RESEARCH ARTICLE



63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

The receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase PTPRB negatively regulates FGF2-dependent branching morphogenesis

Kelly J. Soady¹, Giusy Tornillo^{2,‡}, Howard Kendrick^{2,‡}, Valerie Meniel², Daria Olijnyk-Dallis^{3,*}, Joanna S. Morris⁴, Torsten Stein³, Barry A. Gusterson³, Clare M. Isacke¹ and Matthew J. Smalley^{2,§}

ABSTRACT

PTPRB is a transmembrane protein tyrosine phosphatase known to regulate blood vessel remodelling and angiogenesis. Here, we demonstrate that PTPRB negatively regulates branching morphogenesis in the mouse mammary epithelium. We show that Ptprb is highly expressed in adult mammary stem cells and also, although at lower levels, in oestrogen receptor-positive luminal cells. During mammary development, Ptprb expression is downregulated during puberty, a period of extensive of ductal outgrowth and branching. In vivo shRNA knockdown of Ptprb in the cleared mammary fat pad transplant assay resulted in smaller epithelial outgrowths with an increased branching density and also increased branching in an in vitro organoid assay. Organoid branching was dependent on stimulation by FGF2, and Ptprb knockdown in mammary epithelial cells resulted in a higher level of fibroblast receptor (FGFR) activation and growth factor **ERK1/2** phosphorylation, both at baseline and following FGF2 stimulation. Therefore, PTPRB regulates branching morphogenesis in the mammary epithelium by modulating the response of the FGFR signalling pathway to FGF stimulation. Considering the importance of branching morphogenesis in multiple taxa, our findings have general importance outside mammary developmental biology.

KEY WORDS: Mammary stem cells, Branching morphogenesis, PTPRB, FGFR2, Terminal end bud gene expression, Mouse

The mammary gland is a highly dynamic organ; limited embryonic development is followed by extensive postnatal pubertal development with further differentiation and tissue remodelling occurring during pregnancy and lactation (Macias and Hinck, 2012). A key aspect of mammary epithelial structure formation is branching morphogenesis, a patterning event driven by systemic

62 Received 16 January 2017; Accepted 25 August 2017

and local cues (Sternlicht, 2006). During pubertal development, branching morphogenesis is dependent on the balance between the rate of ductal extension driven by terminal end buds (TEBs; specialised growth structures at the tips of the developing ducts), the rate of TEB bifurcation and, in the later stages of development, the formation of lateral branches from established ducts. As branching morphogenesis is a common developmental process in many tissues in many taxa, understanding its regulation in the mammary gland could have implications beyond a single system and be applicable to similar aspects of development across the animal kingdom.

The growth of TEBs, and thus of the subtending ducts, is driven by one or more stem cell population(s) which generate the two main mammary epithelial lineages ('basal' and 'luminal') during puberty (Ball, 1998; Srinivasan et al., 2003; Williams and Daniel, 1983). Stem cells dispersed throughout the mature mammary epithelium are also thought to be important for maintenance of the adult nonpregnant gland, although the nature of these remains controversial (Rios et al., 2014; Van Keymeulen et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015). It is clear, however, that the basal layer contains a small population of cells with potent outgrowth potential in mammary fat pad transplant experiments and which upon transplantation regenerate complete basal and luminal layers, consistent with a stem cell identity (Shackleton et al., 2006; Sleeman et al., 2006; Stingl et al., 2006). In addition to this transplantable stem cell population, the basal layer consists mainly of contractile myoepithelial cells. The luminal layer consists of populations of progenitors (Regan et al., 2012) as well as functionally differentiated cells, including hormone-sensing oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive (ER⁺) cells and the secretory ER negative (ER⁻) cells found in the alveoli during lactation. The luminal progenitors are mainly ER⁻ (Regan et al., 2012). The molecular regulation of epithelial homeostasis in these stemprogenitor-differentiated populations, and how this homeostasis contributes to tissue morphogenesis, remains an area of intense interest.

PTPRB, also known as RPTPB and VE-PTP, is a highly 109 promiscuous R3 type receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase that 110 can dephosphorylate multiple receptor tyrosine kinases (Barr et al., 111 2009). It consists of a single intracellular catalytic domain with C-112 terminal phosphorylation sites, a transmembrane domain and an 113 extracellular domain with multiple fibronection type III-like 114 domains (Matozaki et al., 2010). Binding by heparin binding 115 domain-containing growth factors, such as pleiotropin, causes 116 dimerisation and inactivation (Maeda and Noda, 1998). The role 117 and functions of PTPRB have been most fully described in the 118 development of the embryonic vasculature (Baumer et al., 2006; 119 Dominguez et al., 2007) and in arterial endothelial cells, in which 120 the two main targets of PTPRB have been identified as the receptor 121 tyrosine phosphatase TEK and vascular endothelial cadherin 122 (VE-cadherin; also known as cadherin 5 or CDH5). PTPRB 123 activity enhances VE-cadherin-mediated adhesion (Nawroth et al., 124

55 56

57

58

1

 ¹Division of Breast Cancer Research, Breakthrough Breast Cancer Research
 Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, 237 Fulham Road, London SW3 6JB, UK.
 ²European Cancer Stem Cell Research Institute and Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, CF24 4HQ, UK. ³Institute of
 Cancer Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of
 Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK. ⁴School of Veterinary Medicine, College of
 Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Bearsden Road, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK.
 ³Present address: Roslin Cell Therapies Ltd, NINE Ediphurgh bioQuarter 9 Little

^{*}Present address: Roslin Cell Therapies Ltd, NINE Edinburgh bioQuarter, 9 LittleFrance Drive, EH16 4UX, Edinburgh, UK.

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this work

SAuthor for correspondence (SmalleyMJ@Cardiff.ac.uk)

K.J.S., 0000-0002-8274-7831; M.J.S., 0000-0001-9540-1146

⁵⁹ This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 60 License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed. 61

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

2002) but is a negative regulator of TEK (Baumer et al., 2006;Dominguez et al., 2007).

We recently identified a set of 323 genes, including Ptprb, 127 128 expression of which was specifically associated with the 129 transplantable basal mammary stem cell (MaSC) population in the adult mouse mammary epithelium (Soady et al., 2015). As a 130 regulator of morphogenesis in other systems, we hypothesised that 131 132 PTPRB might also be a regulator of mammary development. However, owing to the embryonic lethality of *Ptprb* gene ablation 133 134 and the lack of a conditional knockout model, the functional role of 135 PTPRB in postnatal mammary gland development has not previously been studied. We have therefore exploited the potential 136 of cleared fat pad transplantation in an *in vivo* functional genomics 137 138 approach as well as *in vitro* mechanistic studies to determine 139 whether PTPRB is required for normal mammary morphogenesis. We find that PTPRB is a negative regulator of branching 140 141 morphogenesis, acting by modulating signalling downstream of 142 FGFR. These results have general importance for understanding the regulation of epithelial branching morphogenesis. 143

145 **RESULTS**

144

Expression patterns of *Ptprb* in the mammary epithelium alter during postnatal mammary development

In an Affymetrix microarray-based analysis of gene expression in the adult (10- to 12-week-old) mammary epithelium comparing highly purified MaSCs with the other major epithelial subpopulations (myoepithelial cells, luminal ER⁻ progenitors and luminal ER⁺ differentiated cells), we identified a 323 MaSC gene signature that included *Ptprb* (Soady et al., 2015). We hypothesised that PTPRB might be a regulator of mammary morphogenesis.

155 To test this hypothesis, we evaluated Ptprb expression by 156 quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (qPCR) during postnatal mammary gland development in highly purified primary 157 158 mammary epithelium subpopulations isolated by flow cytometry at 159 three developmental time points. MaSC, myoepithelial (MYO), luminal ER⁻ progenitor (LumER⁻) and luminal ER⁺ differentiated 160 AQ2 (LumER⁺) cells were isolated from female FVBn mice as previously described (Regan et al., 2012; Soady et al., 2015) (Fig. S1). The 162 163 developmental stages assessed covered pubertal mammary gland 164 morphogenesis with three time points representing the onset/early stages of pubertal development (3-4 weeks), mid-puberty 165 (5-6 weeks) and late puberty/young adulthood (8-10 weeks) (Fig. 1A). 166

Comparison of expression levels between the cell populations at 167 168 each time point (Fig. 1B) demonstrated that at onset of puberty *Ptprb* 169 was more highly expressed in LumER⁺ cells than in MaSCs $(P \le 0.01)$. At mid-puberty, when the majority of ductal outgrowth 170 171 occurs, Ptprb expression was not significantly different between the MaSCs and LumER⁺ populations. Confirming our previous findings 172 from the adult gland (Soady et al., 2015), at 8-10 weeks Ptprb was 173 174 most highly expressed ($P \le 0.01$) in MaSCs. However, at all time 175 points, both MaSCs and LumER⁺ cells had significantly higher levels 176 of *Ptprb* expression than the LumER⁻ and MYO populations.

