RESEARCH LETTERS

THYROID Volume 33, Number 9, 2023 Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/thy.2022.0707

> Open camera or QR reader and scan code to access this article and other resources online.



Results of the SEL-I-METRY Phase II Trial on Resensitization of Advanced Iodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer to Radioiodine Therapy

Jon Wadsley,¹ Gemma Ainsworth,² Amy Beth Coulson,² Kate Garcez,³ Laura Moss,⁴ Kate Newbold,⁵ Kate Farnell,⁶ Jayne Swain,² Helen Howard,² Matthew Beasley,⁷ Andrew Weaver,⁸ Katie Wood,⁹ Jennifer Marshall,¹⁰ Matthew Griffin,¹¹ Abigail Pascoe,¹¹ Yong Du,⁵ Jan Taprogge,^{12,13} Glenn Flux,^{12,13} and Sarah Brown²

Dear Editor:

RADIOACTIVE IODINE (RAI) refractory differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) carries a poor prognosis with a 10-year survival rate of only 10%.¹ Recently, multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been tested in patients with RAI refractory DTC. Two phase III trials demonstrated improvements in progression-free survival (PFS): DECISION² showed improvement from 5.8 to 10.8 months with sorafenib, SELECT³ demonstrated improvement from 3.6 to 18.3 months with lenvatinib. However, both agents cause significant toxicities. Treatment is continuous until disease progression, which can significantly affect quality of life.

Activation of the MAP kinase signaling pathway can lead to reduced expression of the sodium iodide symporter. Selumetinib (ARRY-1428860) is an oral, potent, and highly selective allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitor that can block inappropriate signaling through this pathway and potentially restore iodine sensitivity.²

A previously reported pilot study⁵ tested selumetinib 75 mg twice daily for 4 weeks in patients with RAI refractory DTC. Of 20 patients evaluated, selumetinib increased iodine uptake (IU) in 12 patients. Of these, eight achieved sufficient uptake for further RAI, according to predefined criteria. Five of these patients had partial response and three stable disease. All had decreases in serum thyroglobulin and no grade ≥ 3 adverse events (AEs) were noted.

SEL-I-METRY was a single-arm multicenter phase II trial, assessing whether selumetinib 75 mg twice daily for 28 days followed by ¹³¹I therapy leads to increased PFS in patients demonstrating increased ¹²³I IU after selumetinib. Full trial details are reported previously.^{6,7} Key eligibility

criteria included measurable RAI refractory DTC that had progressed within 12 months by RECIST v1 \cdot 1.⁸ Disease was considered RAI refractory if ≥1 measurable lesion did not demonstrate IU on a previous RAI scan, or if ≥ 1 measurable lesion had progressed within 12 months of RAI, despite demonstrable radioiodine avidity at the time of treatment.

¹³The Institute of Cancer Research, London, United Kingdom.

© Jon Wadsley et al., 2023; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC-BY] (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the September 2023 issue of Thyroid (vol. 33, no. 9; pp. 1119-1123), the article entitled "Results of the SEL-I-METRY Phase II Trial on Resensitization of Advanced Iodine Refractory Differentiated Thyroid Cancer to Radioiodine Therapy" has been updated on October 16, 2023 after first online publication of September 13, 2023 to reflect Open Access, with copyright transferring to the author(s), and a Creative Commons License (CC-BY) added (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).

¹Weston Park Hospital, Sheffield, United Kingdom.

²Leeds Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit, Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom.

Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom.

⁴Velindre Cancer Centre, Cardiff, United Kingdom.

⁵The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom.

⁶Butterfly Thyroid Cancer Trust, NCCC Freeman Hospital, Newcastle, United Kingdom.

⁷Bristol Haematology and Oncology Centre, Bristol, United Kingdom.

⁸Oxford Churchill Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom.

⁹Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford, United Kingdom. ¹⁰University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.

¹¹Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, United Kingdom.

¹²Joint Department of Physics, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Sutton, United Kingdom.

