 2.0 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.636$ mmol ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM and then washed with water and triturated with diethyl ether to afford the title compound as an amorphous beige solid ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.09-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 165.48,164.96,161.49,152.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=247.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 148.73,146.92$, 143.41, 138.04, $135.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.78, 129.21, 129.00, $128.50,127.91,126.66,125.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.33,121.73$, 119.63, 119.42 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 117.35, 117.23, 116.02 (d, $J=20.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 64.87,64.43,56.26,53.11,45.83,25.59 . \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 598.2824; found 598.2809.
(R)-N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-((4-ethyl-2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]-[1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6carboxamide ( $0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.424 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (R)-tert-butyl 3-methyl-piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $255 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $0.270 \mathrm{~g}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous saturated solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1(3 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product $(R)$-tert-butyl 4-((6-( (5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2fluorophenyl) carbamoyl) quinolin-2-yl)methyl)-3-methylpiperazine-1carboxylate ( 278 mg ), which was dissolved in anhydrous DCM (2.5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and treated with TFA ( $0.162 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 18 h , after which it was concentrated
under vacuum to afford the crude product, which was taken onto the next step without purification $(185 \mathrm{mg})$.

To a solution of (R)-N-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-((2-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide ( $0.185 \mathrm{~g}, 0.333 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous MeOH $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sodium cyanoborohydride $(23.02 \mathrm{mg}, 0.366 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion, followed by the dropwise addition of acetaldehyde $(0.013 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.233 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting solution was allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred under argon for 18 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude was redissolved in DCM and washed with NaOH aqueous solution (1 M). It was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM and then washed with water and triturated with diethyl ether to afford the title compound as an amorphous white solid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-$ $7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.29 (app t, $J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.49,164.95,163.37,153.31,152.41$ (d, J $=241.12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.91,143.41,137.80,135.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.70 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 131.65, 129.15, 128.99, 128.44, 127.92, 126.58, 125.81 (d, $J=13.49$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 122.32,121.75,119.36,119.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.28 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.34,117.19$, $116.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.16 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.87,64.49,60.70,55.68,53.09,52.34$, 52.02, 29.47, 17.61, 12.44. HRMS (ESI $)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 584.2668; found 584.2665.

N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluo-rophenyl)-2-((4-ethyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide $(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.530 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-ethyl-1,4-diazepane ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59$ mmol) in anhydrous DCM ( 5 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated aqueous solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by elution through an Isolute SCX-II cartridge using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, and trituration with MeOH , afforded the title compound as an amorphous pale yellow solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.7,4.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.97 (s, 2H), $1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 165.47, 164.96, 162.47, 152.56 (d, $J=242.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.71, 146.92, 143.42, 138.11, 134.86 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.79, 129.22, 129.04, 128.53, 127.92, 126.70, $125.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.28,121.75$, 119.41, 119.28 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 117.34, 117.20, 116.01 (d, $J=20.2$ Hz ), 64.88, 64.49, 64.17, 54.26, 53.74, 52.32, 51.78, 49.58, 26.29, 10.79. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 584.2673; found 584.2697 .

2-((4-Cyclopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-N-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4] dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6carboxamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-cyclopropylpiperazine ( $0.077 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride (135 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous saturated solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column
chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, then triturated with diethyl ether, afforded the desired product as a pale yellow amorphous solid ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 38.1 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14$ (dd, $J=6.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (br s, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ (app heptet, $J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.29-$ $0.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 165.48, 164.95, 162.19, 152.33 (d, $J=243.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.73, 146.92, 143.42, 138.00, $135.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.74,129.22,128.98,128.45,127.93$, 126.66, $125.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.28,121.72,119.27,119.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.36,117.16,116.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.88,64.49,53.47$, 53.30, 53.26, 38.48, 6.10. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 582.2511 ; found 582.2487.

N-(2-Bromo-5-nitrophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. To a suspension of 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylic acid ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 8.01$ mmol ) in anhydrous DCM ( 20 mL ), DMF ( $1.11 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by oxalyl chloride ( $0.588 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the resulting green solution was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , after which it was concentrated under vacuum to afford a dry pale green solid. The solid was dissolved in pyridine ( 20 mL ), and 2-bromo-5-nitroaniline ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 5.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The resulting dark yellow suspension was allowed to stir for 2 h , after which it was poured into water. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered and washed several times with water, diethyl ether, and finally with a minimum amount of DCM to afford the crude product as an amorphous yellow solid, which was used without further purification $(2.47 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65$ (d, J $=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,1.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 386.0135; found 386.0129.
$N$-(5-Amino-2-bromophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. To a solution of N -(2-bromo-5-nitrophenyl)-2-methylquino-line-6-carboxamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 7 mL ) and EtOH $(21 \mathrm{~mL})$, ammonium chloride ( $1.939 \mathrm{~g}, 36.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iron powder $(2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 36.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with MeOH and DCM, and filtered through a pad of celite. The resulting filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to afford a light brown solid as a crude product, which was taken onto the next step without purification ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.22,161.30,149.30$, 148.96, 137.63, 136.84, 132.78, 131.74, 128.87, 128.64, 128.23, 125.84, 123.47, 114.07, 105.01, 49.06, 25.51. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 358.0393$; found 358.0386.