177 Comparing expression levels between the time points within each 178 cell subpopulation (Fig. 1C) showed that for each population *Ptprb* expression was reduced at 5-6 weeks (the period of most extensive 179 180 epithelial expansion and morphogenesis) compared with expression levels at 3-4 weeks ($P \le 0.05$ for LumER⁻ cells, $P \le 0.01$ for MYOs, 181 182 MaSCs and LumER⁺ cells). By 8-10 weeks, Ptprb expression in 183 MaSCs had returned to 3-4 week levels; however, for the LumER⁺ 184 and MYOs, although Ptprb expression levels were increased 185 compared with 5-6 week levels, they remained significantly lower 186 than at 3-4 weeks old ($P \le 0.01$ for both populations).

Consistent with the qPCR expression analysis, and the known 187 role of PTPRB in endothelial cells, RNAScope in situ hybridisation 188 localised Ptprb to the endothelial cells of blood vessels in the 3-189 week-old mammary gland and to a subset of luminal epithelial cells 190 in mammary ducts (Fig. S2). In the 6-week-old gland, Ptprb 191 expression could not be detected in the mammary epithelium, either 192 because the numbers of cells expressing *Ptprb* was very low, or, the 193 expression level per cell had fallen below the threshold for detection 194 by the technique. However, at this time *Ptprb* was expressed in a 195 group of stromal cells surrounding the ducts. Finally, at 12 weeks 196 *Ptprb* was again detected in a subset of luminal cells although at 197 much weaker levels (Fig. S2), consistent with the qPCR. We did not 198 observe basal cells with a *Ptprb* signal at any age; however, basal 199 mammary stem cells are very rare and indeed have never been 200 definitively identified in histological sections, so this is not 201 surprising. 202

As *Ptprb* expression was below the limits of detection by *in situ* hybridisation at 6 weeks, to determine whether *Ptprb* was differentially expressed in the two main morphological structures of the developing gland, the TEBs and their subtending ducts, a gene expression profile data set of microdissected TEBs compared with ducts collected at 6-7 weeks was mined for *Ptprb* expression (Table S1). This analysis demonstrated that *Prprb* is expressed at significantly lower levels in the TEBs compared with the ducts (1.5-fold lower; P < 0.05).

In summary, *Ptprb* is most highly expressed in MaSCs and LumER⁺ cells in the adult mammary epithelium, but its expression pattern is dynamically regulated during pubertal development. LumER⁺ cells have a decrease in *Ptprb* expression at mid-puberty followed by a partial recovery; MaSCs also have a decrease in mid-puberty but a strong recovery in the adult tissue back to levels seen at pubertal onset. During puberty, *Ptprb* is expressed at lower levels in TEBs than in ducts. The strong recovery of expression in MaSCs compared with the partial recovery in LumER⁺ cells results in the MaSCs becoming the highest expressers of *Ptprb* in the adult gland.

Ptprb knockdown promotes branching morphogenesis in vivo

The correlation between lower levels of *Ptprb* expression and the 225 period of most intense morphogenetic activity in the mammary 226 gland, suggested that PTPRB may be a negative regulator of 227 mammary morphogenesis. We tested this in in vivo functional 228 assays. In the first series of experiments, primary mouse mammary 229 epithelial cells were transduced in short-term culture (48 h) with 230 either a pooled lentiviral supernatant containing two shRNA 231 sequences against Ptprb (shPtprb pool consisting of shPtprb 0145 232 plus shPtprb 3820) or a control shRNA designed to target luciferase 233 (shLuc). In a second series of three independent experiments, 234 primary cells were transduced in short-term culture with one of two 235 different lentiviral constructs carrying shRNA sequences targeting 236 *Ptprb* (shPtprb 0145 or shPtprb 3820) or with a lentvirus carrying a 237 scrambled sequence (shScr). In both sets of experiments the viral 238 vectors also contained GFP to mark transduced cells and 239 supernatants were diluted to ensure both control and shPtprb 240 supernatants contained equal viral titres. The efficacy of the shPtprb 241 pool and the individual lentiviruses in suppressing *Ptprb* expression 242 was confirmed by qPCR (Fig. 2A,B). Transduced cells were 243 transplanted into cleared mammary fat pads at 50,000 cells per fat 244 pad. At 8 weeks, fat pads were harvested and examined under 245 epifluorescent illumination, after which they were processed for 246 flow cytometric analysis to assess the relative proportions of the 247 epithelial cell populations. 248



Fig. 1. Ptprb expression is repressed in mid-pubertal mammary epithelial cells. (A) Whole-mount fourth mammary fat pads from FVB mice at 3-4, 5-6 and 8-10 weeks of age illustrating the extent of ductal development. Scale bars: 3 mm. Inset shows enlargement (5×) of the boxed area of the 3-4 week fat pad to show terminal end buds. (B) Relative Ptprb expression between MaSC and MYO, MaSC and LumER⁻, and MaSC and LumER⁺ populations determined by qPCR at three time points. The comparator sample is the LumER⁻ population at each age group. (C) Relative Ptprb expression within each population across the three time points. Comparator was the 4-week-old sample for each population. Significance comparisons between 3-4 week and 5-6 week, between 3-4 week and 8-10 week and between 5-6 week and 8-10 week populations are indicated. **P<0.01, *P<0.05, N.S., not significant. Data in B and C were from three independent isolates of each cell population at each age. Data were normalised to β-actin (Actb) and expressed as mean log10 relative fold expression (±95% confidence intervals) over the comparator.

There were no differences in the number of successful outgrowths between the shLuc (8 outgrowths from 11 transplanted fat pads; n=2 independent experiments) and the shPtprb pool (11/11; n=2 independent experiments) transplants or between the shScr (23/24; n=3 independent experiments), the shPtprb 0145 (13/13;n=3 independent experiments) and the shPtprb 3820 (11/13; n=3independent experiments) transplants. However, Ptprb-knockdown transplants appeared to be more densely branched but filled less of the total area of the fat pad than the control outgrowths (Fig. 2C). Quantification of the area of the outgrowths and of the number of branch points per mm² confirmed that knockdown outgrowths were significantly smaller than control outgrowths but more densely branched (Fig. 2D,E).

Both control and Ptprb-knockdown outgrowths had distinct **A@3** luminal [keratin 18 (K18; KRT18) positive] and basal/ myoepithelial [smooth muscle actin (SMA; ACTA2) positive] layers (Fig. 3A). Flow cytometric analysis of the outgrowths confirmed that there were no differences in the proportions of the major epithelial populations (Fig. S3). Ki67 (Mki67) staining of control and *Ptprb*-knockdown transplants demonstrated that, at the time point at which the transplants were harvested, there was little or

no proliferation in control tissue but *Ptprb*-knockdown tissue was highly proliferative (Fig. 3B). However, the smaller size and denser branching of the knockdown tissue (meaning that sections were more likely to be enriched for the TEBs) is an important caveat in this analysis. In the proliferating Ptprb-knockdown tissue, equivalent numbers of Ki67-positive cells were observed in SMA-positive basal and SMA-negative luminal layers (Fig. 3B,C). Little or no cleaved caspase-3, a marker of apoptosis, could be detected in either control or *Ptprb*-knockdown outgrowths (Fig. S4B).

To determine whether *Ptprb* knockdown perturbed stem cell function as assessed by engraftment potential, GFP-positive regions from a series of successful shScr and shPtprb 0145 primary transplants were dissected out, digested to single cells and then re-transplanted. Take rates for secondary transplantation into contralateral fat pads of shScr- and shPtprb 0145-transduced cells were 8/9 for both the control and knockdown cells. Consistent with the primary transplants, shPtprb 0145-transduced outgrowths had a significantly smaller area than control outgrowths (Fig. 3D, Fig. S4C). Overall, these findings show PTPRB does not affect stem cell engraftment potential or lineage determination, but does regulate mammary branching morphogenesis.