	Nonradioactive iodine-treated cohort (N=19)	Radioactive iodine-treated cohort (N=9)	Total (N = 28)
Age (years) Median age, (range)	68.0 (48.0, 83.0)	58.0 (45.0, 78.0)	66.0 (45.0, 83.0)
Participant sex Male Female	12 (63.2%) 7 (36.8%)	6 (66.7%) 3 (33.3%)	18 (64.3%) 10 (35.7%)
ECOG status ECOG status 0 ECOG status 1	11 (57.9%) 8 (42.1%)	7 (77.8%) 2 (22.2%)	18 (64.3%) 10 (35.7%)
Thyroid cancer subtype Papillary thyroid cancer Follicular thyroid cancer Total	9 (47.4%) 10 (52.6%) 19 (100%)	2 (22.2%) 7 (77.8%) 9 (100%)	11 (39.3%) 17 (60.7%) 28 (100%)
Stage group diagnosis			
I II IVa IVb IVc Unknown Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 (5.3\%) \\ 0 (0.0\%) \\ 5 (26.3\%) \\ 4 (21.1\%) \\ 1 (5.3\%) \\ 4 (21.1\%) \\ 4 (21.1\%) \\ 1 9 (100\%) \end{array} $	1 (11.1%) 2 (22.2%) 2 (22.2%) 1 (11.1%) 1 (11.1%) 1 (11.1%) 1 (11.1%) 1 (11.1%) 9 (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ (7.1\%) \\ 2 \ (7.1\%) \\ 7 \ (25.0\%) \\ 5 \ (17.9\%) \\ 2 \ (7.1\%) \\ 5 \ (17.9\%) \\ 5 \ (17.9\%) \\ 5 \ (17.9\%) \\ 28 \ (100\%) \end{array}$
Time from original diagnosis Mean (s.d.) Median (range) IQR Missing N	to registration (years) 7.3 (4.82) 6.4 (1.9, 21.4) 4.2, 9.4 0 19	$\begin{array}{c} 6.8 \ (7.47) \\ 4.6 \ (1.0, \ 25.9) \\ 3.4, \ 6.9 \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{array}$	7.2 (5.66) 5.5 (1.0, 25.9) 3.8, 7.8 0 28
Thyroglobulin (µg/L): baselin Mean (s.d.) Median (range) IQR Missing	e 1124.8 (2705.4) 161 (2, 11535) 58, 393 0 10	$1762.0 (2442.0) \\742 (36, 7530) \\131, 2439 \\0 \\0$	1329.6 (2595.8) 240 (2, 11535) 67, 1070 0 28
External radiotherapy receive	19 d	2	20
Yes No Total	10 (52.6%) 9 (47.4%) 19 (100%)	2 (22.2%) 7 (77.8%) 9 (100%)	12 (42.9%) 16 (57.1%) 28 (100%)
External radiotherapy site Neck Other sites	(N=10) 4 (40%) 6 (60%)	(N=2) 0 (0%) 2 (100%)	(N=12) 4 (25%) 8 (75%)
Other treatment received ^a Yes No Total	9 (47.4%) 10 (52.6%) 19 (100%)	6 (66.7%) 3 (33.3%) 9 (100%)	15 (53.6%) 13 (46.4%) 28 (100%)
Median follow-up time (mont Median (range) N	hs) 12.42 (2.5, 31.0) 19	21.06 (7.8, 27.5)	13.98 (2.5, 31.0) 28
Disease sites of RECIST v1.1 Lung Lymph node Bone Liver Thyroid bed Trachea Other ^b Total	target lesions at baseline 12 (50.0%) 6 (25.0%) 3 (12.5%) 1 (4.2%) 2 (8.3%) 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) 24 (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} 28 \ (71.8\%) \\ 6 \ (15.4\%) \\ 0 \ (0.0\%) \\ 2 \ (5.1\%) \\ 1 \ (2.6\%) \\ 1 \ (2.6\%) \\ 1 \ (2.6\%) \\ 39 \ (100\%) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \ (63.5\%) \\ 12 \ (19.0\%) \\ 3 \ (4.8\%) \\ 3 \ (4.8\%) \\ 3 \ (4.8\%) \\ 3 \ (4.8\%) \\ 1 \ (1.6\%) \\ 1 \ (1.6\%) \\ 63 \ (100\%) \end{array}$

^aOther treatment received included right-sided modified neck dissection, surgery for liver metastases, total thyroidectomy, RFA ablation, thermal ablation lung metastases, completion thyroidectomy, cyroextraction and endobronchial, cyroextraction, and endobronical brachytherapy, additional radioiodine, laryngectomy, gamma knife, thyroid lobectomy, completion thyroidectomy, left thyroidectomy, and photodynamic therapy. Note some patients received more than one other therapy. ^bOther description of disease site: left paravertebral nodule.

ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

Patients with prior exposure to tyrosine kinase, MEK, RAS, or RAF inhibitors, those who required high-iodine content medication, or those with an iodine contrast-enhanced CT scan within two months before trial enrolment were excluded.

The study was approved by East Midlands, Leicester South Research Ethics Committee (15/EM/0455) and the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, and is registered on ISRCTN (ISRCTN17468602). All patients provided written informed consent.

All participants were planned to receive 28 days oral selumetinib (75 mg twice daily). RAI uptake at baseline and 28 days was assessed through ¹²³I SPECT/CT scan after 2 administrations of recombinant human thyrotropin (rhTSH) (0.9 mg intramuscular administration daily for 2 days before imaging). Imaging was assessed centrally to determine suitability for further RAI therapy. Participants had sufficient RAI uptake if there was any clinically relevant uptake in lesions that had shown no uptake at baseline, or at least 30% increase in RAI uptake in a lesion with evidence of some uptake at baseline. During central review, participants continued 75 mg selumetinib twice daily (maximum 18 days).

Those with sufficient uptake continued selumetinib until two days after administration of RAI. ¹³¹I was delivered at an activity of 5.5 GBq ($\pm 10\%$), after 2 administrations of rhTSH. If there was insufficient uptake, selumetinib was discontinued, and no further trial treatment was given.

The primary endpoint was PFS at 12 months in patients with sufficient IU who received ¹³¹I treatment, assessed from date of registration to first documented evidence of disease progression by RECIST 1.1 or death. Secondary endpoints

included safety and toxicity (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events [CTCAE] v4 \cdot 0) and proportion of patients with sufficient IU to receive RAI therapy.

Thirty-eight iodine-treated patients were required to demonstrate an improvement in 12-month PFS from $25\%^2$ to 44% (corresponding to clinically relevant hazard ratio 0.6), using the Case and Morgan⁹ design with 10% one-sided alpha, 80% power. Assuming 60% iodine-uptake rate, demonstrated by Ho et al.⁵ for *any* uptake, required 60 patients, allowing 10% drop out.

The full analysis set included all registered participants receiving at least one dose of selumetinib. Participants who demonstrated uptake and received further RAI formed the iodine-treated (IT) cohort; remaining participants formed the non-IT cohort.

SEL-I-METRY opened to recruitment on March 28, 2017. The independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) reviewed the IU rate after 26 patients, finding a lower-than-anticipated rate of ~40%. Due to slow recruitment, it was not feasible to expand the sample size further. In agreement with the Trial Steering Committee and DMEC, the trial closed to recruitment early on August 15, 2019, registering 30 patients. One patient was found ineligible postregistration, and one withdrew before receiving treatment. These patients were not included in analysis.

Table 1 displays baseline demographics for 28 patients in the analysis set.

Eleven of 28 (39.3% [CI: 21.5–59.4]) participants had evidence of sufficiently increased ¹²³I uptake in \geq 1 lesion. Ten patients were recommended further RAI based on increased uptake, however, one did not receive therapy due to a serious AE (SAE)

100 90 80 70 Progression Free Survival (%) 60 50 40 30 Median PFS [95% CI] (Months) 20 12 [4,19] 10 0 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 Months since registration Number at risk (number censored) IT Cohort 9 (0) 9 (0) 9 (0) 8 (0) 5 (1) 3 (3) 3 (3) 2 (3) 1 (4) 1 (4) 0 (4)

Progression Free Survival in the Radioactive Iodine treated Cohort with Confidence Bands

FIG. 1. Progression-free survival with 95% (Hall-Wellner) confidence bands. CI, confidence interval; IT, iodine treated.

not related to trial treatment. In the full analysis set, 32.1% (9/28) demonstrated sufficient IU and received RAI—the IT cohort.