N-(2-Bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. To a suspension of 2,3-dihydrobenzo [b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxylic acid (1.00 g, 5.56 mmol ) in anhydrous DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMF ( $0.972 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.013$ mmol ) and oxalyl chloride ( $0.513 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise, and the resulting green solution was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , after which it was concentrated under vacuum to afford a dry pale green solid. The solid was dissolved in pyridine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $N$ -(5-amino-2-bromophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 1.80 g , 5.05 mmol ) was added in one portion. The resulting dark yellow suspension was allowed to stir for 72 h , after which it was poured into water. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered and washed several times with water, diethyl ether, and finally with a minimum amount of DCM to afford the crude product as a pale yellow amorphous solid, which was used without further purification $(2.11 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$
(d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.49,165.13,161.43,149.03,147.04,143.43,139.67$, 137.68, 136.89, 132.90, 131.43, 128.95, 128.81, 128.25, 127.76, 125.86, 123.54, 121.79, 120.72, 120.18, 117.39, 117.21, 114.50, 64.88, 64.49, 25.51. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, 540.0529; found 520.0542 .

N-(2-Bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(2-bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 1.00 g , 1.93 mmol ) and selenium dioxide ( $0.235 \mathrm{~g}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 4 mL ) and anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 12 mL ) was heated at 150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, and it was diluted with DCM and filtered through a pad of celite. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to afford the crude product as a yellow amorphous solid, which was used without purification ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.47$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81-8.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.42-8.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$532.0503; found 532.0513.

2-(Azetidin-1-ylmethyl)-N-(2-bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]-[1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of N -(2-bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( 0.500 g , 0.939 mmol ) and azetidine ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 8 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $0.597 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous saturated solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture $(3 \times 10$ mL ). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by washing with water, trituration with diethyl ether and elution through an Isolute SCX-II cartridge using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, afforded the title compound as a pale beige amorphous solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-$ $4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 4.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.31,165.13,157.8,148.47,147.05$, 143.43, 140.01, 139.71, 138.62, 136.81, 132.90, 132.34, 129.29, 128.99, 128.82, 127.74, 126.77, 121.81, 120.80, 120.29, 117.38, 117.23, 114.57, 64.89, 64.48, 61.17, 55.23, 17.44. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26}{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 575.1116; found 575.1088.

N-(2-Bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)phenyl)-2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of N -(2-bromo-5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][ 1,4 ] dioxine-6-carboxamido) phenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide $(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 0.939 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-ethylpiperazine $(322 \mathrm{mg}, 2.82$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , after which sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(0.597 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous saturated solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with a DCM/ $\mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1$ mixture ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of $0-20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, followed by elution through an Isolute SCX-II cartridge using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, afforded the title compound as a bright yellow amorphous solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.70,2.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.70,2.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.35-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{brt}, J=$ $6.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 165.39,165.12$,
162.02, 148.74, 147.05, 143.44, 139.69, 138.01, 136.85, 132.90, 131.89, 129.30, 128.83, 128.32, 127.75, 126.71, 122.32, 121.79, 120.73, 120.20, 117.39, 117.21, 114.49, 64.88, 64.68, 64.49, 53.13, 52.61, 51.94, 12.18. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}, 630.1710$; found 632.1694.
Synthesis of the Clinical Candidate CCT361814. N-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (22). Step 1. $\mathbf{N}$-(2-Fluoro-5-nitrophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. Oxalyl chloride ( $3.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 2-methylquinoline-6-carboxylic acid $(6.59 \mathrm{~g}, 35.2$ mmol ) and DMF ( $0.0062 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 80 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in DCM ( 30 mL ) and concentrated again under reduced pressure. The resulting dry residue was dissolved in pyridine $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 2-fluoro-5-nitroaniline $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 32.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h and then poured into water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The green precipitate was filtered and washed with water $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, diethyl ether $(3 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the title compound as a light green solid, which was carried onto the next step without further purification ( 10.4 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.45,2.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.48,2.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (d, $J=8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 165.53, 161.22, 158.67 (d, $J=258.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.65, 143.72, 137.32, $130.36,128.88,128.48,128.00,127.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 125.33, $123.18,122.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 22.8 Hz ), 25.07. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta-110.20$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ESI}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 326.0935$; found 326.0931.

Step 2. $\mathbf{N}$-(5-Amino-2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6carboxamide. To a solution of $N$-(2-fluoro-5-nitrophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 32.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, ammonium chloride ( $12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 224$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and iron powder $(12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 224 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added in one portion, and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and filtered through a pad of celite. The resulting filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to afford a light brown solid, which was redissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1,150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid as a crude product, which was taken directly onto the next step without further purification $(9.46 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.74 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.74,1.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.74 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.78,8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (dd, $J=6.58,2.74 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46-6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 164.93, 160.84, 148.49, 147.72 (d, $J=233.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $145.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.19,131.19$, 128.44, 128.33, 127.95, $125.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.34,122.99$, $115.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.52$, $111.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 25.05 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta-138.12$. HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 296.1194; found 296.1191.