Fig. 2. Ptprb knockdown promotes branching morphogenesis 435 in vivo. (A) qPCR analysis of Ptprb gene expression in non-436 transduced primary mouse mammary epithelial cells and in cells 437 transduced with either an empty virus, a control virus carrying a 438 scrambled oligonucleotide sequence (shScr), a sequence targeting 439 luciferase (shLuc), or a virus pool consisting of two viruses (shPtprb 0145 and 3820) targeting Ptprb. (B) qPCR analysis of Ptprb gene 440 expression in non-transduced cells and cells transduced with shScr 441 virus or the individual shPtprb 0145 and shPtprb 3820 viruses. Data 442 in A,B are presented as mean fold Ptprb expression (±95% 443 confidence intervals: n=3 independent experiments) over 444 comparator (non-transduced cells). *P<0.05 compared with non-445 transduced cells; **P<0.01 compared with non-transduced cells; 446 ##P<0.01 compared with shLuc or shScr-transduced cells. (C) Representative images of GFP⁺ outgrowths in whole-mount fat 447 pads 8 weeks after transplant of control- or shPtprb-transduced 448 cells. Scale bars: 5 mm. Insets show enlargements (×3) of the boxed 449 areas. Branch points in insets are indicated by arrowheads. For each 450 shPtprb fat pad, the control shown next to it is a transplanted 451 contralateral gland from the same animal. (D,E) Analysis of size (D) and branching (E) of control and shPtprb knockdown outgrowths 452 (mean±s.e.m.). *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. shLuc and shPtprb 453 data from two independent transplant experiments; shScr, shPtprb 454 0145 and shPtprb 3820 data from three independent experiments. 455 Numbers of fat pads analysed are provided in Fig. S4A. 456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471 472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

Ptprb knockdown promotes branching morphogenesis in an in vitro model system in an FGF-dependent manner

To provide further support for a role for PTPRB in regulating 413 branching morphogenesis and to establish a model in which the 414 mechanism of action of PTPRB could be addressed, we utilised an 415 416 in vitro branching morphogenesis assay (Ewald et al., 2008). Small 417 fragments of mammary epithelial ducts, which retain the basal-418 luminal bilayered architecture ('organoids'), were either left 419 untransduced or transduced with shScr, shPtprb 0145 or shPtprb 420 3820 lentiviruses, embedded in Matrigel and treated for 5 days with medium containing FGF2, which stimulates branching in this 421 422 system (Ewald et al., 2008). The total number of organoids and 423 number of branched organoids were counted; branched organoids 424 were defined as an organoid with at least one branch protruding 425 from the main spherical body.

Organoid cultures branched only in the presence of FGF2; in the 426 absence of FGF2, Ptprb knockdown alone was not sufficient to 427 428 stimulate branching (Fig. S5A). However, knockdown of *Ptprb* in FGF2-stimulated cultures significantly increased ($P \le 0.05$) the 429 430 number of branched organoids, with 40% of organoids branching 431 in non-infected and Scr controls to >60% in shPtprb1 0145 and 432 shPtprb2 3820 cultures (Fig. 4A). The amount of branching in non-433 infected and Scr controls was consistent with previous reports on 434 branching in unmanipulated primary mammary epithelial organoids (Macias et al., 2011). Therefore, *Ptprb* knockdown *in vitro* increased the number of organoids competent to branch under FGF2 stimulation.

To assess whether *Ptprb* knockdown also affected the extent of branching, the degree of branching in all branched organoids was ascertained. Branched organoids were categorised into low (1-5 branches), intermediate (6-15 branches) or highly branched (>15 branches) organoids (Fig. 4B,C). Compared with control cultures, shPtprb 0145- and shPtprb 3820-transduced organoids had an increase in the proportion of highly branched organoids and a reduction in numbers of organoids with low branching levels (Fig. 4C). Therefore, *in vitro* knockdown of *Ptprb* increased both the percentage of branched mammary epithelial organoids and the number of branches on each branched organoid, but only under conditions of growth factor stimulation.

Endogenous *Ptprb* expression is downregulated during *in vitro* branching morphogenesis

In vivo, levels of endogenous *Ptprb* expression are suppressed during the period of postnatal mammary development. To determine if similar changes in *Ptprb* expression occur during organoid branching *in vitro*, and to characterise in more detail the relationship between the kinetics of endogenous *Ptprb* expression

410

411

412



Fig. 3. Ptprb knockdown increases mammary epithelial cell 559 proliferation. (A,B) Staining of sections of shLuc (top rows) and 560 shPtprb (bottom rows) outgrowths with anti-SMA and DAPI and 561 either anti-K18 (A) or anti-Ki67 (B) antibodies. Arrowheads indicate 562 563 staining in luminal (SMA⁻) and basal (SMA⁺) layers of shPtprb outgrowths. Data are shown as mean±s.e.m. (n=8 regions from 564 three independent control outgrowths and 11 regions from three 565 independent Ptprb-knockdown outgrowths). (D) Analysis of areas 566 (mm²) of outgrowths of shScr (n=8) and shPtprb 0145 (n=8) 567 secondary transplants (mean±s.e.m.). *P<0.05; N.S., not 568 significant. 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578

and branching, unmanipulated non-infected organoids were 535 536 embedded in Matrigel and stimulated with or cultured without 537 FGF2 for 6 days. Non-stimulated organoids did not grow or branch over the experimental time course whereas stimulated organoids 538 expanded in size and produced branches, with the first obvious 540 branching apparent by day 4 (Fig. 5A). qPCR analysis of *Ptprb* expression in FGF2-stimulated branching organoids showed that *Ptprb* expression was reduced over time in stimulated organoids 543 relative to day 0. The reduction in *Ptprb* expression was significant from day 1, decreased further at day 3 and remained low until the 544 545 end of the time course (Fig. 5B).

539

541

542

546 To control for the possibility that the decrease in *Ptprb* expression 547 was related to the time in culture rather than correlated with FGF2 548 stimulation and concomitant branching, levels of Ptprb expression in stimulated organoids were compared with non-stimulated 549 550 organoids at each time point (Fig. 5C). This demonstrated that *Ptprb* expression in stimulated organoids was significantly lower 551 552 than in non-stimulated organoids by day 3 and continued to drop at days 4 and 5. Importantly, these findings showed that the first 553 554 significant difference between Ptprb levels in non-stimulated and 555 stimulated organoids was seen just before (day 3) the stimulated organoids initiated branching (day 4). This suggests a temporal 556 557 correlation between FGF2 stimulation, *Ptprb* expression and 558 branching.

PTPRB acts on FGFR-ERK1/2 signalling to inhibit mammary branching morphogenesis

The in vivo and in vitro findings, taken together, suggested that although PTPRB does not directly inhibit mammary morphogenesis, it acts as a negative regulator of signalling pathways that promote branching morphogenesis. In this model, suppression of *Ptprb* expression would result in either a higher or more sustained level of signalling by pro-branching pathways. We already demonstrated using an organoid culture system that PTPRB expression interacted with FGF signalling in vitro, To determine whether there was evidence for an interaction between PTPRB and FGF signalling in vivo, and to assess the possibility that PTPRB regulates other signalling pathways associated with mammary branching morphogenesis, we used qPCR to examine the expression of three receptor tyrosine kinases (Erbb2, Egfr and Tek) previously suggested to interact with PTPRB and with potential roles in mammary branching morphogenesis (Andrechek et al., 2005; Chodosh et al., 2000; Wiesen et al., 1999). We also examined expression of three receptor kinases, including two members of the FGF receptor family (*Fgfr1*, *Fgfr2* and *Igfr1*), not previously described as interacting with PTPRB but known to play an important role in mammary development (Lu et al., 2008; Pond et al., 2013; Sternlicht et al., 2006). Patterns of expression in the different mammary epithelial subpopulations at the 5-6 week

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632 633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671 672

673 674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682



Fig. 4. Ptprb knockdown promotes branching morphogenesis in an in vitro model system. Non-infected (NI), shScr-, shPtprb 0145- and shPtprb 3820-transduced organoids were embedded in Matrigel and stimulated to branch with FGF2 for 5 days in culture. Data from three independent experiments (duplicate wells for each treatment per experiment). GFP expression was used as a marker of lentivirus infection. (A) Number of branched organoids as a percentage of the total number of organoids (mean± s.d.). *P<0.05 (t-test). (B) Representative images (merged GFP fluorescence and phase contrast) of organoids with no branching (0 branches), low-level branching (1-5 branches), intermediate-level branching (6-15) and high-level branching (>15) Scale bars: 30 µm. (C) Extent of branching in non-infected (NI), shScr and Ptprb knockdown organoids. The proportion of organoids with low-, intermediate- or high-level branching is shown as a percentage of the total number of branched organoids per treatment. **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01 (χ^2 test of distribution of categorical variables).

developmental time point (when branching morphogenesis in the mammary epithelium is maximal) were determined and compared with the previously established pattern of Ptprb expression (Fig. 6A). This analysis showed a strong correlation between *Ptprb* expression and the patterns of *Fgfr2* and *Tek* expression across the subpopulations, but no correlation with Egfr, Fgfr1 or *Igfr1* expression.

Next, expression of Fgfr2 and Tek across the 3-4 week, 5-6 week and 8-10 week time course was examined and compared with Ptprb (Fig. 6B,C). We concentrated on expression patterns in the MaSCs and LumER⁺ cells, as these two populations showed the highest levels of *Ptprb*, *Fgfr2* and *Tek* at 5-6 weeks. Expression of *Tek* in



Fig. 5. FGF2 suppresses Ptprb expression in vitro. (A) Representative images of unmanipulated organoids in 3D culture either 'non-stimulated' (without growth factor; top panel) or 'stimulated' (with FGF2; bottom panel). Scale bars: 100 µm. Arrowheads indicate branches emerging at day 4. Insets show enlarged $(2.5\times)$ images of the organoids marked by asterisks. (B.C) Ptprb expression in non-stimulated and stimulated organoids, taken at 24 h time points for 6 days, determined by qPCR. Data normalised to β -actin (Actb) and expressed as mean log10 relative fold expression (±95% confidence intervals) over comparator population. Data were collected from three independent organoid preparations. (B) Ptprb expression in stimulated organoids using the day 0 time point as the comparator sample. **P<0.01 compared with day 0; #P<0.01 compared with day 2 (t-tests). (C) Ptprb expression in non-stimulated and stimulated organoids with expression levels in stimulated organoids compared with non-stimulated organoids at the same time point. **P<0.01 relative to comparator.