Four of 9 (44.4%) IT participants and 1 of 19 (5.3%) non-IT participants received all doses of selumetinib (4200 mg). Dose reductions or omissions were largely due to AEs or toxicities (79.3%).

PFS is presented in Figure 1 (IT cohort). At analysis, 7 (77.8%) patients had progressed (2 censored at start of new treatment before progression). PFS at 12 months was 64.8% [CI: 25.3–87.2; 80% CI: 39.8–81.5].

Seven SAEs were reported in 7 participants (1 IT, 6 non-IT): 3 related to selumetinib (all non-IT; 1 pharyngeal mucositis [Grade 3], 1 international normalized ratio increased [Grade 3], and 1 creatine phosphokinase increased [Grade 4]). There were no treatment-related deaths.

All participants reported an adverse reaction relating to selumetinib. Fifty percent (14/28) reported worst CTCAE grade 1 or 2, 46.4% (13/28) grade 3, and 3.6% (1/28) grade 4.

While some patients did achieve sufficient increases in IU, the proportion was lower than anticipated. Combined with slow accrual, this led to early trial closure before reaching target sample size.

There are several possible reasons for this difference. In the previous study 12 of 20 patients had increased IU postselumetinib, the basis for our 60% prediction. However, in the pilot study only eight patients (40%) received ¹³¹I therapy due to the requirement that ¹³¹I therapy should be predicted to deliver at least 20 Gy to target lesions. Although our protocol did not set such strict targets and intended to treat any patient with a clinically relevant increase in uptake, it was perhaps optimistic to expect a conversion rate of 60%.

In SEL-I-METRY, 40% of patients had papillary carcinoma and 60% follicular carcinoma, compared with 65% papillary and 35% poorly differentiated carcinoma in the pilot study. Differing gene mutations underlying these pathologies may account for differences in outcomes. Indeed, the pilot study found this strategy less effective for tumors with BRAF mutations; only 1 of 9 of these patients received further RAI.

Different imaging modalities were also used. The pilot study used ¹²⁴I PET/CT imaging. Due to lack of ¹²⁴I in the United Kingdom at the time of SEL-I-METRY, a pragmatic decision was taken to use ¹²³I SPECT/CT. However, we do not believe this underestimated clinically meaningful increased IU.

A further difference is the reported tolerability of selumetinib. Ho et al.⁵ reported all evaluable patients completed a full course of selumetinib without any dose reductions or delays. However, 23 of 28 of our patients required dose reduction or delay due to toxicity, with 46% experiencing grade 3 toxicity that may have contributed to the lower observed IU. Reasons for this discrepancy are unclear. There may have been differences in overall health status of treated populations, but it is not possible to determine this from the reported data.

Despite being underpowered to report the primary endpoint due to early closure, exploratory analyses showed promising clinical efficacy, with 12-month PFS 64.8% [CI: 25.3–87.2; 80% CI: 39.8–81.5] for patients who received RAI therapy. Both CIs exclude the 25% 12-month PFS assumed from historical control data. This is impressive given all patients had evidence of RECIST progression within the prior 12 months, although the limitations of nonrandomized data and potential selection of better prognosis patients must be acknowledged. While the full clinical benefits of redifferentiation therapy are yet to be established, this is a promising approach and further studies are required. Increasing availability of more selective inhibitors of specific molecular targets, such as BRAF mutations, opens the door to a more targeted approach. There are reports of increased IU after treatment with these agents.¹⁰ Dosimetry data from the trial suggest that there may be opportunities to personalize RAI by adjusting administered activity based on pretherapy imaging.¹¹ Attention needs to be given to different categories of RAI refractory DTC, for example, distinguishing those with absolutely no RAI uptake from those with uptake in some lesions but not all.

Given the relative rarity of RAI refractory DTC, and especially if subtypes are to be investigated, international efforts will be required to define optimal patient selection and treatment strategy, ideally through randomized phase III trials, comparing this strategy with alternative treatment approaches.