Step 3. $N$-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxa-mido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide. To a suspension of 2,3-dihydrobenzo [b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxylic acid ( $12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 70.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 100 mL ) under an inert atmosphere was dropwise added a catalytic amount of anhydrous DMF ( $6.16 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and oxalyl chloride ( $6.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 77.0$ mmol ) and the resulting green solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 h . After which time, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum to afford a dry pale green solid. The solid was dissolved in pyridine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and N -(5-amino-2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $9.46 \mathrm{~g}, 32.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The resulting dark yellow suspension was allowed to stir for 2 h and was then poured onto water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The yellow
precipitate was filtered and washed with water $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, diethyl ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the crude product as a pale yellow solid, which was taken directly onto the next step without further purification $(12.5 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.77,2.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.01$, $2.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-$ $7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (dd, $J=8.51,2.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.98$, $8.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.51 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.09,164.48,160.95$, 151.83 (d, $J=243.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 148.57, 146.45, 142.95, 137.22, 135.42 (d, J $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.87,128.50,128.41,127.94,127.47,125.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 125.35,123.05,121.25,118.81,118.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.89$, 116.69, $115.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 64.41,64.03,25.06 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta-126.65$. HRMS (ESI + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 458.1511$; found 458.1499 .

Step 4. $N$-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxa-mido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-formylquinoline-6-carboxamide. A solution of $N$-(5-(2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylquinoline-6-carboxamide (5.00 g, 10.9 mmol ) and selenium dioxide ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 40 mL ) and 1,4-dioxane ( 120 mL ) was heated at reflux for 1 h under an inert atmosphere. After which time, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and filtered through a pad of celite. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum (using a heptane/EtOAc azeotrope to remove DMF) to afford the crude product as a yellow solid, which was carried onto the next step without further purification $(5.15 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO $\left.-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.17$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $8.81-8.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.73,1.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.73$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.93,2.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.99 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.30,1.99$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.97 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.36-4.27 (m, 4H). HRMS (ESI+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$, 472.1303; found 472.1286.

Step 5. $N$-(5-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxine-6-carboxa-mido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-((4-ethylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl)-quinoline-6-carboxamide (22). A solution of N -(5-(2,3dihydrobenzo $[b][1,4]$ dioxine-6-carboxamido)-2-fluorophenyl)-2-for-mylquinoline-6-carboxamide ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-ethylpiperazine ( $7.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 20 mL ) was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After which time, sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1,3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel in gradient with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(0-10 \%)$ afforded a yellow solid, which was redissolved in $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The final trituration of the resulting residue with diethyl ether ( 20 mL ) afforded the title compound as a white solid ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.67-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-$ $4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta$ $165.02,164.50,161.69,151.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=242.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 148.28,146.46$, 142.96, 137.53, $135.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.29,128.76,128.53$, 128.00, 127.47, 126.20, $125.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.81,121.27$, $118.83,118.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.89,116.71,115.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.0$ Hz ), 64.42, 64.38, 64.04, 52.93, 52.34, 51.60, 11.91. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta-126.63$. HRMS (ESI+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 570.2511$; found 570.2532 .
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## ■ ABBREVIATIONS USED

MDR, multidrug resistance; MDR1, multidrug resistance protein 1; PGP, p-glycoprotein; CRBN, cereblon; TGI, tumor growth inhibition; SD, Sprague-Dawley rats; HSF1, heat shock factor protein 1 ; SAR, structure-activity relationship; HSP72, heat shock 70 kDa protein 1 ; HTS, highthroughput screen; nM , nanomolar; $\mu \mathrm{M}$, micromolar; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, half-minimal ( $50 \%$ ) inhibitory concentration; $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$, concentration for $50 \%$ of maximal inhibition of cell proliferation; SEM, standard error of the mean; tPSA, topological polar surface area; MLMs, mouse liver microsomes; KS, kinetic solubility; HBF, hepatic blood flow; PK, pharmacokinetics; $A U C$, area under the curve; $A U C$, unbound area under the curve; $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{av}}{ }^{0-24 \mathrm{~h}}$, average concentration over a 24 hour period; po, per os, oral dose; qd, quaque die, once-a-day dose; $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{tb}}$, total blood clearance; $\mathrm{CL}_{\mathrm{u}}$, unbound clearance; $\mathrm{CL}_{\text {int }}$ intrinsic clearance; $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ss}}$, volume of distribution at steady state; $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{du}}$, unbound volume of distribution; \%F, percentage oral bioavailability; $f_{\text {up }}$, fraction unbound in plasma; $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ub}}$, fraction unbound in blood; $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{u}}$, fraction unbound in the assay; B:P, blood-to-plasma ratio; CYP450, cytochrome P450; DMF, dimethylformamide; DCM, dichloromethane; ND, not determined; SF, significant figure; HLMs, human liver microsomes; RLMs, rat liver microsomes; WT, wild-type; KO, knockout; MMP, matched molecular pair; n , number of repeats; N , number of distinct samples; MHeps, mouse hepatocytes
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