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

42

LumER⁺ cells was significantly lowered in 5-6 week animals relative to 3-4 weeks. However, by 8-10 weeks it was back to 3-4 week levels (Fig. 6B). By contrast, Tek levels in MaSCs were not significantly different between 3-4 weeks and 5-6 weeks and then fell significantly at 8-10 weeks. Thus, there were similarities between *Tek* and *Ptprb* expression patterns in LumER⁺ cells, although these were not exact. However, there were no obvious correlations between Tek and Ptprb expression in MaSCs (Fig. 6C).

Fgfr2 expression levels were not significantly different in the 736 LumER⁺ cells between 3-4 weeks and 5-6 weeks but were 737 significantly increased at 8-10 weeks. However, in MaSCs, there 738 was a significant increase in Fgfr2 expression at 5-6 weeks 739 compared with 3-4 weeks, and then a decrease in expression. AQ5 Therefore, there was no obvious correlation between Ptprb and Fgfr2 expression in LumER⁺ cells but there was an inverse correlation between the Ptprb and Fgfr2 expression patterns in the 743 MaSCs (Fig. 6B,C). 744

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787



807

808

809

810

811

812

813

AQ11

817

818

819

820

821

822

A@12

824

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

Fig. 6. Analysis of expression of candidate PTPRBinteracting receptor tyrosine kinases in mammary epithelial subpopulations. (A) Relative Ptprb, Fgfr2, Tek, Egfr, Fgfr1 and Igfr1 expression in MaSCs, MYOs, LumERand LumER⁺ populations determined by qPCR at midpuberty (5-6 week samples). The comparator sample is the LumER⁻ population in all cases. Ptprb data reproduced from Fig. 1 for reference. **P<0.01 versus LumER-, *P<0.05 versus LumER⁻; ##P<0.01 versus MYO, ^^P<0.01 versus LumER⁺, ^P<0.05 versus LumER⁺. For simplicity, significance is only shown compared with lower expressing samples. (B,C) Relative Ptprb, Fgfr2 and Tek expression in LumER⁺ cells (B) and MaSCs (C) at 3-4, 5-6 and 8-10 weeks of age. The comparator was the 4-week-old sample for each population. Data were normalised to β-actin (Actb) and expressed as mean log10 relative fold expression (±95% confidence intervals) over the comparator. Data from three independent isolates of each cell population at each age. Ptprb data reproduced from Fig. 1 for reference. **P<0.01 versus 3-4 week samples.

The *in vitro* organoid assay had already demonstrated that treatment with the FGFR ligand FGF2 promotes branching morphogenesis whilst suppressing *Ptprb* expression, supporting the inverse correlative relationship between *Fgfr2* and *Ptprb* expression *in vivo*. We now tested whether two ligands for TEK, angiopoietin 1 and 2 (ANG1 and 2; also known as ANGPT1 and 2), could substitute for FGF2 in this assay. However, neither was able to stimulate branching (Fig. S5B), suggesting that the ANG-TEK axis is not involved in mammary branching morphogenesis. We therefore focussed on FGFR signalling and addressed whether PTPRB is a negative regulator of this pathway.

788 As PTPRB is a cell surface receptor phosphatase, we 789 hypothesised that it might be regulating phosphorylation of FGF 790 receptors. We therefore tested in three independent experiments 791 whether Ptprb knockdown altered baseline levels of FGFR 792 phosphorylation as well as the response to FGF2. Indeed, transduction with the shPtprb 3820 virus significantly increased 793 794 FGFR phosphorylation over shLuc control, both baseline levels and 795 in response to FGF2. The effects of shPtprb 0145 were more 796 modest, with a significant difference only seen after five minutes of 797 FGF2 treatment (Fig. 7A, Fig. S6). Note that pFGFR antibodies 798 cannot distinguish between the FGFR receptor isoforms, so it is not possible to determine which (or indeed if more than one) of the 799 800 family shows increased phosphorylation in response to Ptprb knockdown. However, by using non-phospho-specific antibodies 801 802 which do distinguish between the isoforms, we were able to 803 demonstrate that total levels of FGFR1, 2, 3 and 4 were not changed 804 when Ptprb was knocked down (Figs S7 and S8), confirming that 805 the increase in pFGFR levels was indeed due to increased receptor 806 phosphorylation rather than to increased receptor expression.

We next tested whether Ptprb knockdown altered the response of a downstream effector of FGF signalling, ERK1/2 (also known as MAPK3/1), to FGF2. First, we confirmed that branching in the organoid culture system in response to FGF2 was dependent on ERK1/2 activity, using a small molecule inhibitor of ERK (SCH772984; Figs S9 and S10). Next, organoids cultured in the in vitro branching assay system were transduced with either shLuc, shPtprb 0145 or shPtprb 3820 knockdown virus and protein lysates collected either from unstimulated cultures or from cultures after 5, 15 and 60 min of FGF2 stimulation. In three independent experiments, Ptprb knockdown by both shPtprb 0145 and shPtprb 3820 resulted in a statistically significant increase in unstimulated baseline ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared with shLuc controls. In response to FGF2 stimulation, shPtprb 3820 cultures continued to show statistically significantly higher levels of phosphorylation at all time points, over and above the increased phosphorylation resulting from activation of the pathway. shPtprb 0145 cultures also showed higher mean phosphorylation but the differences in stimulated cultures were not statistically significant (Fig. 7B, Fig. S11).

Finally, FGF-stimulated organoids in which *Ptprb* had been knocked down by either shPtprb 0145 or shPtprb 3820, were treated with SCH772984. In control cultures, knockdown organoids showed increased branching in response to FGF, as previously. However, treatment with the inhibitor partially restored branching back to control levels in shPtprb 0145-transduced cultures and fully restored control branching levels in shPtprb 3820 cultures (Fig. 7C). Taken together, these findings support the model that PTPRB suppresses branching morphogenesis via inhibition of the FGFR2-ERK1/2 signalling axis.







DISCUSSION

Mammary epithelial development is a highly regulated process dependent on the interplay between systemically acting hormones and locally produced growth factors. During puberty, rising levels of the ovarian steroid hormones oestrogen and progesterone, growth hormone secreted from the pituitary gland and locally produced growth factors cause a significant increase in ductal growth (Hennighausen and Robinson, 2001; Macias and Hinck, 2012). This growth is driven by bulbous TEBs, which form at the tips of elongating primary ducts and regularly bifurcate to form the primary branches of the ductal epithelium. As the mammary tree matures, secondary side branches sprout laterally at regular intervals, from which will form the tertiary lateral branches that occur at each dioestrus and during pregnancy. The TEB-tipped ducts grow until they reach the edge of the fat pad. At this stage, the TEBs regress and the subtending duct becomes relatively quiescent, leaving the branched ductal structures of the mature virgin gland (Hens and Wysolmerski, 2005). The unique 'open architecture' of the non-pregnant gland suggests that branching morphogenesis is a highly regulated process, involving orchestrated ductal elongation, TEB bifurcation and lateral branching, which ensures space for additional

proliferation and the formation of alveoli during pregnancy. A number of positive regulators of this process have been reported, such as the oestrogen/oestrogen receptor alpha (ER) axis and its downstream effectors, the growth hormone/growth hormone receptor/insulin-like growth factor 1 axis, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signalling and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) signalling. However, few negative regulators have been identified, transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF β 1) and sprouty 2 (SPRY2) being notable exceptions (Sternlicht, 2006; Sternlicht et al., 2006). Here, we have now identified PTPRB as a novel negative regulator of this process.

In arterial endothelial cells, PTPRB activity enhances VE-cadherin-mediated adhesion (Nawroth et al., 2002) but is a negative regulator of TEK. Use of PTPRB-inactivating antibodies in adult mice triggered activation of TEK, resulting in increased downstream signalling via ERK1/2, which in turn caused increased endothelial cell proliferation and enlargement of vascular structures (Winderlich et al., 2009). Thus, PTPRB is required to balance TEK activity and endothelial cell proliferation, thereby controlling blood vessel development and vessel size. This is supported by work in two independent germline *Ptprb* mouse knockout models,

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077 1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

in which embryonic lethality occurred at around 10 days gestation
owing to severe vascular defects. In both models, vasculogenesis
occurred normally but angiogenesis was severely affected leading to
the deterioration of the intra-embryonic vascular system and
lethality, demonstrating an essential role for PTPRB in
angiogenesis and blood vessel remodelling (Baumer et al., 2006;
Dominguez et al., 2007).