Author Disclosure Statement

J.W. reports grants, personal fees and non-financial support from AstraZeneca, grants, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Sanofi-Genzyme, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Eisai, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from Roche, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Novartis, personal fees and nonfinancial support from Ipsen, personal fees and non-financial support from Advanced Accelerator Applications, personal fees and non-financial support from Celgene, personal fees from Bayer, outside the submitted work. A.P. received support from AstraZeneca for travel reimbursement of a cancelled event (Lung radiotherapy masterclass-July 2019). J.T. reports support from CRUK for the present manuscript (funding) for work at Royal Marsden Hospital, and grants or contracts from the National institute for Health Research (NIHR) and Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 paid to the institute. S.B. reports a grant award for support for the present manuscript from CRUK. K.N., A.W., G.F., J.M., K.W., K.F., K.G., H.H., L.M., M.G., Y.D., M.B., G.A., A.B.C. and J.S. have nothing to disclose.

Funding Information

This trial was funded by Cancer Research UK (CRUK/14/ 041), and supported by Astra Zeneca, who provided Selumetinib and a per-patient grant; Genzyme supplied recombinant TSH. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation. Astra Zeneca and Sanofi-Genzyme reviewed and approved the final document. The research was developed with support from the National Cancer Research Institute Clinical and Translational Radiotherapy Research Group (NCRI CTRad). The Radiotherapy Trials Quality Assurance Group (RTTQA) is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR).

References

 Durante C, Haddy N, Baudin E, et al. Long-term outcome of 444 patients with distant metastases from papillary and follicular thyroid carcinoma: Benefits and limits of radioiodine therapy. J Clin Endocr Metab 2006;91(8):2892– 2899; doi: 10.1210/Jc.2005-2838

- Brose MS, Nutting CM, Jarzab B, et al. Sorafenib in radioactive iodine-refractory, locally advanced or metastatic differentiated thyroid cancer: A randomised, doubleblind, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2014;384(9940):319–328; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60421-9
- Schlumberger M, Tahara M, Wirth LJ, et al. Lenvatinib versus placebo in radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancer. New Engl J Med 2015;372(7):621–630; doi: 10.1056/ Nejmoa1406470
- Liu DX, Hu SY, Hou P, et al. Suppression of BRAF/ MEK/MAP kinase pathway restores expression of iodidemetabolizing genes in thyroid cells expressing the V600E BRAF mutant. Clin Cancer Res 2007;13(4):1341–1349; doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-06-1753
- Ho AL, Grewal RK, Leboeuf R, et al. Selumetinibenhanced radioiodine uptake in advanced thyroid cancer. New Engl J Med 2013;368(7):623–632; doi: 10.1056/ Nejmoa1209288
- Brown SR, Hall A, Buckley HL, et al. Investigating the potential clinical benefit of Selumetinib in resensitising advanced iodine refractory differentiated thyroid cancer to radioiodine therapy (SEL-I-METRY): Protocol for a multicentre UK single arm phase II trial. BMC Cancer 2019; 19(1):582; doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-5541-4
- Gregory RA, Murray I, Gear J, et al. Standardised quantitative radioiodine SPECT/CT Imaging for multicentre dosimetry trials in molecular radiotherapy. Phys Med Biol 2019;64(24):245013; doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/ab5b6c
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer (1990) 2008;45(2): 228–247; doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026

- 9. Case LD, Morgan TM. Design of Phase II cancer trials evaluating survival probabilities. BMC Med Res Methodol 2003;3(1):6; doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-3-6
- Rothenberg SM, McFadden DG, Palmer EL, et al. Redifferentiation of iodine-refractory BRAF V600E-mutant metastatic papillary thyroid cancer with dabrafenib. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:1028–1035.
- 11. Trapogge J, Abreu C, Yusuf S, et al. The role of pretherapy quantitative imaging and dosimetry in radioiodine therapy for advanced thyroid cancer. J Nucl Med 2023:jnumed.122.264913; doi: 10.2967/jnumed.122 .264913

Address correspondence to: Jon Wadsley, FRCR Weston Park Hospital S10 2SJ Sheffield United Kingdom

E-mail: jonathan.wadsley1@nhs.net

Sarah Brown, PhD Leeds Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research University of Leeds Leeds LS2 9JT United Kingdom

E-mail: s.brown@leeds.ac.uk