1000 In contrast to angiogenesis, in the mammary epithelium we find that PTPRB regulates morphogenesis by modulating FGFR 1001 1002 signalling rather than TEK signalling. FGFRs can activate a 1003 number of potential downstream pathways, including PI3K/PIP2/ AKT, PLCγ/IP3/Ca²⁺/calmodulin and SOS/RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK. 1004 FGFR stimulates the SOS/RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway by 1005 1006 phosphorylation of fibroblast growth factor receptor substrate 2 1007 (FRS2), which in turn recruits GRB2, activating SOS and the 1008 downstream cascade (Katoh, 2009). Notably, SPRY2, another 1009 negative regulator of branching morphogenesis (Sternlicht, 2006; 1010 Sternlicht et al., 2006) is a negative regulator of FGF-induced ERK pathway activation (Rubin et al., 2005), probably acting 1011 1012 downstream of the GRB2-SOS complex (Gross et al., 2001). 1013 Here, we have demonstrated that knockdown of PTPRB results 1014 in higher baseline levels FGFR phosphorylation, resulting in 1015 higher levels of downstream p-ERK1/2 and a more sustained 1016 response to FGF2 stimulation, leading to a more densely 1017 branched mammary tree.

Evidence is emerging that *PTPRB* might be a tumour suppressor 1018 1019 gene in a variety of cancers. Recurrent PTPRB loss-of-function 1020 mutations have been identified in angiosarcoma (in 10 of 39 1021 tumours examined) (Behjati et al., 2014), consistent with its normal 1022 role in angiogenesis, but also in metastatic melanoma (9 tumours 1023 with missense, nonsense or splice-site mutations out of a 97 tumour 1024 set) (Ding et al., 2014). Homozygous single nucleotide variations in 1025 PTPRB have also been reported in a rare family with siblings with 1026 glioblastoma multiforme; the parents were heterozygous for the 1027 mutations (Backes et al., 2014). No specific study of PTPRB in 1028 breast cancer has been undertaken, although Ptprb is a component of the MaSC gene signature we have identified as being prognostic 1029 1030 in breast tumours (Soady et al., 2015). In contrast, a link between 1031 FGFR2 and breast cancer is well-established. FGFR2 gene 1032 amplification and FGFR2 protein overexpression (especially of C-1033 terminally truncated products) occurs in primary ER⁺ breast cancer 1034 (Adnane et al., 1991; Katoh, 2003). The C-terminally truncated product can activate signalling cascades in a ligand-independent 1035 1036 manner (Moffa and Ethier, 2007). Missense point mutations also 1037 occur in primary breast cancer (Stephens et al., 2005) and single 1038 nucleotide polymorphisms in intron 2 of FGFR2 are associated with 1039 an increased risk of ER⁺ breast cancer (Easton et al., 2007). Our 1040 findings suggest FGFR2 and PTPRB should be considered as part 1041 of an integrated signalling pathway when assessing the activity of 1042 receptor tyrosine kinase signalling cascades in breast cancer.

1043 Our study does have limitations, including potential off-target 1044 and non-specific toxic effects of shRNA, as well as potential 1045 variable levels of viral infection and variable tropisms to different 1046 cell types. To offset the issue of infection levels, we chose a 1047 minimum cut-off of 30% GFP-positive cells for analysis of 1048 organoids based on previously published work (Macias et al., 2011). Furthermore, the pattern of expression of FGF receptors in 1049 1050 organoid cultures is unknown and any period of culture might alter 1051 the behaviour of epithelial cell subtypes compared with the in vivo 1052 situation. Nevertheless, the results of the study as a whole are 1053 consistent in supporting a role for PTPRB in regulating FGF-1054 dependent branching morphogenesis.

We cannot definitively distinguish between a role for PTPRB in TEB bifurcation, ductal elongation or lateral branching. However, the increased density of branching shown in Ptprb transplant outgrowths must have resulted from either a decrease in the rate of ductal elongation or an increase in the rate of formation of new branch points (either by TEB bifurcation or lateral branching). Ptprb knockdown resulting in a decrease in ductal elongation would not be consistent with our in vitro findings that Ptprb-knockdown organoids have more branches in response to FGF treatment. Furthermore, higher expression of *Ptprb* in subtending ducts relative to TEBs is at least correlative evidence that Ptprb could be suppressing lateral branching during ductal elongation, although the TEBs versus ducts study was carried out using C57/Bl6 mice, rather than FvB, and the possibility of strain-specific differences cannot be definitively excluded. Despite this caveat, when considered as a whole the data favour a model in which PTPRB is a negative regulator of FGFR-dependent branching, rather than ductal elongation.

PTPRB has been typically characterised as a 'vascular endothelial-specific' phosphatase (Behjati et al., 2014). However, it is becoming clear that it has a wider role in other tissues. Its function in branching morphogenesis in both the vasculature and, as we have now shown, the mammary epithelium suggests that PTPRB is a fundamental regulator of this developmental programme irrespective of organ system. Furthermore, its emerging role in cancer and the established importance of the pathway it regulates to tumour biology, reaffirm the relevance of developmental signalling programmes to the biology of malignant disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of mammary epithelial cells for flow cytometry

All animal work was carried out under UK Home Office project and personal licences following local ethical approval by the Institute of Cancer Research Animal Ethics Committee and in accordance with local and national guidelines. Single cells were prepared from fourth mammary fat pads of virgin female FVB mice as described (Regan et al., 2013) and stained with anti-CD24-FITC (clone M/69 at 1.0 µg/ml; BD Biosciences, 553261), anti-Sca-1-APC (clone D7 at 1.0 µg/ml; eBioscience, 17-5981), anti-CD45-PE-Cy7 (clone 30-F11 at 1.0 µg/ml; BD Biosciences, 552848), anti-CD49f-PE-Cy5 (clone GoH3 at 5.0 µl/ml; BD Biosciences, 551129) and anti-c-Kit-PE (clone 2B8 at 1.0 µg/ml; BD Biosciences, 553355). Mammary epithelial cell subpopulations were defined as shown in Fig. S1. For sorting of GFP⁺ cells harvested from transplanted fat pads, the combination of anti-CD24-Pacific Blue (clone M/69 at 1.0 µg/ml; BD Biosciences, 561079), anti-Sca-1-APC, anti-CD45-PE-Cy7 and DAPI was used. DAPI-positive dead cells are distinguishable from Pacific Bluestained cells by their very bright fluorescence.

Gene expression analysis by quantitative real-time **RT-PCR** (qPCR)

Freshly sorted primary cells were lysed in RLT buffer (Qiagen) and stored at -80° C. Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy MinElute Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. For cultured organoids, RNA was isolated with Trizol (Invitrogen). qPCR reactions were performed as previously described using either TaqMan assays or in-house designed probes (Table S2) (Kendrick et al., 2008). All results were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method compared with an endogenous control gene. Data were expressed as the mean fold gene expression difference in three independently isolated cell preparations over a comparator sample with 95% confidence intervals.

RNAScope in situ hybridisation for Ptprb

RNAScope for *Ptprb* was performed on 5 µm sections using RNAscope 2.51114HD Duplex Reagent Kit using manufacturer's instructions (Advanced Cell1115Diagnostics). RNAscope Control Slides -Mouse 3T3 Cell Pellet were used1116

 $1179 \\ 1180 \\ 1181 \\ AQ7 \\ 1183 \\ 1183 \\ 1183 \\ 1185 \\ 1186 \\ 1$

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

to test the protocol. Briefly, sections were cut and left to dry overnight at 1117 room temperature. Samples were then baked at 60°C for 1 h, then de-1118 paraffinisation was performed (2×5 min in fresh xylene), which was 1119 followed by 2×1 min in 100% ethanol. Sections were then left to dry 5 min 1120 at room temperature. Pretreat $(H_2 0_2)$ was then applied and left 10 min at 1121 room temperature followed by two washes in distilled H₂0. Slides were then 1122 left to boil in Pretreat2 (antigen retrieval) for 15 min and were then washed 1123 twice in distilled water (2×5 min). Preatreat3 (protease) was applied on the 1124 slides for 30 min at 40°C. After two brief washes in distilled water, warmed 1125 probes were applied for 2 h at 40°C: RNAscope 2-plex Negative Control 1126 Probe (320751), Mm-Ptprb Cat No. 481391 in C2 channel (red), RNAscope 1127 2.5 Duplex Positive Control Probe (Mm) PPIB-C1 (Green)/POLR2A-C2 (Red). After two washes in the wash buffer, slides were left at room 1128 temperature overnight in 5×SSC. The next day, slides were incubated with 1129 several rounds of amplification Amp1-10 reagents following manufacturer's 1130 instruction to detect red and green signal. Slides were finally counterstained 1131 5 s in 50% filtered Mayer's Haemalum (Lamb/170D) and washed briefly in 1132 water then baked for 30 min at 60°C. The slides were mounted using 1133 Vectamount (60 ml) (Vector Laboratories, 321584). 1134

1136 Isolation of TEB and duct fragments

1135

Stromal-free TEBs and ducts were isolated as described previously (Morris 1137 and Stein, 2017; Morris et al., 2006). Briefly, C57BL/6 mice were humanely 1138 killed at 6-7 weeks (16-18 g) and the inguinal mammary glands were 1139 dissected and collected in chilled L15 medium. Twenty glands were pooled 1140 for each preparation, coarsely cut with scalpels and digested with 1 mg/ml 1141 (w/v) collagenase Type II (Sigma) at 37°C for 20-30 min (for TEBs) or 30-1142 45 min (for ducts) with mild agitation. After incubation, the epithelium was 1143 further freed of the stroma by vigorous shaking by hand. The collagenase 1144 was diluted and blocked with fresh cold L15 medium with 0.1% fetal bovine 1145 serum (FBS) and the epithelium spun down at 250 g for 5 min. The pellet was re-suspended in fresh cold L15 medium with 10% FBS, transferred to a 1146 gridded 60 mm dish, and released TEB and ducts were collected under a 1147 stereo dissection microscope with a 10 µl pipette into 50-100 µl TRI-reagent 1148 (Sigma) before snap-freezing. 1149

RNA isolation and microarray hybridisation from isolated epithelium

For RNA isolation, frozen samples were thawed and RNA isolated according to manufacturer's protocol before re-suspension in RNase-free water. The RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer, pooled and subjected to on-column DNase I treatment (Qiagen) and further concentration using a RNeasy-Micro kit (Qiagen).
RNA quality was finally assessed using a 2100 Bioanalyzer and RNA 6000 Nano kit (both Agilent).

For microarray hybridisation, 1.5 µg RNA per sample (from ~300-400 1159 isolated TEBs or ducts) was used in pooled duplicates and analysed at the 1160 Henry Wellcome Functional Genomics Facility (Glasgow). rRNA was 1161 removed using a RiboMinus Human/MouseTranscriptome Isolation kit and 1162 RiboMinus magnetic beads, labelled according to manufacturer's protocol 1163 and finally hybridised to mouse whole-genome exon arrays (GeneChip-1164 Mouse-Exon-1.0-ST-Array, Affymetrix UK) using a GeneChip Fluidics 1165 Station 450/250. The signals were measured using a GeneChip Scanner 1166 3000 7G. CEL-files were analysed and normalised by RMA using the opensource 'Altanalyze' software (Emig et al., 2010). Results of differentially 1167 abundant RNAs in TEBs and ducts were ranked according to raw P-value 1168 [one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)] (Table S1). Raw data files have 1169 been submitted to Gene Expression Omnibus with the accession number 1170 GSE94371. 1171

Lentivirus production

1173 Oligonucleotide pairs for shPtprb#1 (CACCGCGTCACCCTGTAACTTT 1174 AGCCGAA GCTAAAGTTACAGGGTGACGC and AAAAGCGTCA 1175 CCCTGTAACTTTAGCTTCGGC TAAAGTTACAGGGTGACGC) and
 1176 shPtprb#2 (CACCGCAAACACCTCCTTGGCTATCC GAAGATAG 1177 CCAAGGAGGTGTTTGC and AAAAGCAAACACCTCCTTGGCTA 1178 TCTT CGGATAGCCAAGGAGGTGTTTGC) were ligated into pENTR/U6

Gateway system entry vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Hairpin sequences were verified and then transferred, together with the U6 promoter, into a Gateway-modified pSEW lentiviral vector backbone (Vafaizadeh et al., 2010) by LR reaction (Invitrogen). Viral supernatants were generated by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, 11668-019) co-transfection of the packaging and viral DNA sequence plasmids into HEK293T cells. Cells were re-fed with fresh medium (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium, DMEM; Invitrogen) plus 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (PAA Laboratories, GE Healthcare) after 24 h. Supernatants were harvested 48 and 72 h after transfection and assayed for absence of replication-competent virus. Supernatants were stored at -80° C until use. For transplantation assays, primary mammary cells were transduced with lentivirus using the suspension method as described (Kendrick et al., 2008). Supernatants were adjusted by dilution where necessary to ensure comparable viral titres prior to transduction.

Mammary epithelial cell transplantation

Transplantation of lentivirus-transduced primary FVB mouse mammary epithelial cells into cleared fats pads of athymic Ncr Nude mice was carried out as described (Britt et al., 2009; Sleeman et al., 2007). Fat pads were harvested 8 weeks after transplantation, whole-mounted and photographed under epifluorescent illumination. For size analysis, the area of the GFP⁺ outgrowths (defined by a continuous line around the outermost limit of the outgrowth) was determined using ImageJ with reference to a scale bar. For branching analysis, the number of branch points was counted in three 0.1 cm² fields per view per gland. The small size and difficulty in obtaining clear images of some outgrowths meant that not all of the outgrowths analysed for size were available for branching analysis.

Mice were injected with control and knockdown cells in contralateral fat pads (shLuc versus shPtprb pool; shScr1 versus shPtprb 0145; shScr1 versus shPtprb 3820) to control for variability in growth between animals and variability in time at which glands were harvested, both of which will affect the size of the final outgrowth.

For flow-sorting analysis, GFP+ outgrowths were dissected out and 1208 processed to single cells, stained and analysed as described above. For 1209 secondary transplantation, GFP⁺ outgrowths were dissected out, processed 1210 to single cells and immediately re-transplanted. For histological analysis of 1211 transplants, small (5 mm³) pieces of GFP⁺ outgrowths were dissected out, 1212 formalin-fixed and paraffin embedded by standard methods. Dewaxed and 1213 re-hydrated sections underwent antigen retrieval in citrate buffer (0.01 M, 1214 pH 6.0) for 18 min in a microwave (900 W) before blocking in DAKO 1215 REAL Peroxidase blocking solution for 10 min (Dako) for 30 min. Sections 1216 were incubated in Mouse on Mouse (M.O.M) Mouse Ig blocking reagent (Vector Laboratories, BMK-2202) for 60 min followed by primary antibody 1217 for 60 min at room temperature, followed by M.O.M Biotinylated Anti-1218 mouse IgG Reagent for 10 min. The secondary antibody was detected by 1219 application of Vectastain Elite ABC reagent for 5 min followed by 1220 application of the chromogen 3.3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) for 5 min 1221 (ABC, Vector Laboratories). Primary antibodies used were anti-K8/18 1222 (clone Ks18.04, mouse monoclonal; Progen Biotechnik, 61028; 1:2), anti-1223 SMA (clone 1A4, mouse monoclonal; Sigma, A5691; 1:500).

1224 For immunofluorescence, sections were incubated in Mouse on Mouse 1225 (M.O.M) Mouse Ig blocking reagent for 60 min, followed by overnight 1226 incubation in primary antibody at 4°C. Primary antibody was detected with an appropriate Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibody. Images of 1227 1228 stained sections were captured using a Leica TCS-SP2 microscope in three 1229 or four channels using Leica LCS software (Leica Microsystems). Negative 1230 controls were performed using the same protocols with substitution of the 1231 primary antibody with IgG matched controls. In double-staining 1232 experiments, control single-stained sections in which either the primary antibody was left out or the primary antibody was combined with the wrong 1233 1234 secondary antibody showed no staining. Primary antibodies used were anti-SMA (clone 1A4, mouse monoclonal; Sigma, A5691; 1:500), anti-Ki67 1235 1236 (rabbit polyclonal; Abcam, ab16667; 1:300), anti-cleaved caspase-3 (rabbit polyclonal; Cell Signaling Technology, 9661S; 1:100). Lung tissue from 1237 mice treated with four doses of doxorubicin at 2.5 mg kg^{-1} and 1238 cyclophosphamide at 40 mg kg⁻¹ at 5-day intervals and then harvested 1239 5 days after the final dose was used a positive control for cleaved caspase-3. 1240

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

\Q10

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1360

1361

1241 Isolation and culture of mammary gland organoids

Cultures were prepared as previously described (Ewald et al., 2008; Fata 1242 et al., 2007). Briefly, third and fourth mammary fat pad pairs were harvested 1243 from virgin female 8- to 10-week-old FVBn mice. Fat pads were minced and 1244 tissue shaken for 30-45 min at 37°C in 50 ml 1:1 DMEM:Ham's F12 1245 (Invitrogen), 5% FCS (PAA Laboratories) media with 3 mg/ml collagenase 1246 A (Roche Life Sciences) and 3 mg/ml trypsin (Sigma). The collagenase 1247 solution was centrifuged at 1500 rpm (xxx,g) for 10 min, dispersed through 1248 10 ml 1:1 DMEM:Ham's F12, centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min, and then 1249 re-suspended in 5 ml 1 µg/ml DNase I (Sigma) in serum-free 1:1 DMEM: 1250 Ham's F12 medium. The DNase solution was shaken by hand at room temperature for 2-5 min then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min. Organoids 1251 were separated from single cells through four differential centrifugations 1252 (pulse to 1500 rpm in 10 ml 1:1 DMEM:Ham's F12). The final pellet was 1253 re-suspended in the desired amount of Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel (BD 1254 Biosciences) or 1:1 mix of Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel and lentivirus 1255 or 1:1 DMEM:Ham's F12 for non-infected controls. 1256

Organoid assays were carried out in 24-well plates. Fifty microlitres of cold Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel was laid onto a sterile 13 mm diameter borosilicate glass coverslip and incubated for 30 min at 37°C to solidify. Fifty microlitres of the organoid and Matrigel mix or organoid, Matrigel and lentivirus mix was plated over the solidified Matrigel and the plate incubated at 37°C for another 30 min. Once the Matrigel or 1:1 Matrigel:lentivirus mix containing the organoids had set, the organoids were covered with minimal media (1:1 DMEM:Ham's F12, 5 µg/ml insulin-(Sigma) or branching medium (minimal medium+50 ng ml-1 FGF2-(Peprotech). ANG1 and AQ8 ANG2 were a kind gift of Dr Andy Reynolds (Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK) and were also added at 50 ng ml⁻¹. For lentiviral transduction experiments, organoids with a minimum of ≥30% GFP-positive cells were analysed, in line with previous studies (Macias et al., 2011).

Protein analysis

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1267

1268

1269

Transduced mammary organoids were serum starved for 12 h and left 1270 unstimulated or stimulated with 10 ng/ml FGF2 for the indicated times. 1271 ERK1/2 inhibitor SCH772984 was obtained from Selleckchem 1272 (Newmarket, Suffolk, UK; S7101). Organoids were released from 1273 Matrigel using non-enzymatic cell recovery solution (BD Biosciences) 1274 and then lysed in Laemmli buffer (2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1.25% β-1275 mercaptoethanol, 0.002% Bromophenol Blue, 0.0625 M Tris pH 6.8). 1276 Following SDS-PAGE, protein extracts were transferred to a PVDF membrane and probed with antibodies to p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (Cell 1277 Signaling Technology, 9102), phospho-p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (Thr202/ 1278 Tyr204) (Cell Signaling Technology, 9101), phospho-FGF receptor 1279 (Tyr653/654) (Cell Signaling Technology, 3471), FGFR1 (rabbit 1280 monoclonal, clone D8E4; Cell Signaling Technology, 9740), FGFR2 1281 (mouse monoclonal, clone 1G3; Abnova, H00002263-M01), FGFR3 1282 (rabbit polyclonal; Thermo Fisher Scientific, PA5-34574), FGFR4 (rabbit 1283 polyclonal; Sigma-Aldrich, HPA028251) or anti-tubulin (clone BM1A; 1284 Sigma, T6199). After incubation with peroxidase-conjugated secondary 1285 antibodies, immunocomplexes were detected using Enhanced 1286 Chemiluminescent (ECL) reagents (xxx supplier? xxx). Densitometric 1287 analysis was performed using ImageJ software.

Statistics

1288

1289

1297

Significance of gene expression differences analysed by qRT-PCR were 1290 determined using 95% confidence intervals as described (Cumming et al., 1291 2007). To test whether Ptprb knockdown decreased size of outgrowths but 1292 increased branching, one-tailed unpaired t-tests were used. To determine 1293 whether Ptprb knockdown increased levels of ERK1/2 and FGFR 1294 phosphorylation in response to FGF2 stimulation, one-tailed unpaired 1295 *t*-tests were used. To determine differences in organoid branching, χ^2 test of 1296 distribution of categorical variables was used.

Acknowledgements 1298

The authors would like to thank Fredrik Wallberg, David Robertson and the 1299 Breakthrough Histopathology Core Facility for technical assistance. 1300

1301 **Competing interests**

A@9 The authors declare no competing or financial interests.



Conceptualization: M.J.S.; Methodology: K.J.S., B.A.G.; Formal analysis: K.J.S., G.T., H.K., V.M., D.O.-D., J.S.M., T.S., C.M.I., M.J.S.; Investigation: K.J.S., G.T., H.K., V.M., D.O.-D., J.S.M., T.S.; Writing - original draft: K.J.S., C.M.I., M.J.S.; Writing - review & editing: K.J.S., G.T., H.K., V.M., D.O.-D., J.S.M., T.S., B.A.G., C.M.I., M.J.S.; Supervision: B.A.G., C.M.I., M.J.S.; Project administration: M.J.S.; Funding acquisition: M.J.S.

Funding

This study was funded by an Institute of Cancer Research PhD studentship. Breakthrough Breast Cancer, Wales Cancer Research Centre, Breast Cancer Now and Cancer Research UK. We acknowledge NHS funding to the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. G.T. is supported by the Wales Cancer Research Centre. M.J.S. is supported by Cardiff University, Breast Cancer Now and Cancer Research UK. Deposited in PMC for immediate release.

Data availability

Raw data files for microarray studies have been submitted to Gene Expression Omnibus with accession number GSE94371.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.149120.supplemental

References

- Adnane, J., Gaudray, P., Dionne, C. A., Crumley, G., Jaye, M., Schlessinger, J., Jeanteur, P., Birnbaum, D. and Theillet, C. (1991). BEK and FLG, two receptors to members of the FGF family, are amplified in subsets of human breast cancers. Oncogene 6, 659-663
- Andrechek, E. R., White, D. and Muller, W. J. (2005). Targeted disruption of ErbB2/Neu in the mammary epithelium results in impaired ductal outgrowth. Oncoaene 24, 932-937.
- Backes, C., Harz, C., Fischer, U., Schmitt, J., Ludwig, N., Petersen, B. S., Mueller, S. C., Kim, Y. J., Wolf, N. M., Katus, H. A. et al. (2014). New insights into the genetics of glioblastoma multiforme by familial exome sequencing. Oncotarget 6. 5918-5931.
- Ball, S. M. (1998). The development of the terminal end bud in the prepubertalpubertal mouse mammary gland. Anat. Rec. 250, 459-464.
- Barr, A. J., Ugochukwu, E., Lee, W. H., King, O. N. F., Filippakopoulos, P., Alfano, I., Savitsky, P., Burgess-Brown, N. A., Müeller, S. and Knapp, S. (2009). Large-scale structural analysis of the classical human protein tyrosine phosphatome. Cell 136, 352-363.
- Baumer, S., Keller, L., Holtmann, A., Funke, R., August, B., Gamp, A., Wolburg, H., Wolburg-Buchholz, K., Deutsch, U. and Vestweber, D. (2006). Vascular endothelial cell-specific phosphotyrosine phosphatase (VE-PTP) activity is required for blood vessel development, Blood 107, 4754-4762.
- Behjati, S., Tarpey, P. S., Sheldon, H., Martincorena, I., Van Loo, P., Gundem, G., Wedge, D. C., Ramakrishna, M., Cooke, S. L., Pillay, N. et al. (2014). Recurrent PTPRB and PLCG1 mutations in angiosarcoma. Nat. Genet. 46, 376-379
- Chodosh, L. A., Gardner, H. P., Rajan, J. V., Stairs, D. B., Marquis, S. T. and Leder, P. A. (2000). Protein kinase expression during murine mammary development, Dev. Biol. 219, 259-276.
- Cumming, G., Fidler, F. and Vaux, D. L. (2007). Error bars in experimental biology. J. Cell Biol. 177, 7-11
- Ding, L., Kim, M., Kanchi, K. L., Dees, N. D., Lu, C., Griffith, M., Fenstermacher, D., Sung, H., Miller, C. A., Goetz, B. et al. (2014). Clonal architectures and driver mutations in metastatic melanomas. PLoS ONE 9, e111153.
- Dominguez, M. G., Hughes, V. C., Pan, L., Simmons, M., Daly, C., Anderson, K., Noguera-Troise, I., Murphy, A. J., Valenzuela, D. M., Davis, S. et al. (2007). Vascular endothelial tyrosine phosphatase (VE-PTP)-null mice undergo vasculogenesis but die embryonically because of defects in angiogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 3243-3248.
- Easton, D. F. Pooley, K. A. Dunning, A. M. Pharoah, P. D. Thompson, D. Ballinger, D. G. Struewing, J. P. Morrison, J. Field, H. Luben, R. et al. (2007). Genome-wide association study identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. Nature 447, 1087-1093.
- Emig, D., Salomonis, N., Baumbach, J., Lengauer, T., Conklin, B. R. and 1357 Albrecht, M. (2010). AltAnalyze and DomainGraph: analyzing and visualizing 1358 exon expression data. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, W755-W762. 1359
- Ewald, A. J., Brenot, A., Duong, M., Chan, B. S. and Werb, Z. (2008). Collective epithelial migration and cell rearrangements drive mammary branching morphogenesis. Dev. Cell 14, 570-581.
- Fata, J. E., Mori, H., Ewald, A. J., Zhang, H., Yao, E., Werb, Z. and Bissell, M. J. 1362 (2007). The MAPK(ERK-1,2) pathway integrates distinct and antagonistic signals 1363 from TGFalpha and FGF7 in morphogenesis of mouse mammary epithelium. Dev. Biol. 306, 193-207. 1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418 1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1471

1472

1473

1488

Gross, I., Bassit, B., Benezra, M. and Licht, J. D. (2001). Mammalian sprouty	Regan, J. L., Sourisseau, T., Soady, K., Kendrick, H., McCarthy, A., Tang, C.,	1427
proteins inhibit cell growth and differentiation by preventing ras activation. J. Biol. Chem 276 46460-46468	Brennan, K., Linardopoulos, S., White, D. E. and Smalley, M. J. (2013). Aurora	1428
Hennighausen, L. and Robinson, G. W. (2001). Signaling pathways in mammary	orientation in a Notch-dependent manner. <i>Cell Rep.</i> 4 , 110-123.	1429
gland development. Dev. Cell 1, 467-475.	Rios, A. C., Fu, N. Y., Lindeman, G. J. and Visvader, J. E. (2014). In situ	1430
Hens, J. R. and Wysolmerski, J. J. (2005). Key stages of mammary gland	identification of bipotent stem cells in the mammary gland. Nature 506, 322-327.	1431
mammary gland Breast Cancer Res 7 220-224	Rubin, C., Zwang, Y., Vaisman, N., Ron, D. and Yarden, Y. (2005).	1432
Katoh, M. (2003). FGFR2 and WDR11 are neighboring oncogene and tumor	Sprouty-2 inhibition of different signaling pathways J Biol Chem 280	1433
suppressor gene on human chromosome 10q26. Int. J. Oncol. 22, 1155-1159.	9735-9744.	1434
Katoh, M. (2009). FGFR2 abnormalities underlie a spectrum of bone, skin, and	Shackleton, M., Vaillant, F., Simpson, K. J., Stingl, J., Smyth, G. K., Asselin-	1435
cancer pathologies. J. Invest. Dermatol. 129, 1861-1867.	Labat, ML., Wu, L., Lindeman, G. J. and Visvader, J. E. (2006). Generation of	1436
Zvelebil. M. and Smallev. M. J. (2008). Transcriptome analysis of mammary	a functional mammary gland from a single stem cell. <i>Nature</i> 439 , 84-88.	1437
epithelial subpopulations identifies novel determinants of lineage commitment	(2006) CD24 staining of mouse mammary gland cells defines luminal epithelial	1438
and cell fate. BMC Genomics 9, 591.	myoepithelial/basal and non-epithelial cells. <i>Breast Cancer Res.</i> 8, R7.	1/30
Lu, P., Ewald, A. J., Martin, G. R. and Werb, Z. (2008). Genetic mosaic analysis	Soady, K. J., Kendrick, H., Gao, Q., Tutt, A., Zvelebil, M., Ordonez, L. D., Quist,	1439
branching morphogenesis. Dev Biol 321 77-87	J., Tan, D. W., Isacke, C. M., Grigoriadis, A. et al. (2015). Mouse mammary stem	1440
Macias, H. and Hinck, L. (2012). Mammary Gland Development. <i>Wiley Interdiscip</i>	cells express prognostic markers for triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer	1441
Rev Dev Biol 1, 533-557.	Srinivasan, K., Strickland, P., Valdes, A., Shin, G. C. and Hinck, L. (2003). Netrin-	1442
Macias, H., Moran, A., Samara, Y., Moreno, M., Compton, J. E., Harburg, G.,	1/neogenin interaction stabilizes multipotent progenitor cap cells during mammary	1443
strickiand, P. and Hinck, L. (2011). SLIT/ROBOT signaling suppresses	gland morphogenesis. Dev. Cell 4, 371-382.	1444
20 , 827-840.	Stephens, P., Edkins, S., Davies, H., Greenman, C., Cox, C., Hunter, C., Bignell,	1445
Maeda, N. and Noda, M. (1998). Involvement of receptor-like protein tyrosine	G., Teague, J., Smith, R., Stevens, C. et al. (2005). A screen of the complete	1446
phosphatase zeta/RPTPbeta and its ligand pleiotrophin/heparin-binding growth-	human breast cancer. Nat. Genet. 37 , 590-592.	1447
associated molecule (HB-GAM) in neuronal migration. J. Cell Biol. 142, 203-216. Matozaki, T. Murata, Y. Mori, M. Kotani, T. Okazawa, H. and Obnishi, H. (2010).	Sternlicht, M. D. (2006). Key stages in mammary gland development: the cues that	1448
Expression, localization, and biological function of the R3 subtype of receptor-	regulate ductal branching morphogenesis. Breast Cancer Res. 8, 201.	1449
type protein tyrosine phosphatases in mammals. Cell. Signal. 22, 1811-1817.	Sternlicht, M. D., Kouros-Mehr, H., Lu, P. and Werb, Z. (2006). Hormonal and	1450
Moffa, A. B. and Ethier, S. P. (2007). Differential signal transduction of alternatively	Stingl J Firew P Ricketson I Shackleton M Vaillant F Choi D Li H I	1451
spliced FGFR2 variants expressed in human mammary epithelial cells. J. Cell.	and Eaves, C. J. (2006). Purification and unique properties of mammary epithelial	1452
Morris, J. S. and Stein, T. (2017). Pubertal Ductal Morphogenesis: Isolation and	stem cells. Nature 439, 993-997.	1453
Transcriptome Analysis of the Terminal End Bud. <i>Methods Mol. Biol.</i> 1501 ,	Van Keymeulen, A., Rocha, A. S., Ousset, M., Beck, B., Bouvencourt, G., Rock,	1454
131-148.	J., Sharma, N., Dekoninck, S. and Blanpain, C. (2011). Distinct stem cells	1455
Morris, J. S., Stein, T., Pringle, MA., Davies, C. R., Weber-Hall, S., Ferrier, R. K.,	189-193	1456
Bell, A. K., Heath, V. J. and Gusterson, B. A. (2006). Involvement of axonal quidance proteins and their signaling partners in the developing mouse mammary	Wang, D., Cai, C., Dong, X., Yu, Q. C., Zhang, X. O., Yang, L. and Zeng, Y. A.	1457
gland. J. Cell. Physiol. 206, 16-24.	(2015). Identification of multipotent mammary stem cells by protein C receptor	1458
Nawroth, R., Poell, G., Ranft, A., Kloep, S., Samulowitz, U., Fachinger, G.,	expression. Nature 517, 81-84.	1450
Golding, M., Shima, D. T., Deutsch, U. and Vestweber, D. (2002). VE-PTP and	wiesen, J. F., Young, P., Werb, Z. and Cunha, G. R. (1999). Signaling through the	1460
vE-cadinerin ectodomains interact to facilitate regulation of phosphorylation and cell contacts. <i>EMBO</i> / 21 4885-4895	development. Development 126 , 335-344	1400
Pond, A. C., Bin, X., Batts, T., Roarty, K., Hilsenbeck, S. and Rosen, J. M. (2013).	Williams, J. M. and Daniel, C. W. (1983). Mammary ductal elongation:	1401
Fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling is essential for normal mammary gland	differentiation of myoepithelium and basal lamina during branching	1462
development and stem cell function. Stem Cells 31, 178-189.	morphogenesis. Dev. Biol. 97, 274-290.	1463
Kegan, J. L., Kendrick, H., Magnay, FA., Vafaizadeh, V., Groner, B. and Smalley, M. L (2012), c-Kit is required for growth and survival of the calls of origin	winderlich, M., Keller, L., Cagna, G., Broermann, A., Kamenyeva, O., Kiefer, F., Deutsch, H., Nottebaum, A. F. and Vestweber, D. (2000). \/E-DTP. controls	1464
of Brca1-mutation-associated breast cancer. Oncodene 31 , 869-883	blood vessel development by balancing Tie-2 activity. J. Cell Biol. 185 657-671	1465
		1466
		1467
Summary In vivo and in vitro knockdown studios reveal that DT	TDDD regulates bronching morphogenesis in the management	1468
onithalium by modulating the parameter of the ECED size -11/2 and	TEXE regulates of anothing morphogenesis in the mouse mammary	1469
epimenum by modulating the response of the FGFK signalling path	way to for sumulation.	1470

Funding details

S.No.	Funder name	Funder ID	Grant II
1	Institute of Cancer Research	http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100000027	
2	Breakthrough Breast Cancer	http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100000537	\mathcal{D}
3	Wales Cancer Research Centre		-
4	Breast Cancer Now	http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/100009794	
5	Cancer Research UK	http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100000289	
6	Cardiff University	http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100000866	